Brandon Wamboldt (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/)

Software Developer / DevOps Engineer

UNDERSTANDING TECHNOLOGY

(HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/CATEGORY/UNDERSTANDING-TECHNOLOGY/)

How Linux pipes work under the hood

Posted on August 8, 2014 (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/)

(https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/)

Piping is one of the core concepts of Linux & Unix based operating systems. Pipes allow you to chain together commands in a very elegant way, passing output from one program to the input of another to get a desired end result.

Here's a simple example of piping:

ls -la | sort | less

The above command gets a listing of the current directory using ls, sorts it alphabetically using the sort utility, and then paginates it for easier reading using less.

I'm not going to go into depth about pipes as I'll assume you already know what they are (at least in the context of bash pipes). Instead, I'm going to show how pipes are implemented under the hood.

How Pipes Are Implemented

Before I explain how bash does pipes, I'll explain how the kernel implements pipes (at a high level).

- Linux has a VFS (virtual file system) module called pipefs, that gets mounted in kernel space during boot
- pipefs is mounted alongside the root file system (/), not in it (pipe's root is pipe:)
- pipefs cannot be directly examined by the user unlike most file systems
- The entry point to pipefs is the pipe(2) syscall
- The pipe(2) syscall is used by shells and other programs to implement piping, and just creates a new file in pipefs, returning two file descriptors (one for the read end, opening using o_RDONLY, and one for the write end, opened using o wronly)
- pipefs is stored an in-memory file system

Pipe I/O, buffering, and capacity

A pipe has a limited capacity in Linux. When the pipe is full, a write(2) will block (or fail if the o_Nonblock flag is set). Different implementations of pipes have different limits, so applications shouldn't rely on a pipe having a particular size. Applications should be designed to consume data as soon as it is available so the writing process doesn't block. That said, knowing the pipe size is useful. Since Linux 2.6.35, the default pipe capacity is 65,536 bytes (it used to be the size of the page file, e.g. 4096 bytes in i386 architectures).

When a process attempts to read from an empty pipe, read(2) will block until data is available in the pipe. If all file descriptors pointing to the write end of the pipe have been closed, reading from the pipe will return EOF (read(2) will return 0).

If a process attempts to write to a full pipe, write(2) will block until enough data has been read from the pipe to allow the write call to succeed. If all file descriptors pointing to the read end of the pipe have been closed, writing to the pipe will raise the SIGPIPE signal. If this signal is ignored, write(2) fails with the error EPIPE.

All of this is important when understanding pipe performance. If a process A is writing data at roughly the same speed as process B is reading it, pipes work very well and are highly performance. An imbalance here can cause performance problems. See the next section for more information/examples.

How Shells Do Piping

Before continuing, you should be aware of how Linux creates new processes (http://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-creates-processes-1528/).

Shells implement piping in a manner very similar to how they implement redirection (http://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-bash-redirection-works-under-the-hood-1512/). Basically, the parent process calls pipe(2) once for each two processes that get piped together. In the example above, bash would need to call pipe(2) twice to create two pipes, one for piping ls to sort, and one to pipe sort to less. Then, bash forks itself once for each process (3 times for our example). Each child will run one command. However, before the children run their commands, they will overwrite one of stdin or stdout (or both). In our above example, it will work like this:

- bash will create two pipes, one to pipe ls to sort, and one to pipe sort to less
- bash will fork itself 3 times (1 parent process and 3 children, for each command)

- child 1 (ls) will set it's stdout file descriptor to the write end of pipe A
- child 2 (sort) will set it's stdin file descriptor to the read end of pipe A (to read input from ls)
- child 2 (sort) will set it's stdout file descriptor to the write end of pipe B
- child 3 (less) will set it's stdin file descriptor to the read end of pipe B (to read input from sort)
- each child will run their commands

The kernel will automatically schedule processes so they roughly run in parallel. If child 1 writes too much to pipe A before child 2 has read it, child 1 will block for a while until child 2 has had time to read from the pipe. This normally allows for very high levels of efficiency as one process doesn't have to wait for the other to complete to start processing data. Another reason for this is that pipes have a limited size (normally the size of a single page of memory).

Pipe Example Code

Here is a C example of how a program like bash might implement piping. My example is pretty simple, and accepts two arguments: a directory and a string to search for. It will run <code>ls -la</code> to get the contents of the directory, and pipe them to grep to search for the string.

```
#include <unistd.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <fcntl.h>
#define READ END 0
#define WRITE END 1
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
   int pid, pid ls, pid grep;
   int pipefd[2];
   // Syntax: test . filename
   if (argc < 3) {
       fprintf(stderr, "Please specify the directory to search and the filename to search
       return -1;
    fprintf(stdotestut, "parent: Grepping %s for %s\n", argv[1], argv[2]);
   // Create an unnamed pipe
   if (pipe(pipefd) == -1) {
       fprintf(stderr, "parent: Failed to create pipe\n");
       return -1;
   // Fork a process to run grep
   pid grep = fork();
   if (pid grep == -1) {
       fprintf(stderr, "parent: Could not fork process to run grep\n");
       return -1;
   } else if (pid grep == 0) {
        fprintf(stdout, "child: grep child will now run\n");
       // Set fd[0] (stdin) to the read end of the pipe
       if (dup2(pipefd[READ END], STDIN FILENO) == -1) {
           fprintf(stderr, "child: grep dup2 failed\n");
           return -1;
```

```
// Close the pipe now that we've duplicated it
    close(pipefd[READ END]);
    close(pipefd[WRITE END]);
    // Setup the arguments/environment to call
    char *new argv[] = { "/bin/grep", argv[2], 0 };
    char *envp[] = { "HOME=/", "PATH=/bin:/usr/bin", "USER=brandon", 0 };
    // Call execve(2) which will replace the executable image of this
    // process
    execve(new argv[0], &new argv[0], envp);
    // Execution will never continue in this process unless execve returns
    // because of an error
    fprintf(stderr, "child: Oops, grep failed!\n");
    return -1;
// Fork a process to run ls
pid ls = fork();
if (pid ls == -1) {
    fprintf(stderr, "parent: Could not fork process to run ls\n");
    return -1;
} else if (pid ls == 0) {
    fprintf(stdout, "child: ls child will now run\n");
    fprintf(stdout, "----\n");
    // Set fd[1] (stdout) to the write end of the pipe
    if (dup2(pipefd[WRITE END], STDOUT FILENO) == -1) {
        fprintf(stderr, "ls dup2 failed\n");
        return -1;
    // Close the pipe now that we've duplicated it
    close(pipefd[READ END]);
    close(pipefd[WRITE END]);
    // Setup the arguments/environment to call
```

```
char *new argv[] = { "/bin/ls", "-la", argv[1], 0 };
   char *envp[] = { "HOME=/", "PATH=/bin:/usr/bin", "USER=brandon", 0 };
   // Call execve(2) which will replace the executable image of this
   // process
   execve(new argv[0], &new argv[0], envp);
   // Execution will never continue in this process unless execve returns
   // because of an error
   fprintf(stderr, "child: Oops, ls failed!\n");
   return -1;
// Parent doesn't need the pipes
close(pipefd[READ END]);
close(pipefd[WRITE END]);
fprintf(stdout, "parent: Parent will now wait for children to finish execution\n");
// Wait for all children to finish
while (wait(NULL) > 0);
fprintf(stdout, "----\n");
fprintf(stdout, "parent: Children has finished execution, parent is done\n");
return 0;
```

I've commented it thoroughly, so hopefully it makes sense.

Named vs Unnamed Pipes

In the above examples, we've been using unnamed/anonymous pipes. These pipes are temporary, and are discarded once your program finishes or all of their file descriptors are closed. They are the most common type of pipe.

Named pipes, also known as FIFOs (for first in, first out), get created as a named file on your hard disk. They allow multiple unrelated programs to open and use them. You can have multiple writers quite easily, with one reader, for a very simplistic client-server type design. For example, nagios does this, with the master process reading a named pipe, and every child process writing commands to the named pipe.

Named pipes are creating using the mkfifo command or syscall. Example:

```
mkfifo ~/test_pipe
```

Other than their creation, they work pretty much the same as unnamed pipes. Once you create them, you can open them using <code>open(2)</code> . You must open the read end using <code>o_RDONLY</code> or the write end using <code>o_wronly</code> . Most operating systems implement unidirectional pipes, so you can't open them in both read/write mode.

FIFOs are often used as a unidirectional IPC technique, for a system with multiple processes. A multithreaded application may also use named or unnamed pipes, as well as other IPC techniques such as shared memory segments.

FIFOs are created as a single inode, with the property i_pipe set as a reference to the actual pipe. While the name may exist on your filesystem, pipes don't cause I/O to the underlying device, as once the inode is read, FIFOs behave like unnamed pipes and operate in-memory.

Comments (5) (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/#comments)

LINUX (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/TAG/LINUX/)

LINUX INTERNALS (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/TAG/LINUX-INTERNALS/)

UNIX (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/TAG/UNIX/)

5 COMMENT

F3R

REPLY (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/HOW-LINUX-PIPES-WORK-UNDER-THE-HOOD-1518/? REPLYTOCOM=271536#RESPOND)

(https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/#comment-271536)

Very nice! 🙂

JIACAI (HTTP://LIUJIACAI.NET/)

REPLY (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/HOW-LINUX-PIPES-WORK-UNDER-THE-HOOD-1518/? REPLYTOCOM=271452#RESPOND)

(https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/#comment-271452)

"If child 1 writes too much to pipe A before child 2 has read it, child 2 will block for a while until child 2 has had time to read from the pipe."

I think there is a mistake, Obviously it should be child 1 not child 2 will block for a while until child 2 has had time to read from the pipe. "

SCHLAGE CELESTE

(HTTP://BRANDONFLLOCKSMITH.COM/DOORS_INSTALLATION_REPAIR.PHP)

REPLY (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/HOW-LINUX-PIPES-WORK-UNDER-THE-HOOD-1518/? REPLYTOCOM=271386#RESPOND)

(https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/#comment-271386)

great speech!

KEVIN

REPLY (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/HOW-LINUX-PIPES-WORK-UNDER-THE-HOOD-1518/? REPLYTOCOM=270857#RESPOND)

(https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/#comment-270857)

Very nice article, thank you.

I have a interesting question now puzzling me.

Consider this example

cat one-megabyte.txt | sort | less

What if, let's assume the "sort" program is buggy, it will exit(1) after read 10KB from stdin. So the question is, what will happen to "cat" and "less" when "sort" process is exited unexpectedly.

Also, if the bug is on "less", what will happen to "cat" and "sort"?

Will "cat" still go through the entire txt file?

According to my test if won't. But I don't get why yet.

SHUVO NASER

REPLY (HTTPS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/HOW-LINUX-PIPES-WORK-UNDER-THE-HOOD-1518/? REPLYTOCOM=270775#RESPOND)

(https://brandonwamboldt.ca/how-linux-pipes-work-under-the-hood-1518/#comment-270775)

LEAVE A REPLY

Comment		
Name	Email	Website
WORDPRESS-PLUGIN-EXPLOIT-	1538/) CHECK FOR A TTY FIRST! > (HTTF	DONWAMBOLDT.CA/ANALYSIS-OF-A- PS://BRANDONWAMBOLDT.CA/IF-YOU- LORS-CHECK-FOR-A-TTY-FIRST-1565/)
Search		SEARCH

I'm a software developer/devops engineer (depending on the day). I love programming, and having a blog allows me to share things I've learned with others, and hopefully save somebody a bit of time!

Disclaimer: Any opinions expressed are solely my own and do not express the views or opinions of my employer.

RECENT POSTS

Tmux vs Screen (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/tmux-vs-screen-1817/)

Tmux & Cygwin (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/tmux-cygwin-1807/)

Asynchronous JavaScript with Callbacks (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/asynchronous-javascript-with-callbacks-1769/)

My thoughts on software licenses (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/my-thoughts-on-software-licenses-1713/)

How do S3 permissions work? (https://brandonwamboldt.ca/understanding-s3-permissions-1662/)

Activello Theme by Colorlib (http://colorlib.com/) Powered by WordPress (http://wordpress.org/)