

Memory Capacity Planning - 1

The performance of a memory hierarchy is determined by the

Effective access time (T_{eff}) to any level in hierarchy. It depends on hit ratio and access frequency.

Hit ratio:- When an information item is found in M_i , we call it a hit, otherwise, a miss. For a memory hierarchy ($i=1$ to n) the hit ratio h_i at M_i is the probability that an information item will be found in M_i .

~~It is a function~~ The miss ratio at M_i is defined as $1 - h_i$

CPU always accesses M_1 first and the access to the outermost memory M_n is always a hit.

The access frequency of M_i is defined as $f_i = (1 - h_1) \cdot (1 - h_2) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - h_{i-1}) \cdot h_i$. This

M.C.P. 2

This is indeed the probability of successfully accessing M_i , when there are $i-1$ misses at the lower levels and a hit at M_i .

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = 1, \quad f_1 = h_1$$

Due to the locality property, the access frequencies decrease very rapidly from low to high

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} f_1 & \gg & f_2 & \gg & f_3 & \gg & \dots \gg f_n \\ \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\ \text{More} & & & & & & \text{Less} \\ \text{often} & & & & & & \text{often} \end{array}$$

$$T_{eff} = \sum_{i=1}^n \overset{\substack{\text{Access frequency at } M_i \\ f_i}}{f_i} \cdot \overset{\substack{\text{Access time at } M_i \\ t_i}}{t_i}$$

$$= h_1 t_1 + (1-h_1) h_2 t_2 + \dots + (1-h_{n-1}) \overset{\substack{\uparrow \\ t_n}}{t_n}$$

Not using h_n because $h_n = 1$

Every time a miss occurs, a penalty must be paid to access higher level memory.

Misses called block miss in cache and page fault in M.M

M.C-P-3

C.M M.M HDD
 $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$.

Storck (1990) has pointed out that a cache with 2 to 4 times as costly as a cache hit.

Hierarchy Optimization:-

The total cost of memory hierarchy is estimated as cost/Byte.

$$C_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i \cdot S_i \quad \text{size}$$

Means that cost is distributed over n levels

$C_1 > C_2 > C_3 > \dots > C_n$, we have to

choose $S_1 < S_2 < S_3 < \dots < S_n$.

The optimal design of a memory hierarchy should result in a T_{eff} close to the t_1 of M_1 and total cost to be C_n of M_n .

M.C.P - 4

So it's a optimization problem given ceiling C_0 on the total cost.

Minimize

$$T_{eff} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot t_i$$

Subject to constraints

$$S_i > 0, f_i > 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$C_{total} = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i \cdot S_i < C_0$$

Example

M_i	Access time	Capacity	Cost/kbyte
Cache	$t_1 = 25 \text{ ns}$	$S_1 = 512 \text{ KB}$	$C_1 = 1.25$
M.M	$t_2 = ?$	$S_2 = 32 \text{ MB}$	$C_2 = 0.2$
Disk	$t_3 = 4 \text{ ms}$	$S_3 = ?$	$C_3 = 0.0002$

M.C.P - 5

Goal to achieve $t = T_{eff} = 10.04 \mu s$

with hit fraction $h_1 = 0.98$, $h_2 = 0.9$;

$$C_0 = 15000$$

$$C = C_1 S_1 + C_2 S_2 + C_3 S_3 \leq 15000$$

$$\textcircled{1} S_3 = 39.8 \text{ C.B}$$

$$t_2 = ?$$

$$T_{eff} = h_1 t_1 + (1-h_1) h_2 t_2 + (1-h_1)(1-h_2) h_3 t_3 \leq 10.04$$

$$10.04 \times 10^{-6} = 0.98 \times 25 \times 10^{-9} + 0.02 \times 0.9 \times t_2 + 0.02 \times 0.1 \times 1 \times 4 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$= 903 \text{ ns.}$$

"now Let suppose someone double the size of M.M that what would happen?"

M.C.P - 5

Goal to achieve $t = T_{eff} = 10.04 \mu s$

with hit ratios $h_1 = 0.98$, $h_2 = 0.9$;

$$C_0 = 15000$$

$$C = C_1 S_1 + C_2 S_2 + C_3 S_3 \leq 15000$$

$$\textcircled{1} S_3 = 39.8 \text{ C.B}$$

$$t_2 = ?$$

$$T_{eff} = h_1 t_1 + (1-h_1) h_2 t_2 + (1-h_1)(1-h_2) h_3 t_3 \leq 10.04 \mu s$$

$$10.04 \times 10^{-6} = 0.98 \times 25 \times 10^{-9} + 0.02 \times 0.9 \times t_2 + 0.02 \times 0.1 \times 1 \times 4 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$= 903 \text{ ns.}$$

"Now Let suppose someone double the size of M.M that what would happen?"