

Recall: (1) Convex & Concave fun<sup>n</sup>s, If  $f$  is a twice differentiable fun<sup>n</sup>, then  $f$  is convex  $\Leftrightarrow f''(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in I$

$f$  is concave  $\Leftrightarrow f''(x) \leq 0 \forall x \in I$

(2) Jensen's Inequality

(3) Markov Inequality:  $X \rightarrow$  non-neg. ran. var with finite  $n$ -th moment. Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P\{X \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{E(X^n)}{\varepsilon^n}$$

(4) Chebyshev's Inequality:  $X \rightarrow$  ran. var with finite mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P\{|X - \mu| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}$$

Example (1): Let  $X \sim B(n, p)$ . Estimate  $P(X \geq \alpha n)$ , where  $p < \alpha < 1$  using Markov (for first moment) and Chebyshev's inequality. Compare both the estimates for  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ .

Soln: Note that  $X$  takes values  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , hence is a non-negative random variable and  $E(X) = np$ . Applying Markov's inequality, we obtain

$$P(X \geq \alpha n) \leq \frac{E(X)}{\alpha n} = \frac{pn}{\alpha n} = \frac{p}{\alpha}$$



Chebyshev's inequality gives estimate for  $P(|X - E(X)| \geq \alpha n)$ . So we have to rewrite the event  $\{X \geq \alpha n\}$ , so that we can use the Chebyshev's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X \geq \alpha n\} &= P\{X - np \geq \alpha n - np\} \\ &\leq P\{|X - np| \geq \alpha n - np\} \quad [\because \{Y \geq \alpha\} \\ &\quad = \{Y \leq -\alpha\} \cup \{Y \geq \alpha\}] \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{(\alpha n - np)^2} = \frac{np(1-p)}{n^2(\alpha - p)^2} \\ &= \frac{p(1-p)}{n(\alpha - p)^2} \end{aligned}$$

By Markov's inequality for  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ , we have

$$P\left(X \geq \frac{3}{4}n\right) \leq \frac{2}{3}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality for  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ , we have

$$P\left(X \geq \frac{3n}{4}\right) \leq \frac{4}{n}$$

If  $n \geq 6$ , then estimates given by Chebyshev's are sharper than the estimates provided by Markov's.

Also as  $n$  increases, estimates given by Chebyshev's inequality decrease, i.e., gives much <sup>more</sup> information whereas the estimates provided by Markov inequality remains constant as  $n$  varies.

---

## Law of Large Numbers

Theo: (Weak law of large numbers). Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables, each having finite mean  $\mu$ . Then for every  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| \geq \delta \right\} = 0 \quad \text{or equivalently}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| < \delta \right\} = 1 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

where  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$

Remark: ① The weak law of large numbers states that for large  $n$ , the bulk of the distribution of  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  is concentrated near  $\mu$ . That is, if we consider a positive length interval  $[\mu - \delta, \mu + \delta]$  around  $\mu$ , then there is a high probability that  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  will fall in that interval; as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this probability converges to 1. Of course, if  $\delta$  is very small we may have to wait longer (i.e., need a larger value of  $n$ ) before we can assert that  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  is highly likely to fall in that interval.

- ② To understand the convergence in weak law, think in terms of PMF (if  $X_i$ 's are discrete random variables) or PDF (if  $X_i$ 's have pdf then we know that  $S_n$  will possess a pdf as well) of random variable  $\frac{S_n}{n}$ .

Weak law states that "almost all" of the pmf or pdf of  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  is concentrated within  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $\mu$  for large values of  $n$ .

- ③ The limit in Remark ① means:  $\forall \delta, \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0(\varepsilon, \delta)$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon, \delta)$ , we have
- $$P\left\{ \left| \frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right| < \delta \right\} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

If we refer to  $\delta$  as the accuracy level and  $\varepsilon$  as the confidence level, the weak law takes the following intuitive form:

for any given level of accuracy and confidence,  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  will be equal to  $\mu$ , within these levels of accuracy and confidence, provided  $n$  is large enough.

Example ②: Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with  $E(X_i) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = 1 \quad \forall i$ . Let  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ .

Then for any  $x > 0$ , compute  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(-nx < S_n < nx)$ .

Soln:

For any  $x > 0$ , we have

$$P(-nx < S_n < nx) = P\left(-x < \frac{S_n}{n} < x\right) = P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - 0\right| < x\right)$$

$$= 1 - P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - 0\right| \geq \alpha\right).$$

By weak law of large numbers, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - 0\right| \geq \alpha\right) = 0$$


---

## Random Sampling

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  independent random variables having the same distribution. These random variables may be thought of as  $n$  independent measurements of some quantity that is distributed according to their common distribution. (E.g., height of students in NMIIIT).

In this sense, we sometimes speak of the random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as constituting a random sample of size  $n$  from this distribution.

Suppose that the common distribution of these random variables have finite mean  $\mu$ . Then for  $n$  sufficiently large, we would expect that the sample mean

$$\frac{S_n}{n} = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \quad \text{should be close to the true mean } \mu.$$

The weak law of large numbers asserts that the sample mean of a large number of independent identically distributed random variables is very close to the true mean with high probability.

Weak law of large numbers says that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| \geq \delta\right\} = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

We may interpret eqn (2) in the following way:

The number  $\delta$  can be thought of as the desired accuracy in the approximation of  $\mu$  by  $\frac{S_n}{n}$ .

Eqn (2) assures us that no matter how small  $\delta$  may be chosen, the probability that  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  approximates  $\mu$  to within this accuracy, that is,  $P\left\{\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| < \delta\right\}$ , converges to 1 as the no. of observations gets large.

Example (4): Probabilities and Frequencies: Consider an event  $A$  defined in the context of some probabilistic experiment. Let  $p = P(A)$  be the probability of the event  $A$ . We consider  $n$  independent repetitions of this experiment and let  $M_n$  be the "fraction" of times that event  $A$  occurs in this context.  $M_n$  is often called the empirical frequency of  $A$ .

Note that  $M_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$ , where  $X_i$  is 1 whenever  $A$  occurs and 0 o.w.

In particular,  $E(X_i) = p$ .

The weak law of large numbers applies and shows that when  $n$  is large, the empirical frequency is most likely to be within  $\varepsilon$  of  $p$ .

Loosely speaking, this allows us to conclude that

empirical frequencies are faithful estimates of  $p$ .

Alternatively, this is a step towards interpreting the probability  $p$  as the frequency of the occurrence of  $A$ .