Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Models for Text Classification

Collaborative Research Team

August 20, 2025

Abstract

This report compares RandomForest, XGBoost, and Logistic Regression for text classification using Bag-of-Words (BoW) and TF-IDF features. Performance was evaluated with accuracy, F1-score, and confusion matrices. Logistic Regression achieved the best results, showing that simple linear models can outperform complex ensembles in this task.

1 Introduction

Text classification is a core NLP task used in sentiment analysis, spam detection, and topic labeling. This study evaluates three classifiers with two feature extraction techniques to determine the most effective approach.

2 Methodology

A dataset of text and titles was preprocessed, cleaned, and sampled to 100k entries for training and testing. Features were extracted using:

- BoW: word frequency representation
- TF-IDF: weighted frequency representation

The classifiers compared were RandomForest, XGBoost, and Logistic Regression. Performance was measured using accuracy, F1-score, and OOB score (for RandomForest).

3 Results

Table 1 summarizes the performance of all models. Logistic Regression outperformed others with $\sim 87.8\%$ accuracy and F1-score.

Table 1: Summary of Model Performance

| Model | Features | Accuracy | F1-score | OOB |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| | | | | Score |
| RandomForest | BoW | 0.8608 | 0.8616 | 0.8459 |
| RandomForest | TF-IDF | 0.8621 | 0.8634 | 0.8469 |
| XGBoost | BoW | 0.8513 | 0.8526 | N/A |
| XGBoost | TF-IDF | 0.8499 | 0.8507 | N/A |
| Logistic Regression | BoW | 0.8776 | 0.8769 | N/A |
| Logistic Regression | TF-IDF | 0.8776 | 0.8769 | N/A |

4 Challenges

During the study, several challenges were observed:

- 1. **High dimensionality:** Text features created extremely large sparse matrices, increasing computation time.
- 2. Label inconsistencies: Mapping of target labels caused confusion, especially in XGBoost results.
- 3. Sampling trade-off: Reducing dataset size ensured feasibility but may have slightly limited model performance.
- 4. **Feature similarity:** BoW and TF-IDF gave nearly identical results, showing limited benefit from more complex weighting.

5 Conclusion

Logistic Regression with BoW or TF-IDF delivered the best results, outperforming RandomForest and XGBoost. For this dataset, simple linear models proved more effective than complex ensembles, showing that frequency-based features are strong predictors for text classification.