

A New Achievable Rate Region for Multiple-Access Channel with States

Mohsen Heidari
EECS Department
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, USA
Email: mohsenhd@umich.edu

Farhad Shirani
EECS Department
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, USA
Email: fshirani@umich.edu

S. Sandeep Pradhan
EECS Department
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, USA
Email: pradhanv@umich.edu

Abstract—The problem of reliable communication over the multiple-access channel (MAC) with states is investigated. We propose a new coding scheme for this problem which uses *quasi-group codes* (QGC). We derive a new computable single-letter characterization of the achievable rate region. As an example, we investigate the problem of *doubly-dirty* MAC with modulo-4 addition. It is shown that the sum rate $R_1 + R_2 = 1$ bits per channel use is achievable using the new scheme. Whereas, the natural extension of the Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme, sum-rates greater than 0.32 are not achievable.

I. INTRODUCTION

CONSIDER reliable communication over a point-to-point channel with channel state available at the transmitter. Gel'fand and Pinsker introduced a coding strategy for this problem [1] which uses *random binning*. It was shown that the capacity is given by

$$C = \max_{p(x,u|s)} I(U; Y) - I(U; S).$$

Costa [2] derived a closed form expression for the capacity of additive Gaussian channel with state. While the point-to-point problem was solved by Gel'fand and Pinsker, characterizing the capacity region of the multiple-access channel (MAC) with non-causal side-information available at the transmitters remains an open problem. One possible coding scheme is the natural extension of the Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme which was introduced in [3]. A well-studied example of the problem of MAC with states is called the *doubly dirty* MAC problem. In this setup, the channel is binary-additive, and the relation between the inputs and the output is as follows:

$$Y = X_1 \oplus S_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus S_2, \quad (1)$$

where X_1 is the first encoder's output, and X_2 is the second encoder's output. The states S_1 and S_2 are available at the first and second transmitter, respectively. S_1 and S_2 are two independent states which are distributed uniformly over $\{0, 1\}$. Each input sequence must satisfy the cost-constraint $\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\{c_i(X_i^n)\} \leq \tau_i$ for some cost-functions $c_i(\cdot)$, $i = 1, 2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Philosof and Zamir [4] investigated a special case of this problem in which the cost functions are the *Hamming weight*. They built upon linear codes and presented a new coding scheme. They showed that the natural extension of the Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme is suboptimal. In addition, the

authors showed that the capacity region consists of all rate-pairs (R_1, R_2) such that

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq \min\{h_b(\tau_1), h_b(\tau_2)\},$$

where $h_b(\cdot)$ is the *binary entropy* function. The Philosof-Zamir scheme is optimal in this example. However, it highly relies on the additive and symmetric structure of the channel, and is not generalizable to non-additive channels. Later, a coding scheme based on *coset codes* was introduced for the general MAC with states problem [5]. In both of these works, structured codes are used to improve upon the previous known coding schemes. Similar observations have been made in other multi-terminal problems, such as the Körner-Marton source coding problem [6], the joint source-channel coding over MAC [7], multiple-descriptions problem [8], and the problem of computation over MAC [9].

In this work, we first consider the *quaternary* additive MAC with states, where all inputs and states are quaternary, and the addition is \mathbb{Z}_4 addition. In [5], group codes are used to derive an achievable region for this example. *Group codes* are structured codes which are closed under a group operation. Recently, we introduced a new class of structured codes called *quasi-group codes* (QGC) [10]. A QGC is a subset of a group code. Linear codes and group codes are special cases of QGCs. QGCs are not necessarily closed under group addition. This class of codes span the spectrum from completely structured codes (such as group codes and linear codes) to completely unstructured codes. These codes were used in the Körner-Marton problem for modulo prime-power sums [10]. For this problem, a coding scheme based on QGCs is presented which strictly improves upon the previously known schemes.

Next, we propose a new coding strategy using QGCs for the general problem of two-user MAC with independent states. We introduce *nested QGCs*, and propose a binning technique for such codes. A single-letter characterization of the achievable rates is derived. As an example, we investigate the quaternary doubly dirty MAC. We show that QGCs achieve the sum-rate $R_1 + R_2 = 1$ bits per channel use. Whereas using the natural extension of Gel'fand-Pinsker, sum-rates greater than 0.32 are not achievable.

The rest of this paper is as follows: Section II presents the preliminaries and definitions. Section III provides an overview for QGC. Section IV contains the main results of this paper. Section V presents the application of QGC for the doubly-dirty MAC. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

This work was supported by NSF grant CCF-1422284.

II. PRELIMINARIES AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. Notations

We denote (i) vectors using lowercase bold letters such as \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{u} , (ii) matrices using uppercase bold letters such as \mathbf{G} , (iii) random variables using capital letters such as X, Y , (iv) numbers, realizations of random variables and elements of sets using lower case letters such as a, x . Calligraphic letters such as \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{U} are used to represent sets.

We denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ by $[1 : m]$, where m is an integer. Given a prime power p^r , the ring of integers modulo p^r is denoted by \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} . The underlying set is for such group is $\{0, 1, \dots, p^r - 1\}$, and the addition and multiplication is modulo- p^r . For any $0 \leq t \leq r$, denote $H_t \triangleq \{t \cdot a : a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}\}$. Given H_t , any element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ can be uniquely written as $a = h + g$, where $h \in H_t, g \in [0 : p^t - 1]$. We denote such g by $[a]_t$. Given two subsets $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$, we define a new subset defined as $\{\mathbf{u} \oplus \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}\}$. We denote such set as $\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{V}$.

B. Model

Consider a two-user discrete memoryless MAC with input alphabets $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$, and output alphabet \mathcal{Y} . The transition probabilities between the input and the output of the channel depends on a pair of random variables (S_1, S_2) which are called states. Each state S_i take values from the set \mathcal{S}_i , where $i = 1, 2$. The sequences of the states are independently and identically distributed (i.i.d) according to the probability distribution $p(s_1, s_2)$. Prior to any transmission, the entire sequence of the state S_i is known at the i th transmitter, $i = 1, 2$. The conditional distribution of Y given the inputs and the states is denoted by $p(y|x_1x_2s_1s_2)$. Let y^n be the output of the channel after n uses. If x_i^n is the input sequence, and s_i^n is the state sequence, then the following condition is satisfied:

$$p(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{y}^{n-1}, \mathbf{x}^{n-1}, \mathbf{s}^{n-1}) = p(y_n | x_n, s_n).$$

Each input X_i is associated with a cost function $c_i : \mathcal{X}_i \times \mathcal{S}_i \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. The input sequence \mathbf{X}_i^n is then constrained to the average cost defined by

$$\bar{c}_i(\mathbf{X}_i^n, \mathbf{S}_i^n) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n c_i(X_{ij}, S_{ij}).$$

Definition 1. An (n, Θ_1, Θ_2) -code for reliable communication over a given MAC with states is defined by two encoding functions $f_i : \{1, 2, \dots, \Theta_i\} \times \mathcal{S}_i^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}^n$, $i = 1, 2$, and a decoding function $g : \mathcal{Y}^n \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \Theta_1\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, \Theta_2\}$.

Definition 2. For a given MAC with states, the rate-cost $(R_1, R_2, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is said to be achievable, if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a (n, Θ_1, Θ_2) -code such that

$$P\{g(Y^n) \neq (M_1, M_2)\} \leq \epsilon, \quad \frac{1}{n} \log \Theta_i \geq R_i - \epsilon, \\ \mathbb{E}\{\bar{c}_i(f_i(M_i), \mathbf{S}_i^n)\} \leq \tau_i + \epsilon$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where a) M_1, M_2 are independent random variables with distribution $p(M_i = m_i) = \frac{1}{\Theta_i}$ for all $m_i \in [1 : \Theta_i]$, b) M_i is independent of the states S_1, S_2 . Given τ_1, τ_2 , the capacity region $\mathcal{C}_{\tau_1, \tau_2}$ is defined as the set of all rates (R_1, R_2) such that the rate-cost $(R_1, R_2, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is achievable.

C. The Extension of Gel'fand-Pinsker Scheme

Jafar [3] introduced a natural extension of the Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme for the problem of MAC with states, and derived a new achievable rate region using such scheme.

Definition 3. For a MAC $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{Y}, P_{Y|X_1X_2})$ with independent states (S_1, S_2) and cost functions c_1, c_2 , define \mathcal{R}_{GP} as the closure and convex hull of all rate-pairs (R_1, R_2) satisfying the following conditions

$$R_1 \leq I(U_1; Y|Q, U_2) - I(U_1; S_1|Q) \quad (2)$$

$$R_2 \leq I(U_2; Y|Q, U_1) - I(U_2; S_2|Q) \quad (3)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(U_1U_2; Y|Q) - I(U_1U_2; S_1S_2|Q), \quad (4)$$

where $P_{S_1S_2QU_1U_2X_1X_2Y}$ satisfies $\mathbb{E}\{c_i(X_i, S_i)\} \leq \tau_i, i = 1, 2$, and factors as

$$p(q)p(s_1)p(s_2) \prod_{i=1,2} p(u_i x_i | s_i q) p(y | x_1 x_2).$$

To the best of our knowledge, \mathcal{R}_{GP} is the current largest achievable rate region using unstructured codes for the problem of MAC with states [3].

III. AN OVERVIEW OF QUASI GROUP CODES

We use a class of structured codes called quasi group codes. In this section, we state the definition and key properties of QGCs given in [10].

A QGC is defined as a subset of a group code. Such codes are a general form of linear codes and *group codes*. Consider a $k \times n$ matrix \mathbf{G} and a n -length vector \mathbf{b} with elements in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} . Let \mathcal{U} be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^k$. A QGC on \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} is defined as

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}\}. \quad (5)$$

For a general subset \mathcal{U} , it is difficult to derive achievable rates of QGCs using single-letter characterizations. Therefore, we present an special construction of \mathcal{U} for which single-letter characterizations is possible.

Given a positive integer m , consider m mutually independent random variables U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m . Suppose each U_i takes values from \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} with distribution $p_i(u_i)$. Consider positive integers $k_i, i \in [1 : m]$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $A_\epsilon^{k_i}(U_i)$ be the collection of all ϵ -typical sequences of U_i with length k_i , where $i \in [1 : m]$. Define \mathcal{U} as the Cartesian product of the typical sets of $U_i, i \in [1 : m]$, more precisely

$$\mathcal{U} \triangleq \bigotimes_{i=1}^m A_\epsilon^{(k_i)}(U_i). \quad (6)$$

For more convenience, we use a notation for this construction. Let $k \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$. Denote $q_i \triangleq \frac{k_i}{k}$. Note that $q_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_i q_i = 1$. Therefore, we can define a random variable Q with $P(Q = i) = q_i$. Define a random variable U with the conditional distribution $P(U = a | Q = i) = P(U_i = a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}, i \in [1 : m]$. With this notation, the set \mathcal{U} in (6) is characterized by ϵ, k and the pair (U, Q) . Note that for large enough k , we have,

$$\frac{1}{n} \log_2 |\mathcal{U}| \approx \frac{k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m q_i H(U_i) = \frac{k}{n} H(U|Q).$$

Definition 4. A (n, k) -QGC over \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} is defined as in (5), and is characterized by a matrix $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^{k \times n}$, a translation $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^n$, and a pair of random variables (U, Q) distributed over a finite set $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r} \times \mathcal{Q}$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a (n, k) -QGC with random variables (Q, U) . Suppose the generator matrices and the translation vector of \mathcal{C} are chosen randomly and uniformly from \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} . Then for large enough k and n with probability one, the rate of \mathcal{C} satisfies

$$R \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \log_2 |\mathcal{C}| \approx \frac{k}{n} H(U|Q).$$

In what follows, we present a packing and a covering bound for the above code \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 1 (Packing bound, [10]). Let (X, Y) distributed according to $p(x)p(y|x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$, and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. By ω_1 denote the first codeword of \mathcal{C} . Let $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^n$ be a random sequence distributed according to $\prod_{i=1}^n p(\tilde{y}_i|\omega_1)$. Suppose, conditioned on ω_1 , the sequence $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^n$ is independent of other codewords in \mathcal{C} . Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $P\{\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C} : (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^n) \in A_\epsilon^{(n)}(X, Y), \mathbf{x} \neq \omega_1\}$ is arbitrary close to zero, if

$$R < \min_{0 \leq t \leq r-1} \frac{H(U|Q)}{H(U|Q, [U]_t)} (\log_2 p^{r-t} - H(X|Y[X]_t)). \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2 (Covering bound, [10]). Suppose the pair of random variables (X, \hat{X}) are distributed according to $p(x, \hat{x})$, where \hat{X} takes values from \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , and X takes values from \mathcal{X} . Let \mathbf{X}^n be a random sequence distributed according to $\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $P\{\exists \hat{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{C} : (\mathbf{X}^n, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \in A_\epsilon^{(n)}(X, \hat{X})\}$ is arbitrary close to one, if

$$R > \max_{1 \leq t \leq r} \frac{H(U|Q)}{H([U]_t|Q)} (\log_2 p^t - H([\hat{X}]_t|X)). \quad (8)$$

IV. MAIN RESULTS

We first propose a structured coding scheme that builds upon QGCs. Next, we present a method for *binning* using QGCs. Then, we derive the single-letter characterization of the achievable rate region using such scheme.

Consider a QGC defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_O \triangleq \{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{v}\tilde{\mathbf{G}} + \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}\}, \quad (9)$$

where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^k$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^l$, respectively. Also \mathbf{G} and $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ are $k \times n$ and $l \times n$ matrices, respectively. In this case, \mathcal{C}_O is a $(n, k+l)$ -QGC. We can associate an inner code for \mathcal{C}_O . Define the inner code as

$$\mathcal{C}_I \triangleq \{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{C}_I is a (n, k) -QGC, and $\mathcal{C}_I \subset \mathcal{C}_O$. The pair $(\mathcal{C}_I, \mathcal{C}_O)$ is called a nested QGC.

Definition 5. A nested (n, k, l) -QGC is defined as a pair $(\mathcal{C}_I, \mathcal{C}_O)$, where \mathcal{C}_I is a (n, k) -QGC, and

$$\mathcal{C}_O = \{\mathbf{x}_I \oplus \bar{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbf{x}_I \in \mathcal{C}_I, \bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}\}, \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is a (n, l) -QGC.

For any fixed element $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{U}$, we define its corresponding bin as the set

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{u}) \triangleq \{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{v}\tilde{\mathbf{G}} + \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

In this situation, \mathcal{C}_O is binned using \mathcal{C}_I as the inner code and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{u})$ as the bins. Using this binning method, a rate region is given in the following Theorem.

Theorem 1. For a given MAC $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{Y}, P_{Y|X_1X_2})$ with independent states (S_1, S_2) and cost functions c_1, c_2 , the following rates are achievable using nested-QGCs

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq r \log_2 p - H(V_1 \oplus V_2|YQ) - \max_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ 1 \leq t \leq r}} \left\{ \frac{H(W_1 \oplus W_2|Q)}{H([W_i]_t|Q)} (\log_2 p^t - H([V_i]_t|QS_i)) \right\},$$

where the joint distribution of the above random variables factors as

$$p(q)p(s_1, s_2) \prod_{i=1,2} p(w_i|q)p(v_i|q, s_i)p(x_i|q, v_i, s_i)p(y|x_1, x_2).$$

Proof: The proof is given in Appendix A. ■

Corollary 1. Set $V_i \sim \text{unif}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})$, $i = 1, 2$. Then the rate-region in the Theorem is simplified to the achievable rate region of group codes, that is

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq \min_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ 1 \leq t \leq r}} \{H([V_i]_t|QS_i)\} - H(V_1 \oplus V_2|YQ).$$

We proposed a coding strategy using nested QGCs to achieve the rate region presented in Theorem 1. We build upon this coding scheme and the extension of the Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme, and propose a new coding strategy. Using this scheme, a new achievable rate region is characterized in the next Theorem.

Theorem 2. For a given MAC $(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{Y}, P_{Y|X_1X_2})$ with independent states (S_1, S_2) and cost functions c_1, c_2 , the following rate region is achievable

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &\leq I(U_1; Y|U_2Q) - I(U_1; S_1|Q) + \Gamma_{QGC} \\ R_1 &\leq I(U_2; Y|U_1Q) - I(U_2; S_2|Q) + \Gamma_{QGC} \\ R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U_1U_2; Y|Q) - I(U_1U_2; S_1S_2|Q) + \Gamma_{QGC}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{QGC} \triangleq r \log_2 p - H(V_1 \oplus V_2|YU_1U_2Q) - \max_{\substack{i=1,2 \\ 1 \leq t \leq r}} \left\{ \frac{H(W_1 \oplus W_2|Q)}{H([W_i]_t|Q)} (\log_2 p^t - H([V_i]_t|U_iQS_i)) \right\},$$

and 1) the cost constraints $\mathbb{E}\{c_i(X_i, S_i)\} \leq \tau_i$ are satisfied, 2) the Markov chain

$$(S_1, U_1, V_1, W_1, X_1) \leftrightarrow Q \leftrightarrow (S_2, U_2, V_2, W_2, X_2)$$

holds, 3) given Q, X_1, X_2 the random variable Y is independent of all other random variables, and 3) conditioned on Q , the random variables W_1, W_2 are independent of other random variables.

Proof: The proof is provided in [11]. ■

Remark 1. The rate region presented in Theorem 2 contains \mathcal{R}_{GP} expressed in Definition 3.

V. AN EXAMPLE

We present a MAC with state setup for which the rate region given in Theorem 2 strictly contains \mathcal{R}_{GP} as described in Definition 3.

Example 1. Consider a noiseless MAC described by

$$Y = X_1 \oplus_4 S_1 \oplus_4 X_2 \oplus_4 S_2,$$

where X_1, X_2 are the inputs, Y is the output, and S_1, S_2 are the states. All the random variables take values from \mathbb{Z}_4 . The states S_1 and S_2 are mutually independent, and are distributed uniformly over \mathbb{Z}_4 . The addition \oplus_4 is the modulo-4 addition. The cost function at the first encoder is defined as

$$c_1(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \{1, 3\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

whereas, for the second encoder the cost function is

$$c_2(x) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \{2, 3\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We are interested in satisfying the cost constraints $\mathbb{E}\{c_1(X_1)\} = \mathbb{E}\{c_2(X_2)\} = 0$. This implies that, with probability one, $X_1 \in \{0, 2\}$, and $X_2 \in \{0, 1\}$.

Consider a version of the channel in Example 1 where there is no states. Thus the MAC in this case is described by $Y' = X_1' \oplus_4 X_2'$, where $X_1 \in \{0, 2\}$ and $X_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. The maximum achievable sum-rate in this channel is $R_1 + R_2 = 2$ bits per channel use. We proceed using two lemmas. First, we derive an outer-bound on the Gel'fand-Pinsker region. Then, we show that the outer-bound is strictly contained in the achievable rate region using QGC.

Lemma 3. *For the setup in Example 1, the set of all rate pairs (R_1, R_2) such that $R_1 + R_2 < 1$ contains \mathcal{R}_{GP} .*

Proof: The proof is given in the Appendix. ■

Remark 2. Using numerical analysis, we can show that \mathcal{R}_{GP} is contained in the set of all rate pairs (R_1, R_2) such that $R_1 + R_2 \leq 0.32$.

Lemma 4. *For the setup in Example 1, the rate pairs (R_1, R_2) satisfying $R_1 + R_2 = 1$ is achievable using QGCs.*

Proof: We use the proposed scheme presented in the proof of Theorem 1. Similar to the proof of the Theorem, two (n, k, l) nested QGCs are used, one for each encoder. Set W_1 and W_2 , the random variables associated with the QGC, to be distributed uniformly over $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are the output of the nested-QGC at encoder 1 and encoder 2, respectively. Encoder 1 sends $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 \oplus \mathbf{s}_1$, where \mathbf{s}_1 is the realization of the state S_1 . Similarly, the second encoder sends $\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 \oplus \mathbf{s}_2$, where \mathbf{s}_2 is the realization of the state S_2 . The conditional distribution of v_1 given s_1 is

$$p(v_1|s_1) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } v_1 = -s_1, \text{ or } v_1 = -s_1 \oplus 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

The distribution of V_2 conditioned of S_2 is

$$p(v_2|s_2) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } v_2 = -s_2, \text{ or } v_2 = -s_2 \oplus 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

As a result, $X_1 \in \{0, 2\}$, $X_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence, the cost constraints are satisfied. In this situation, $H([V_i]_1) = H(V_i) = 1$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $H(V_1 \oplus V_2) = \frac{3}{2}$. Therefore, assuming Q is trivial, the sum-rate given in the Theorem is simplified to

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq \frac{3}{2} \min\{H(V_1|S_1), H(V_2|S_2)\} - H(V_1 \oplus V_2|Y) - \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

where the last equality holds, because $H(V_i|S_i) = 1$, and $H(V_1 \oplus V_2|Y) = H(X_1 \oplus S_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus S_2|Y) = 0$. As a result the sum -rate $R_1 + R_2 = 1$ is achievable. ■

VI. CONCLUSION

The problem of non-binary MAC with states was investigated. We built upon QGC, and the extension of Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme, and propose a new coding scheme. Then, the single-letter characterization of the achievable region using this scheme was derived. We used the coding scheme for the doubly-dirty MAC. We proved that the proposed coding scheme strictly outperforms the Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proof: Fix positive integers n, k_1, k_2 , and l . Let $\mathcal{C}_{I,j}$ be a (n, k_j) -QGC with matrix \mathbf{G}_j , translation \mathbf{b}_j , and random variables (Q_j, U_j) , where U_j is uniform over $\{0, 1\}$, and $j = 1, 2$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$ be two (n, l) QGC with identical matrices $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ and identical translations $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$. Suppose (\bar{Q}, \bar{W}_j) are the random variables associated with $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j$, where \bar{W}_j takes values from \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} , and $j = 1, 2$. By \mathcal{W}_1 and \mathcal{W}_2 denote the sets corresponding to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$, respectively. Since $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$ have identical matrices and translations, then $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1 \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$ is a (n, l) -QGC. The corresponding set of such sum-codebook is $\mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2$. Note that the elements of all the matrices and the translations are selected randomly and uniformly from \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} .

Codebook Construction: For each encoder we use a nested QGC. For the first encoder, we use the (n, k_1, l) -nested QGC generated by $\mathcal{C}_{I,1}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$. For the second encoder, we use the (n, k_2, l) -nested QGC characterized by $\mathcal{C}_{I,2}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$. For the decoder, as a codebook, we use a $(n, k_1 + k_2, l)$ -nested QGC. This codebook is denoted by \mathcal{D} . The inner code is a $(n, k_1 + k_2)$ -QGC defined by $\mathcal{C}_{I,1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{I,2}$. The outer code is a $(n, k_1 + k_2 + l)$ -QGC defined by $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1 \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2 \oplus \mathcal{C}_{I,1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{I,2}$. For $i = 1, 2$ and for each sequence \mathbf{s}_i and $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^n$, generate a sequence \mathbf{x}_i according to $\prod_{j=1}^n p(x_{ij}|s_{ij}, v_{ij})$. Denote such sequence by $x_i(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)$.

Encoding: Without loss of generality, we assume that each message is selected randomly and uniformly from $\{0, 1\}^k$. For $i = 1, 2$, the i th encoder is given a message $\mathbf{u}_i \in \{0, 1\}^k$, and a state sequence \mathbf{s}_i with length n . The encoder first calculates the bin associated with \mathbf{u}_i . Next, it finds a codeword \mathbf{v}_i in the bin such that $(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{s}_i)$ are jointly ϵ -typical with respect to $P_{V_i S_i}$. If no such sequence was found, the error event E_i will be declared. If there was no error, the i th encoder sends $x_i(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)$ $i = 1, 2$. The effective transmission rate for the i th encoder is $R_i = \frac{k_i}{n}$, $i = 1, 2$.

Decoding: We use \mathcal{D} as a codebook in the receiver. For each $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2 \in \{0,1\}^k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2$ the decoder calculates the corresponding codeword defined as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1 \mathbf{G}_1 + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2 \mathbf{G}_2 + \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \bar{\mathbf{G}} + \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{b}_2 + \bar{\mathbf{b}}.$$

Upon receiving \mathbf{Y}^n from the channel, it finds all $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ that are jointly ϵ -typical with \mathbf{Y}^n with respect to $P_{V_1 \oplus V_2, Y}$. If the corresponding $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_2)$ sequences are unique, they will be declared as the decoded messages. Otherwise, an error event E_d will be announced.

Error Analysis: Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 denote the rate of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$, respectively. We use Lemma 2 to analyze the probability of E_1 and E_2 . In this lemma, set $\mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$, $\hat{X} = V_i$, and $X = S_i$. Note that in this case, E_i is the same as the event described in the Lemma. As a result, we use the covering bound in (8), where $R = \rho_i$, $U = W_i$, $Q = \bar{Q}$, $\hat{X} = V_i$, and $X = S_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, according to Lemma 2, $P(E_i)$ approaches zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if the following bound holds:

$$\rho_i > \max_{1 \leq t \leq r} \frac{H(W_i | \bar{Q})}{H([W_i]_t | \bar{Q})} (\log_2 p^t - H([V_i]_t | S_i)). \quad (11)$$

Next, we use Lemma 1 to bound the probability of the event E_d . In this lemma set $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$, and $X = V_1 \oplus V_2$. In this case, E_d is the event defined in the Lemma. If ρ is the rate of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1 \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$, then the rate of \mathcal{D} equals $R_1 + R_2 + \rho$. As a result of Lemma 1, $P(E_d | E_1^c \cap E_2^c)$ approaches zero, if the packing bound in (7) holds for $R = R_1 + R_2 + \rho$, $U = (U_1, U_2)$, $Q = (Q_1, Q_2)$. Since U_i is uniform over $\{0, 1\}$, then $H(U_i | Q_i, [U_i]_t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$. Therefore, the packing bound is simplified to

$$R_1 + R_2 + \rho \leq \log_2 p^r - H(V_1 \oplus V_2 | Y). \quad (12)$$

It can be shown that $\rho = \frac{H(V_1 \oplus V_2 | \bar{Q})}{H(V_i | \bar{Q})} \rho_i$. Finally the bound in the theorem follows by using this equality, bounds in (11) and (12), and denoting $Q = (Q_1, Q_2, \bar{Q})$. ■

APPENDIX B PROOF OF LEMMA 3

Proof: In what follows, we give an upper-bound on (4). The time-sharing random variable Q in the definition of \mathcal{R}_{GP} is trivial, because of the cost constraints $\mathbb{E}\{c_i(X_i)\} = 0, i = 1, 2$. For the bound (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U_1 U_2; Y) - I(U_1; S_1) - I(U_2; S_2) \\ &\leq H(S_1 | U_1) + H(S_2 | U_2) - H(Y | U_1 U_2) - 2 \\ &= \sum_{u_1, u_2} p(u_1, u_2) (H(S_1 | u_1) + H(S_2 | u_2) - H(Y | u_1 u_2) - 2) \\ &\leq \max_{u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2} (H(S_1 | u_1) + H(S_2 | u_2) - H(Y | u_1 u_2) - 2), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds, as $H(Y) \leq 2$, and $H(S_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let \mathcal{P}_{GP} be the collection of all PMFs used in the characterization of \mathcal{R}_{GP} . In the next step, we relax the conditions in \mathcal{P} , and provide an upper-bound on the above inequality. For $i = 1, 2$, and any $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$, define \mathcal{P}_{u_i} as the collection of all conditional pmfs $p(s_i, x_i | u_i)$ on \mathbb{Z}_4^2 such that

- 1) $X_i = f_i(S_i, u_i)$ for some function f_i ,
- 2) $E(c_i(X_i) | u_i) = 0$.

In the first condition, given u_i , $f_i(s_i, u_i)$ can be thought as a function g_{u_i} of s_i . For different u_i 's we have different functions $g_{u_i}(s_i)$. The second condition is implied from the cost constraint $E(c_i(X_i)) = 0$, because without loss of generality we assume $p(u_i) > 0$ for all $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$. Also, note that we removed the condition that S_i is uniform over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Hence, \mathcal{P}_{GP} is a subset of the set of all PMFs of the form $P = \prod_{i=1}^2 p(u_i) p(s_i, x_i | u_i)$, where $p(s_i, x_i | u_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{u_i}, i = 1, 2$. As a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + R_2 &\leq \max_{p(u_1), p(u_2)} \max_{p(s_i, x_i | u_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{u_i}} \sum_{u_1, u_2} p(u_1, u_2) R(u_1, u_2, P) \\ &\leq \max_{u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2} \max_{p(s_i, x_i | u_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{u_i}} R(u_1, u_2, P) \end{aligned}$$

Fix $u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ and $p(s_2, x_2 | u_2) \in \mathcal{P}_{u_2}$. We maximize over all $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $p(s_1, x_1 | u_1) \in \mathcal{P}_{u_1}$. By $Q_{u_2} \in \mathcal{P}_{u_2}$ denote the PMF $p(s_2, x_2 | u_2)$. This optimization problem is equivalent to the following problem

$$R(u_2, Q_{u_2}) = H(S_2 | u_2) + \max_{\substack{u_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1 \\ Q \in \mathcal{P}_{u_1}}} H(S_1 | u_1) - H(Y | u_1) - 2.$$

Let $N = X_2 \oplus S_2$, where X_2 and S_2 are distributed according to $p(s_2, x_2 | u_2)$. Consider the problem of ptp channel with state, where the channel is $Y = X_1 \oplus S_1 \oplus N$. It can be shown that the above quantity is an upper-bound on the capacity of this problem. The following lemma completes the proof.

Lemma 5. $R(u_2, Q_{u_2}) < 1$ for all $u_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ and $Q_{u_2} \in \mathcal{P}_{u_2}$.

The proof of this lemma is provided in [11]. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] S. I. Gel'fand and M. S. Pinsker, "On Gaussian channels with random parameters," in Abstracts of 6th Int. Symp. Information Theory, Tashkent, U.S.S.R., Sep. 1984, pp. 247–250.
- [2] M. Costa, "Writing on dirty paper," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. IT-29, no. 3, pp. 439–441, May 1983.
- [3] S. A. Jafar, "Capacity with causal and noncausal side information - A unified view," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 5468–5475, Dec. 2006.
- [4] T. Philosof and R. Zamir, "On the loss of single-letter characterization: The dirty multiple access channel," IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory, vol. 55, pp. 2442–2454, June 2009.
- [5] A. Padakandla and S. S. Pradhan, "Achievable rate region based on coset codes for multiple access channel with states," 2013 IEEE Int. Symposium on Inf. Theory, Istanbul, 2013, pp. 2641–2645.
- [6] J. Korner and K. Marton, "How to encode the modulo-two sum of binary sources," IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory, IT-25:219–221, Mar. 1979.
- [7] M. Heidari, F. Shirani and S. S. Pradhan, "New sufficient conditions for Multiple-Access Channel with correlated sources," IEEE Int. Symp. on Inf. Theory (ISIT), Barcelona, 2016, pp. 2019–2023.
- [8] F. Shirani, M. Heidari and S. S. Pradhan, "Quasi Linear Codes: Application to point-to-point and multi-terminal source coding," 2016 IEEE Int. Symp. on Inf. Theory (ISIT), Barcelona, 2016, pp. 730–734.
- [9] B. Nazer and M. Gastpar, "Computation over multiple-access channels," IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 3498–3516, Oct. 2007.
- [10] M. Heidari and S. S. Pradhan, "How to compute modulo prime-power sums," IEEE Int. Symp. on Inf. Theory (ISIT), Barcelona, 2016, pp. 1824–1828.
- [11] M. Heidari, F. Shirani, S.S. Pradhan, "A New Achievable Rate Region for Multiple-Access Channel with States," <http://arxiv.org>, 2017.