



DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/2376/ROJ-124(JBD)/2023

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. Bajhi s/o Ghulam Yaseen Kambrani, c/o Haji Shah Nawaz Chajjan, Kiryana Store, near City Park, Kandhkot, District Kashmore.

Name of the Agency Complained against : Revenue Department

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Mr. Zahid Hussain Buriro
Director, Regional Office Jacobabad

Vetted by : Mir Hussain Ali Advisor-M

Subject : COMPLAINT REGARDING ALLEGED FAVORITISM IN APPOINTMENT AGAINST DIFFERENTLY ABLED PERSONS QUOTA IN THE YEAR 2021.

Complaint:

Mr. Bajhi Kambrani filed his complaint dated 11.3.2023 regarding alleged favoritism in appointment against differently abled person's quota in the year 2021 because of which he has not been appointed. Hence he prayed for intervention in the present matter by this Institution.

Proceedings:

2. The complaint admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 was taken up with the Agency for report. In response, the Deputy Commissioner, Kashmore vide his letter dated 24.8.2023 reported that the appointment against disabled quota has been made as per Government policy / SOP on merit as per directions of the Supreme Court of Pakistan, though a designated recruitment committee comprising of Section Officer SR-II (SGA&CD) and the district head of the Department concerned, notified by the Government of Sindh, vide No.SO(SR-1) (SGA&CD) 1-215/2021 and No. SORI (SGA&CD) 3-76/2019 dated 3.3.2020. This committee recommended candidates for appointment against vacant posts, who possessed the required educational qualifications and had scored higher marks than the complainant. He further reported that the complainant Mr. Bajhi s/o Ghulam Yaseen Kambrani, had appeared before the committee and was awarded 81 marks and was placed on the list at Serial No. 180. As there were only 71 vacancies, he could not be appointed.

3. The report alongwith its enclosures was sent to the complainant on his address for filing his rejoinder/reply against the same, if any, vide this office letter dated 7.9.2023, followed by reminders, but there was no response. He also did not respond to calls made on his cell phone.

Findings:

4. The report of the agency was forwarded to the complainant for obtaining his comments followed by repeated reminders but there was no response from him. This indicated that he does not want to avail the opportunity to substantiate his point of view and does not seem to be interested in pursuing the matter.

Decision:

5. In view of the above the further proceedings are stopped, and the complaint is consigned to the record room.

"Given under my hand and seal of office"



- Sd /-

(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)

Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS

OMBUDSMAN SINDH

Karachi, dated 20th December, 2024