



DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/KR-11/2025

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. Sagheer Ahmed,
R/o. House No. 394, Sector 50/D, Korangi 100 Quarters,
Karachi

Name of the Agency Complained against : Karachi Development Authority

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Mr. Muhammad Shoaib Ahmed Siddiqui,
Advisor-in-Charge, R.O Korangi

Vetted by : Mr. Ghulam Abid Shaikh,
Advisor-K

Subject : **COMPLAINT AGAINST TRANSFER OF PLOT NO.394, SECTOR 50/D, KORANGI 100 QUARTERS IN SOMEONE ELSE'S NAME FRAUDULENTLY THOUGH THE PROPERTY IS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE COMPLAINANT - REQUEST FOR VERIFICATION OF RECORD.**

COMPLAINT

Mr. Sagheer Ahmed, filed a complaint stating that he is the lawful owner of Plot No.394, Sector 50-D, Korangi 100 Quarters, Karachi. He stated that upon approaching the Karachi Development Authority (KDA) to register a gift deed in favour of his son, Muhammad Saleem, he was informed that the said plot had already been gifted to someone else back in 2012. He claimed he had never executed such a gift deed and that the property had remained in his possession. He requested verification of the relevant record.

PROCEEDINGS

2. The matter was referred to the Director Land, KDA, Karachi, for a factual report. In response, the Assistant Director (KGI), Land Management, KDA, vide letter dated 27.02.2025, reported that the plot in question was originally allotted to Mr. Ameer Hussain S/o Barkat Ali vide letter No.37/315 dated 01.02.1986. It was later transferred to Mr. Wali Muhammad S/o Faqeer Muhammad through transfer No.1155 dated 24.04.1993, and subsequently to Mr. Sagheer Ahmed S/o Nazeer Ahmed through transfer No.3741 dated 27.12.1993. The record further shows that the property was later transferred by way of gift in favour of Mst. Zareena Begum W/o Sagheer Ahmed and Ms. Nazia D/o Sagheer Ahmed (wife and daughter of the complainant) through entry No.536 dated 10.07.2012.
3. In his letter addressed to the Director, KDA — a copy of which was also submitted to this office on 20.06.2025 — the complainant denied having executed any gift deed in favour of his wife (now deceased), Mst. Zareena Begum, and his daughter, Ms. Nazia. He contended that the transaction was fraudulent and requested that the said gift entry be cancelled.

FINDINGS

4. Upon careful examination of the record and proceedings, it is noted that the property is currently recorded in the names of the complainant's wife and daughter on the basis of a gift deed executed in 2012. The complainant now seeks cancellation of the said deed. However, under Section 39 of the Specific Relief Act, such cancellation falls exclusively within the jurisdiction of a competent civil court.

DECISION

5. In view of the above, the complaint is disposed of for want of jurisdiction, as the matter pertains to the cancellation of a registered document—an issue that requires adjudication by a civil court.
6. The complainant is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum to seek remedy, if he so desires.

Given under my hand and seal of office.



Sd /-
(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)

Sitara-e-Imtiaz. PAS
Ombudsman Sindh

Karachi, dated; 7th August, 2025

