



## **DECISION**

**Complaint No.** : POS/1414/ROK-13/(Khp)/2022

**Name and address of the complainant** : Mr. Shah Baig, S/o Ghous Bux Behan,  
R/o Village Tali Behan, Deh Tali, Taluka Thari Mirwah  
District Khairpur.

**Name of the Agency Complained against** : Revenue Department

**Name & Designation of Investigating Officer** : **AHMED BAKHSH GHUMRO,**  
Consultant / Incharge,  
Regional Office Khairpur

**Vetted by** : **Mr. Muhammad Misbah Tunio, Advisor-J**

**Subject** : **ALLEGED REFUSAL TO PROVIDE TRUE COPIES OF RECORD OF LAND BY MUKHTIARKAR, THARI MIRWAH.**

## **COMPLAINT**

Mr. Shah Baig Behan filed a complaint on 09-03-2022 claiming that his late father Ghous Bux S/o Shah Baig and his mother Khatoon Begum D/o Muhammad Bux Behan were owner of land bearing S.Nos. 151, 166, 172, 173, 174, 188, 189, 190, 194, 276 and 288 in Deh Tali Behan Taluka Thari Mirwah. He claims to have approached Mukhtiarkar, Thari Mirwah and Tapedar, Deh Tali Behan for ascertaining as to who was presently in possession of these survey numbers and paying the Dhal. He further claimed that applications for this purpose were also submitted to Commissioner, Sukkur and Deputy Commissioner, Khairpur both of whom forwarded the same to A.C Thari Mirwah, but he is not responding. He, therefore, solicited intervention of this Institution.

## **PROCEEDINGS**

02. The complaint was admitted u/s 10 of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date), by condoning the delay in filing it, subject to submission of Affidavit on Form "A" and a copy of CNIC.

03. After completion of codal formalities, the matter was taken up with Assistant Commissioner, Thari Mirwah on 30-03-2022 for comments. On 27-11-2024, AC Thari Mirwah forwarded report submitted by Mukhtiarkar, Thari Mirwah that revenue record pertaining to S.No. 151 & ten other survey numbers of Deh Tali, Taluka Thari Mirwah was verified but no entry in the names of complainant's parent was found. The Agency also submitted copies of relevant entries in revenue record.

(Continued at P/2)




**FINDINGS**

04. From the precedent para, it transpires that not a single survey number mentioned by complainant in his complaint was entered in the revenue record of Deh Tali, Taluka Thari Mirwah in the names of complainant's parents. Therefore his complaint is liable to be rejected.

**DECISION**

05. The complaint is, therefore, disposed of as rejected and consigned to record.

“Given under my hand and seal of office”



Sd /-  
(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)  
Sitara-e-Imtiaz. PAS  
Ombudsman, Sindh  
Karachi, dated 06 January, 2025