



## **DECISION**

**Complaint No.** : POS/290/ROK-06/(Khp)/2023

**Name and address of the complainant** : Mr. Ghulam Rasool, S/o Ali Muhammad Narejo,  
R/o Village Ali Muhammad Narejo,  
Taluka Sobhodero, District Khairpur.

**Name of the Agency Complained against** : Revenue Department

**Name & Designation of Investigating Officer** : **AHMED BAKHSH GHUMRO,**  
Consultant / Incharge, Regional Office Khairpur

**Vetted by** : **Mr. Muhammad Misbah Tunio, Advisor-J**

**Subject** : **DELAY IN ISSUANCE OF SALE CERTIFICATE OF LAND**  
**BEARING S. NO. 659, DEH PIYALO AND ALLEGED**  
**DEMAND OF BRIBE BY TAPEDAR.**

### **COMPLAINT**

Mr. Ghulam Rasool Narejo, filed a complaint on 10-01-2023 alleging that Munshi Pyar Ali Mallah, Tapedar of Deh Piyalo, Taluka Sobhodero, District Khairpur, was refusing to issue the sale certificate for his agricultural land, bearing S.Nos. 659 and others, measuring 6-20 acres. He further alleged that the Tapedar demanded a substantial bribe. The complainant sought the intervention of this Institution.

### **PROCEEDINGS**

**02.** The complaint was admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date), by condoning the delay in filing it and subject to the submission of an Affidavit on Form "A".

**03.** Upon completion of the required formalities, the matter was referred to the Mukhtiarkar (Revenue), Sobhodero, on 30-01-2023 for comments. In response, the Mukhtiarkar, Sobhodero, reported on 28-02-2023 that as per entry No. 125 dated 13-02-1993 VF-VII-B, Deh Piyalo, the following S.Nos. were entered in the name of Ali Muhammad S/o Karam Khan Narejo: S.Nos. 658/2-38, 659/1-33, 667/2-21, 668/1-1, 669/1-11, 670/2-4 (11-28 acres) and S.Nos. 142/2-32, 204/1-39, 205/1-1, 206/1-1, 820/1-13, 821/1-0, 822/1-6, 891/2-00 (13-8 acres). The Foti Khata of the land was later changed in the name of his son, Ghulam Rasool Narejo. Mukhtiarkar reported that Ali Muhammad Narejo left behind nine legal heirs, including four sons, four daughters, and one widow.

**04.** It was reported that all legal heirs consented to the transfer of the Foti Khata to Ghulam Rasool. However, a dispute arose among them, and they sought the cancellation of the Foti Khata entry and requested a new entry in the names of all the legal heirs, who were in possession of the land. An application was filed before the Deputy Commissioner, Khairpur, requesting to maintain a status-quo until the dispute was resolved.

**Continued at P/2**



05. The Agency's report was shared with the complainant on 14-04-2023 for a rejoinder. In response, the complainant submitted a rejoinder on 30-04-2023 stating that he was not satisfied with the contents of the Agency's report, which he deemed false and in-accurate.

06. Further comments were called for from the Mukhtiarkar, Sobhodero, on 05-05-2023. In response, the Mukhtiarkar, Sobhodero, on 18-05-2023 re-affirmed his earlier report. It was also reported that the complainant's brother, Muhammad Nawaz, had filed a Revenue Appeal before the Assistant Commissioner, Sobhodero, for the cancellation of the Foti Khata entry No. 171 dated 13-02-1993, made in favor of Ghulam Rasool. A copy of the Revenue Appeal was also submitted.

07. The matter was scheduled for a hearing on 13-07-2023 but both parties were absent. However, the Tapedar submitted his statement along with a copy of the order dated 26-06-2023 passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Sobhodero, in the Revenue Appeal of the complainant's brother, Muhammad Nawaz, which cancelled the Foti Khata entry. The Assistant Commissioner's order directed the Mukhtiarkar, Sobhodero, to issue a fresh Foti Khata for the land owned by the deceased Ali Muhammad Narejo in the names of all the legal heirs, following a Jalsa-e-Aam.

08. The written statement of the Tapedar, along with the copy of the order dated 26-06-2023 passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Sobhodero, was shared with the complainant on 10-08-2023 for a rejoinder. However, the complainant did not respond despite reminders. He also failed to produce any documentary evidence to refute the report of the Agency.

#### **FINDINGS**

09. Based on the above proceedings, the complaint is liable to be rejected.

#### **DECISION**

10. In light of the foregoing, the complaint is hereby disposed of as rejected and consigned to the record.

"Given under my hand and seal of office"



Sd /-  
(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)  
Sitara-e-Imtiaz. PAS  
Ombudsman, Sindh  
Karachi, dated 21<sup>st</sup> February, 2025