

DECISION

Complaint No.

POS/1570/2024/RM-38

Name and address

Mr. Talib Hussain R/o. Village Choudhary Haq Nawaz,

of the complainant

Deh-Bhalaro, Taluka Shujabad, District Mirpurkhas.

Name of the Agency Complained against

Director General, Agriculture Extension Hyderabad.

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer

Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Junejo,

T.I, Regional Director, Mirpurkhas.

Vetted by

Mr. Muhammad Nasser Jamali, Advisor-N

AGAINST

ALLEGING NON-PAYMENT OF SEED SUBSIDY FOR Subject CROP-2022-23 TO THE COMPLAINANT.

COMPLAINT:

Mr. Talib Hussain filed a complaint dated 13-03-2024 wherein he stated that the Agriculture department had announced the payment of subsidy for wheat crop 2022-23 due to rains of 2022. He had applied for grant of subsidy for wheat crop but he has been informed that his name was not included in the approved list of beneficries. Aggrieved by that, he solicited intervention of this Institution.

PROCEEDINGS:

- The complaint was admitted under section 10 of Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the 2. Province of Sindh Act 1991 (amended up to date) after receiving mandatory affidavit on Form-A, copy of CNIC and by condoning the delay.
- This office issued notice dated 08-04-2024 to the Director, Technical/Focal Person, Agriculture 3. Extension Department Hyderabad and Mukhtiarkar (Rev), Taluka Shujabad for reports. The Additional Director, Agriculture Department Mirpurkhas furnished report dated 23-04-2024 wherein he informed that the complainant had not applied for seed subsidy.
- The agency's report was sent to the complainant for rejoinder vide letter dated 24.04.2024 who 4. appeared before Investigation Officer, Murpurkhas on 22.08.2024 and verbally informed that he had applied for grant of wheat subsidy. The matter was refered to the Mukhtiarkar Taluka Shujaabad for verification vide letter dated 27.08.2024. The Mukhtiarkar (Rev) Taluka Shujabad submitted report dated 10-10-2024 that the complainant had not cultivated wheat crop during the year 2022-23 as verified by the survey team, hence, his name was not recommended for grant of subsidy.
- The agency's report was forwarded to the complainant for 2nd rejoinder vide letter dated 15.10.2024 but he did not respond despite issuance of reminders.

DECISION:

In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under section 11 of Establishment of the 6. Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh, Act 1991 (amended up to date), the complaint is disposed of due to non-prosecution, w/r to Regulation 21(d) of Investigation and Disposal of complaints regulation 2024 of the Ombudsman Act.

Given under my hand and seal of the offi

Sd /-(MUHAMMAD SOHAIL RAJPUT) Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS Ombudsman, Sindh Karachi, dated 22, January-2025