



DECISION

Complaint No.	:	POS/5902/TTA/18/2021
Name and address of the complainant	:	Mr. Ahmed Ali S/o Mohammad Ali Jakhro, R/o Village Pir Bux, Taluka Mirpur Bathoro, District Thatta
Name of the Agency Complained against	:	Revenue Department Thatta.
Name & Designation of Investigating Officer	:	Dr. Riaz Ahmed Sddiqui Regional Director, Thatta.
Vetted by	:	Mr. Shahid Ahmed Hashmi, Consultant-Legal
Subject	:	<u>FAILURE TO TAKE ACTION BY THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, THATTA AND MUKHTIARKAR, THATTA AGAINST INDIVIDUALS, TRESPASSING INTO COMPLAINANT'S LAND TO EXTRACT AND SELL SAND / STONES.</u>

THE COMPLAINT:

Mr. Ahmed Ali S/o Mohammad Ali Jakhro filed a complaint dated 09.11.2021, against Revenue Department Thatta and Mukhtiarkar, Taluka Thatta regarding their failure to take action against Mushtaque Ali & others who were used to trespass onto his land to extract and sell the sand and stones.

PROCEEDINGS:

2. The complaint was admitted and referred to Deputy Commissioner Thatta and Taluka Mukhtiarkar. The Mukhtiarkar, Taluka Thatta vide his letter dated 30.11.2022, informed that the record provided by the complainant to substantiate his claim about the ownership of land in question is not available in the original record of the Deputy Commissioner, Thatta office. The report provides that the matter about lifting of Raiti / Bajri pertain to Director Mines & Minerals, whose office would be in a better position to explain the matter to whom they leased the land.

3. In his subsequent report dated 26.08.2024, the Mukhtiarkar reported that he personally visited the *site* and enquired about the alleged extraction of Reti / Bajri. On his visit, one Mr. Abdul Qadir Palari has introduced himself as *lessee* of the land and informed him that for last ½ year they were extracting Reti / Bajri being owner of the land, after making Zamindari charges to Mines & Mineral Department; however, on surfacing of dispute they stopped extracting. The report reads that the complainant has shown his satisfaction on receiving such information from the office of the Mukhtiarkar.

REJOINDER:

4. The report was forwarded to the complainant for his rejoinder. The complainant appeared in person and confirmed the development as per report. He extended his gratitude to this office for resolving his grievance and therefore, sought closure of the investigations.

FINDING:

5. Having redressed the grievance, further action is uncalled for.

DECISION:

6. In view of the above, the complaint stands disposed of as redressed.

Given under my hand & seal of office

