



## DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/4867/2023/SGR-61

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. Shoukat Ali, S/o. Saeedullah,  
C/o. Allah Dino Dero Shop, Near Edhi Centre,  
Tando Adam, District Sanghar.

Name of the Agency Complained against : School Education Department.

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Mr. Ghulam Shabir Memon  
Regional Director, Sanghar

Vetted by : Syed Hashim Raza Zaidi  
Advisor-S

Subject : DELAY IN APPOINTMENT OF THE COMPLAINANT AS "NAIB QASID" AGAINST DECEASED QUOTA IN PLACE OF HIS FATHER WHO DIED DURING SERVICE IN THE YEAR 2012.

### THE COMPLAINT:

Mr. Shoukat Ali filed a complaint on 03-07-2023 against School Education Department, stating therein, that his father late Saeedullah was working in Education Department as PTI at Govt. Boys High School, Ahmed Buriro, Taluka Tando Adam who died on 16-10-2012 during service. He was a minor then and after attaining the age of 18 years, he applied for the post of Naib Qasid against deceased quota. But same has not been considered. The complainant had frequently approached the concerned agency for redressal of his grievance, but to no avail. Aggrieved by that, he solicited the intervention of this Institution.

### PROCEEDINGS:

2. The complaint was admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended upto date), after receiving mandatory Affidavit on Form "A" and original signed complaint from the complainant, the matter was referred to agency. In response, the District Education Officer, ES&HS, Sanghar has filed report vide letter dated 27-05-2024 stating therein that the case of complainant regarding appointment against deceased quota has already been sent to the Secretariat for consideration and the Secretary, SELD, Karachi has raised objection, because, the complainant did not apply for appointment against deceased quota within due date and time. He has further stated that father of complainant died in the year 2012 when he was underage, but he attained the age of maturity in 2016 so he should have applied within 60 days after his maturity. As the complainant has applied in 2019 instead of 2016, so his case was not considered as per policy.

3. The report of the agency was sent to the complainant for his rejoinder vide this office letter dated 27-06-2024 but he did not respond. This shows that complainant has lost his interest to pursue his case.


### FINDINGS:

4. The complainant has neither appeared in person nor filed his rejoinder despite issuance of multiple reminders.

### DECISION:

5. In view of above, the complaint is disposed of on account of non-prosecution.

*Given under my hand and seal of the office.*



Sd /-  
(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)  
Sitara-e-Imtiaz; PAS  
Ombudsman Sindh,  
Karachi, Dated: 27/January, 2025