



DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/4388/2024/HYD/684

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. Muhammad Junaid,
R/o H.No.37, New Sabzi Mandi, Dastaghir Colony,
Hyderabad

Name of the Agency Complained against : Senior Superintendent of Police Hyderabad.

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Syed Muhammad Sajjad Hyder, Regional Director,
Regional Office, Hyderabad.

Vetted by : **Muhammad Naseer Jamali, Advisor-N**

Subject : COMPLAINT AGAINST S.H.O. POLICE STATION HALI ROAD WHO DID NOT INVESTIGATE HIS CASE FIR NO.165/2024 DUE TO NON-PAYMENT OF BRIBE

Complaint

Mr. Muhammad Junaid filed an online complaint dated 17.09.2024 against Shaukat Ali Malookani, the SHO of PS, Hali Road, Hyderabad alleging failure to conduct proper investigation on his complaint FIR No.165/24 due to non-payment of bribe. Aggrieved by that, he solicited intervention of this Institution.

Proceedings

2. The complaint was admitted for investigation u/s 10 (3) of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date) subject to submission of mandatory documents and by condoning the delay.

3. This office issued a notice dated 22.10.2024 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hyderabad for report. In response, SIP/SHO Mr. Shaukat Ali Malookani appeared before Investigating Officer Hyderabad on 05.11.2024 who submitted a report stating therein that the allegations leveled by the complainant are false and fabricated. He added that the complainant and his neighbor, namely Muhammad Kashif Bhatti have petty disputes and frequently submit baseless applications against each other. He produced a copy of "Court Order" dated 09.09.2024 passed by Addl: Sessions Judge Hyderabad in Criminal Misc application No.4273/2024 filed by the complainant against Kashif Bhatti. The operating para of the orders of the court is reproduced as under:

"The case of complainant Junaid Hashmi that there is a dispute in between his father and proposed accused (Kashif Bhatti) over construction of plot on 14.08.2024 while he was coming from his work and reached at Railway Workshop near Water Tank, the proposed accused coming on motorcycle made direct fire upon him which he received on his person and fell down, he was given treatment and final medical certificate was also issued disclosing injury to his person as cognizable offence, yet SHO refused to record his statement. The statement disclosed in the application is supported with copy of medical

Cont'd.....P/2.....

(2)

certificate issued on 22.08.2024 which shows one of the injury to his person to be failing US337-F(iii)PPC and if so, it is a cognizable offence, therefore, the SHO of concerned Police Station is directed to first collect original final medical certificate of the injuries of injured and if there from it appears to him that any offence is opined as of cognizable offence, then record statement of applicant in his verbatim, incorporate the same in 154 Cr. PC book. However, during investigation, if the statement of applicant is found false, the SHO to initiate legal proceedings against applicant in accordance with law. The application stands disposed of accordingly”.

4. The copy of agency's report was sent to the complainant for rejoinder vide letter dated 28.11.2024, followed by reminders but he did not respond.

Decision

5. In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under section 11 of Establishment of the office of the Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended upto date), the complaint is disposed of on account of non-prosecution.

Given under my hand and seal of the office



Sd /-
(MUHAMMAD SOHAIL RAJPUT)
Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS
Ombudsman Sindh

Karachi dated 20th June, 2025

