



## **DECISION**

Complaint No. : POS/1094/2023/HYD/77

Name and address of the complainant : Mst: Ruhi Bano d/o Qamaruddin  
R/o H.No: A/68, American Quarter, Hali Road,  
Hyderabad.

Name of the Agency Complained against : Deputy Inspector General of Police Hyderabad.

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Abdul Wahab Memon, Consultant / Regional Director,  
Regional Office, Hyderabad.

Vetted by : Muhammad Naseer Jamali, Advisor-N

Subject : COMPLAINT AGAINST NON-SELECTION OF  
COMPLAINANT AS LADY POLICE CONSTABLE

### **Complaint**

Mst. Ruhi Bano filed a complaint dated 02.02.2023 wherein she stated that she appeared in the written test and interview for the post of Lady Police Constable under general recruitment (SPD-388). She passed the written test, cleared physical test and interview but she has not been selected. Aggrieved by that, she solicited intervention of this Institution.

### **Proceedings**

2. The complaint was admitted for investigation u/s 10 (3) of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date) after receiving mandatory Affidavit on Form "A" and other relevant documents and by condoning the delay.

3. This office issued notice dated 02.03.2023 to the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Hyderabad for report. The DSP/Admn of DIGP Hyderabad submitted report dated 03.03.2023 that 32 posts of Lady Police Constables were reserved for the candidates of Hyderabad domicile. The complainant, Mst. Ruhi Bano had obtained 48.25 marks in the written test and 31 marks in the interview. Hence, she secured 79.25 marks in total and placed at S.No. 39 of the merit list for Hyderabad district. As per vacancy position, 32 candidates with domicile of Hyderabad district were appointed as Lady Police Constables, who had obtained higher marks than the marks obtained by the complainant.

4. The report of agency was sent to the complainant for rejoinder vide letter dated 14.03.2023, followed by reminders. The complainant appeared before Investigating Officer Hyderabad on 13.08.2024 and filed a written statement wherein she could not deny the contention of the agency.

### **Findings**

5. The investigation of the case did not establish mal-administration against the agency.

### **Decision**

6. In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under section 11 of Establishment of the office of the Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended upto date), the complaint is disposed of as rejected.

Given under my hand and seal of the office



Sd /-

(MUHAMMAD SOHAIL RAJPUT)  
Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS  
Ombudsman Sindh

Karachi, dated 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2024