



## **DECISION**

**Complaint No.** : POS/1937/ROJ-70(JBD)/2024

**Name and address of the complainant** : Mr. Muhammad Khan s/o Muhammad Ibrahim Brohi, r/o Sardar Brohi Street, Mohalla Phool Bagh, Jacobabad.

**Name of the Agency Complained against** : Revenue Department

**Name & Designation of Investigating Officer** : Mr. Zahid Hussain Buriro  
Director Regional Office Jacobabad

**Vetted by** : Mir Hussain Ali Advisor-M

**Subject** : COMPLAINT AGAINST TAPEDAR AND OTHER OFFICIALS WHO ALLEGEDLY RECEIVED BRIBE OF RS. 680,000/- FOR MUTATION OF LAND.

### **Complaint:**

Mr. Muhammad Khan filed his complaint dated 18.4.2024 against the Tapedar and other officials of the Office of Mukhtiarkar (Revenue), Taluka Garhi Yasin, District Shikarpur who allegedly took bribe of Rs.680,000/- from his mother and uncle for mutation of land purchased by them, and have been demanding more amount also. He therefore requested this Institution to intervene in the matter.

### **Proceedings:**

2. The complaint admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 was referred to Agency for report. In response, the Mukhtiarkar (Revenue) Garhi Yasin vide office letter dated 22.7.2024 reported that complainant's uncle Muhammad Ishaque and his mother Mst. Rani have purchased land measuring 2-10 acre from survey No. 276/10 to 276/15, 305/9, 305/10 & 305/15, from Imran Khan Junejo and Mst. Shahida Begum w/o Baderuddin through registered sale deed vide entry No. 109 dated 8.6.2022 in Deh Nim, Tapo Jampario, Taluka Garhi Yasin. He further stated that the ownership of above mentioned land is recorded in the name of Imran Khan Junejo and others vide entry No. 79 dated 23.6.2021, whereas the complainants have neither obtained a sale certificate (Sale fard) nor do they possess a registered Sale Deed of this land from the owners. He denied having received any illegal gratification for recording the mutation. He also clarified that on recording the statements of the Mohammad Ishaque, uncle of complainant and Abdul Haque s/o Abdul Qadir Brohi, it was learnt that the claim of purchasing 2-10 acre of agriculture land from Juneja community in Deh Gaman Khan Brohi is incorrect, as there is no Deh of this name in Union Council Nim or within Taluka Garhi Yasin. He further explained that the allegations about illegal gratification cannot be established as the amount differs in different correspondence. As the Deh named Gaman Khan Brohi does not exist and there is no registered Sale Deed of the purchase, the ownership of the land cannot be recorded in favor of the complainant's uncle and mother.

3. This report was sent to the complainant vide letter dated 24.7.2024 for rejoinder, who disagreed with the comments of the Agency and as such matter was referred again to the Agency. The Mukhtiarkar (Revenue), Taluka Garhi Yasin and



the complainant Mr. Muhammad Khan both appeared before the Investigating Officer on 26.11.2024, when Mr. Muhammad Khan filed his statement that the agency has issued a Sale Certificate in his favor, and therefore he does not want any further proceedings on his complaint. He also stated that due to annoyance he had made the allegations of bribe against the revenue officials. Further the complainant has filed another complaint bearing No. POS/49/ROJ-49(JBD)/2024 in the same matter.

**Findings:**

4. On the intervention of this institution, the grievance of the complainant has been resolved, which is also confirmed by him vide his application dated 26.11.2024. Hence no further action is required on both the complaints filed by him.

**Decision:**

5. In view of the above, both the complaints stands disposed of as redressed and consigned to record accordingly.

“Given under my hand and seal of office”

**Sd /-**

(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)  
Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS  
OMBUDSMAN SINDH  
Karachi, dated 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

