



DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/ROS/SKK-117/2024

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. Ghulam Nabi S/o. Mir Muhammad Kalhoro,
R/o. Village Ghulam Nabi Kalhoro, Taluka Lakhi,
Shikarpur.

Name of the Agency Complained against : District Food Controller,
Shikarpur

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Mr. Nazir Ahmed Dhoon,
Director, Regional Office, Sukkur

Vetted by : Mr. Ghulam Abid Shaikh,
Advisor-K

Subject : **COMPLAINT AGAINST DILSHAD AHMED SOOMRO, D.F.C. AND OTHERS WHO ALLEGEDLY HAVE FAILED TO PROVIDE BARDANA TO THE COMPLAINANT FOR WHEAT PROCUREMENT 2024 DESPITE RECEIPT OF RS.100,000/- AS TRANSPORT CHARGES.**

COMPLAINT

Mr. Ghulam Nabi Kalhoro, filed a complaint on 29.07.2024 against Dilshad Ahmed Soomro, District Food Controller, Shikarpur who allegedly had not provided Bardana to him for wheat procurement despite receipt of Rs.100,000/- as transport charges. He, therefore, solicited our intervention in the matter.

PROCEEDINGS

2. The matter was taken up with the District Food Controller, Shikarpur vide this office letter dated: 26.08.2024 followed by reminders. In response, he vide his report dated: 27.02.2025, denied the allegations leveled by the complainant. He further added that the complainant has never approached him nor submitted any application regarding supply of Bardana which was distributed by the Shikarpur Center Incahrge on merits.
3. The alleged Food Supervisor Mr. Aijaz Ali Magsi also appeared before the Investigating Officer on 29.04.2025 and submitted his written statement denying the allegations levelled by the complainant against him. He further added that the complainant did not come to him for receiving the bardana for wheat. The allegation of Rs.100,000/- as transport charges is also baseless and without any evidence.
4. A copy of said report was sent to the complainant for his rejoinder vide this office letter dated: 17.02.2025. In response, Mr. Mir Muhammad S/o. Ghulam Nabi (complainant) vide his rejoinder dated: 12.05.2025 reiterated his father's earlier contention without any cogent proof.

5. In order to resolve the issue, the Investigating Officer fixed the matter to hear both the parties again on 24.07.2025 which was attended by alleged DFC alongwith Center Incharge Mr. Aijaz Ahmed Magsi who submitted written statement denying the allegations. The complainant however, did not appear. The Investigating Officer contacted him on the given number which was attended by his son who informed that his father Ghulam Nabi has expired and assured to appear personally on 25.07.2025 but he did not come to the Investigating officer's office who also tried to contact him several times through his given cell number but he did not attend the call. From this, it is presumed that complainant's son, after his father's death, has lost interest to pursue the matter.

FINDINGS

6. Since, the complainant's son is not responding to the calls of Investigating Officer, hence, no further action is required.

DECISION

7. In view of above, the complaint is closed on account of non-solemn prosecution.

Given under my hand and seal of office.



Sd /-
(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)

Sitara-e-Imtiaz. PAS
Ombudsman Sindh
Karachi, dated; 25~~th~~ August, 2025

