

DECISION POS/ROG/GTK-25/2018

Complaint No.

Name and address of the complainant

Name of the Agency Complained against

Name & Designation of

Investigating Officer :

Vetted by

Subject

Mr. Waqar Ali S/o. Muhammad Ramzan Soomro,

R/o. Soomra Mohalla, Taluka Mirpur Mathelo, District Ghotki

Local Government Department

Mr. Fazal Muhammad Shaikh, Director, Regional Office, Ghotki

Mr. Ghulam Abid Shaikh,

Advisor-K

COMPLAINT AGAINST **NON-PAYMENT OF**

SALARY AND ITS **ARREARS** COMPLAINANT WAQAR ALI SOOMRO,

QASID.

COMPLAINT

Mr. Waqar Ali, filed a complaint on 03.07.2018 against Local Government Department and alleged non-payment of salary and its arrears to him. He has approached the concerned agency, but it was of no avail. Aggrieved by that, he solicited intervention of this Institution.

PROCEEDINGS

2. The complaint was admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended upto date). After receiving the mandatory Affidavit on Form-A, other relevant documents and by condoning the delay. The Investigating Officer called report from the agency and matter remained under correspondence.

3. In response, the Section Officer-II, Local Government & HTP Department, Karachi informed that the meetings were held on 24.04.2024 & 05.05.2025. The applicant was also heard on 05.05.2025 but he could not provide any substantial evidence with regard to his appointment. He produced appointment order claiming to be issued by the then Nazim U.C. No.III, District Ghotki, wherein it is clearly mentioned that the appointment of the applicant is purely on temporary basis and he was never regularized by the Administrative Department i.e. Local Government Department, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi. It is further stated that the applicant failed to provide any salary record / evidence, from 2009 to 2013. Moreover, after the Scrutiny / examining the service profile / record of appointment of Mr. Waqar Ali Soomro, it is found that neither he was appointed by his department nor any permission was issued with regard to his appointment. He further informed that the applicant is fake employee claiming his job on fake / forged / fabricated, documents and the case is baseless and devoid of merit.



FINDINGS 4.

The complainant was heard on 05.05.2025 by the Local Government Department wherein he could not provide any substantial evidence with regard to his appointment. Hence, the agency has confirmed that the complainant is fake employee.

In view of the above, the complaint is hereby rejected.

Given under my hand and seal of office.

