

# DECISION

Complaint No. POS/853/2024/NWS-22.

Name and address Mr. Abdul Hafeez Chandio s/o Sajan Khan Chandio,

of the complainant C/o Dur Muhammad Juno, Stamp Vendor Dargah Road,

Taluka Kazi Ahmed, District Shaheed Benazirabad.

Name of the Agency

Complained against Police Department.

Name & Designation of

Khan Muhammad Zardari,

Investigating Officer Regional Director, Shaheed Benazirabad.

Syed Ali Mumtaz Zaidi, (PAS). Vetted by

Advisor-F.

Subject ALLEGED INACTION ON THE COMPLAINT MADE

> **SHO** ACTION AGAINST **PABJO** CONDUCTED RAID IN VILLAGE **MUHAMMAD** SALEH KUNBHAR ON 21.12.2023 AND TAKING AWAY WEAPONS, PESTICIDES, ALSO TOOK GOAT FROM HOUSE OF **HARI**

> (FARMER) OF THE **COMPLAINANT**

REFUSED TO RETURN THE SAME.

## THE COMPLAINT:

Mr. Abdul Hafeez Chandio filed a complaint dated 07.02.2024 wherein he alleged that Mr. Lal Dino Shar, SHO, Police Station Pabjo conducted a raid in his Village Muhammad Salih Kunbhar, during which various items including weapons, motor pumps, pesticides and a goat belonging to his Hari were taken away. Being aggrieved, he approached upon the concerned authorities. In response an inquiry was initiated by the Deputy Superintendent of Police (DSP), Daulatpur but without any outcome. Therefore, he solicited intervention of this Institution.

## **PROCEEDINGS:**

2. The complaint was admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (Amended up to date), after receiving copy of CNIC, Affidavit on Form "A", other relevant documents and by condoning the delay.

MAN

3. This office issued a notice to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Shaheed Benazirabad vide letter dated 13.03.2024 seeking a report. In response, the Sub-Divisional Police Officer (SDPO), Daulatpur, vide letter dated 19.03.2024 informed that the matter had already been inquired into. During the course of the inquiry, statements of both the complainant and respondent were recorded. It was revealed that neither the complainant nor



his Hari, Mr. Roshan Kunbhar, were eyewitnesses to the alleged incident and both were unable to identify any individual police official including the alleged SHO.

- 4. The Agency's report was sent to the complainant for filing rejoinder, who in response filed his rejoinder dated 01.07.2024 wherein he denied the version of inquiry report.
- 5. In order to further investigate the matter, this office issued a notice to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Naushahro Feroze, vide letter dated 19.09.2024 requiring the personal appearance of the alleged SHO (Ex-PS Pabjo). In compliance, both the alleged SHO and complainant appeared. He denied knowing the complainant. During the hearing, both the complainant and his Hari admitted they had never seen the SHO and declined to submit any rejoinder.

### **FINDINGS:**

6. Upon perusal of the Agency's inquiry report and after affording opportunity of hearing to both parties, no element of mala fide was established against the Agency.

### **DECISION:**

7. In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under Section 11 of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (Amended up-to-date), I do hereby dispose of the complaint, as no substantial evidence of mal-administration has been established against the Agency.

Given under my hand and seal of office



Sd /(MUHAMMAD SOHAIL RAJPUT)
Sitara-e-Imtiaz. PAS
Ombudsman Sindh
Karachi, dated 6# August, 2025