



DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/139/ROJ-139(JBD)/2024

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. Bakhtiar Ahmed s/o Imamuddin Golo, c/o Mirzapur Mohalla, Kandhkot, District Kashmore @ Kandhkot.

Name of the Agency Complained against : Police Department

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Mr. Zahid Hussain Buriro
Director Regional Office Jacobabad

Vetted by : Mir Hussain Ali Advisor-M

Subject : COMPLAINT AGAINST POLICE OFFICIALS WHO ALLEGEDLY SNATCHED RS.110,000/-, CNIC, ATM CARD AND DRIVING LICENSE FROM THE COMPLAINANT.

Complaint:

Mr. Bakhtiar Ahmed filed his complaint dated 26.6.2024 against P.C. Amanullah Bangwar and P.C. Irfan Dahani both posted at 15 Eagle Tiger 6 Kandhkot who allegedly snatched Rs.110,000/-, and his CNIC, ATM Card, and Driving License; and also threatened his brothers. He therefore requested this Institution to intervene in the matter.

Proceedings:

2. The complaint admitted u/s 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 was referred to the Agency for report. In response, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kashmore vide his office letter dated 6.8.2024 reported that the ASP/SDPO Kandhkot has conducted an impartial enquiry by hearing the complainant Bakhtiar Ahmed Golo, PC Amanullah Bangwar, and PC Irfan Ali Dahani and recorded their statements. The police officials clarified that while patrolling at about 12:30 a.m. they found the complainant in an intoxicated condition, who was then sent for Medico-legal examination. The SSP further stated that it appears that both police personnel have performed their lawful duty by referring the complainant for Medico-legal examination, whereas the complainant could not provide any authentic proof or witness to support his complaint regarding snatching of cash and other articles. The SSP concluded that it appears that complainant has some personal grudge against the police Constables.

3. The report of the Agency dated 6.8.2024 alongwith its enclosures was sent to the complainant on his address through post vide this office letter dated 7.8.2024, followed by reminders dated 4.9.2024, 3.10.2024, 4.11.2024 and 27.11.2024 but there has been no response from the complainant.

Findings:

4. The report of the Agency was forwarded to the complainant for his comments followed by reminders, but he did not respond. This indicates that he does not want to avail the opportunity to substantiate his point of view, and thus does not seem to be interested in pursuing his complaint.

Decision:

5. In view of the above the case is disposed of and consigned to the record.

“Given under my hand and seal of office”



Sd /-
(Muhammad Shail Rajput)
Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS
OMBUDSMAN SINDH
Karachi, dated 17th April, 2025