



## DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/3016/ROJ-142(JBD)/2024

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. A.S Hafeez Jatoi, Chief Editor, Weekly Gadai, Gadai News, near D.C. Chowk Jacobabad

Name of the Agency Complained against : Police Department

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : Mr. Zahid Hussain Buriro Director Regional Office Jacobabad

Vetted by : Mir Hussain Ali Advisor-M

Subject : COMPLAINT AGAINST POLICE OFFICIALS OF P.S. CITY JACOBABAD FOR ALLEGEDLY NOT TAKING TIMELY ACTION TO RECOVER COMPLAINANT'S MOTORCYCLE STOLEN ON 8.6.2024

### Complaint:

Mr. A.S. Hafeez Jatoi filed his complaint dated 11.6.2024 against the SHO and other Police officials of Police Station City Jacobabad, who were not making efforts to recover his motorcycle stolen on 8.6.2024. He therefore requested this Institution to intervene in the matter.

### Proceedings:

2. The complaint admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 was referred to Agency for report. In response, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jacobabad vide his office letter dated 4.9.2024 forwarded report of SHO P.S. City Jacobabad that on contacting the complainant Hafeez Jatoi, it has been learnt that his motorcycle was stolen as it was not locked. He further reported that the DSP/SDPO City has been directed to take necessary legal action against the involved culprits as per law as and when the complainant lodges a report.

3. This report was communicated to the complainant for filing his rejoinder, who disagreed with the comments of the Agency, and repeated his previous stance. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Jacobabad vide his office letter dated 10.4.2025 reported that the DSP/SDPO City vide his office letter dated 9.4.2024, has once again confirmed that the applicant did not approach the Police Station for lodging an FIR.

4. The report of the Agency dated 10.4.2025 was sent to the complainant on his address through post vide this office letter 15.4.2025, followed by reminders dated 21.4.2025, 14.5.2025 and 12.6.2025 but there was no response from the complainant nor he was responding to phone calls. Further the complainant also failed to submit his required Affidavit on Form-A and copy of his CNIC though he was directed by this office repeatedly.

### Findings:

5. The report of the Agency was forwarded to the complainant for his comments followed by reminders, but he did not respond. This indicates that he does not want to avail the opportunity to substantiate his point of view, and thus does not seem to be interested in pursuing his complaint.

### Decision:

6. In view of the above the case is disposed of and consigned to the record.

"Given under my hand and seal of office"



Sd/-  
(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)  
Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS  
OMBUDSMAN SINDH  
Karachi, dated 13 October, 2025