

DECISION

Complaint No.

POS/RL-116(LKA)2025

Name and address

of the complainant

Name of the Agency

Mr. Muhammad Ayoub Gopang R/o village Muhammad

District Kamber-Usman Gopang, Taluka Mirokhan,

Shahdadkot.

:

Complained against

District Assistant commissioner, Mirokhan. Kamber

Shahdadkot.

Name & Designation of

Investigating Officer

Mr. Ali Akbar Jagirani,

Director, Regional Office Larkana.

Vetted by

Mr. Muhammad Naseer Jamali, Advisor-N

Subject COMPLAINT AGAINST MUKHTIARKAR MIROKHAN

ALLEGING FAILURE IN KEEPING ENTRY OF SALE

DEED OF LAND IN THE RECORD OF RIGHTS.

COMPLAINT

Mr. Muhammad Ayoub Gopang filed a complaint dated 18.03.2025 wherein he stated that he approached the Mukhtiarkar (Revenue) Mirokhan for keeping entry of his land in the record of rights but the Tapedar, Abdul Waheed Tunio demanded a bribe of Rs. 50,000/- for doing the needful. Aggrieved by that, he solicited intervention of this Institution.

PROCEEDINGS

- The complaint was admitted under section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991(amended up to date) after receiving mandatory affidavit on Form "A" and other relevant documents and by condoning the delay.
- 3. This office issued a notice vide letter dated 10.04.2025 to the Assistant Commissioner Mirokhan for report, who in response informed vide letter dated 23.04.2025 that the mutation process was initiated as per SOP by the Tapedar of the beat through Supervising Tapedar, Litigation Clerk, Mukhtiarkar and Assistant Commissioner. After scrutiny of documents, the entry No:213 dated 24.03.2025 has been kept in VF-VII-B i-e the record of rights. He enclosed the copy of record of rights as an evidence. However, the demand of bribe by the Tapedar is baseless. The accused Tapedar appeared before Investigation Officer, Regional Director Larkana on 24.04.2025 and filed a written statement wherein he denied the demand of bribe from the complainant.
- A copy of agency's report was sent to the complainant for rejoinder vide letter dated 4. 24.04.2025, who in response sent a letter dated 16.05.2025 wherein he confirmed that the agency has redressed his grievance. He requested to close further proceedings on his complaint.

FINDINGS

On the intervention of this Institution, grievance of the complainant has been redressed by the agency.

Continued.....P/2



DECISION

6. In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under section 11 of Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date), the complaint is disposed of as redressed.

