

DECISION

Complaint No.

POS/9597/GTK-183/2023

Name and address

Mr. Nadir Ali S/o. Attar Khan Chachar,

of the complainant

C/o. Rajab Ali Chohan, Stamp Vender, Bukhari Raod,

Near P.S Ubauro, District Ghotki

Name of the Agency Complained against

Union Council (U.C),

Jhangal Malik, District Ghotki.

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer

Mr. Fazal Muhammad Shaikh, Director, Regional Office, Ghotki

Vetted by

Mr. Ghulam Abid Shaikh,

Advisor-K

Subject

COMPLAINT AGAINST ARSHAD ALI SOOMRO, SECRETARY, U.C. JHANGAL MALIK WHO ALLEGEDLY DEMANDED BRIBE OF RS.12,000/-FOR ISSUANCE OF DEATH CERTIFICATES OF PARENTS OF THE COMPLAINANT AND FOR ISSUANCE OF HIS BIRTH CERTIFICATE.

COMPLAINT

Mr. Nadir Ali, filed a complaint on 06.12.2023 against Arshad Ali Soomro, Secretary, U.C Jhangal Malik who allegedly demanded bribe of Rs.12,000/- for issuance of death certificates of his parents and for his birth certificate. The complainant had approached the higher authorities for resolution of his problem, but it was of no avail. Therefore, he requested us for intervention.

PROCEEDINGS

- The complaint was admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh, Act. 1991 (amended upto date). After receiving the mandatory Affidavit on Form "A" and other relevant documents and by condoning the delay, the Investigating Officer called report from the agency vide letter dated: 01.01.2024.
- In response, the Secretary, Union Council, Jhangal Malik vide his letter dated: 15.01.2024 informed that the complainant Nadir Ali S/o. Attar Khan Chachar has neither come to him for the death and birth certificates nor he has demanded any bribe from him. In fact Rajab Ali Chohan, Stamp Vender came to his home alongwith documents a month back for the issuance of Birth Certificate of the complainant and Death Certificates of his parents, wherein the sister of Nadir Ali was the applicant and her address was shown at Union Council Langho which is not in his jurisdiction. Hence, he refused to issue complainant's Birth Certificate and Death Certificates of his parents. The allegation of demanding bribe of Rs.12,000/- from the complainant is baseless.

4. The report of the agency was forwarded to the complainant for filing his rejoinder followed by several reminders but he did not respond. From this, it appears that after receiving the agency's report he has lost interest in pursuing his case.

FINDINGS

5. Complainant is not responding despite issuance of several reminders, which shows that he has lost interest in pursuing his case after receiving the agency's report.

DECISION

6. In view of the above, the complaint is closed on account of non-solemn prosecution by the complainant.

Given under my hand and seal of office.

