



## DECISION

Complaint No.	:	POS/09/HYD/2025
Name and address of the complainant	:	Mr. Aftab s/o Abdul Razzaque, R/o, House No, 6/2093, Muhallha Makhi Baag Talab No, 03, Hyderabad
Name of the Agency Complained against	:	Police Surgeon, Hyderabad.
Name & Designation of Investigating Officer	:	Syed Muhammad Sajjad Hyder, Regional Director, Regional Office, Hyderabad.
Vetted by	:	<b>Muhammad Naseer Jamali, Advisor-N</b>
Subject	:	<b>COMPLAINT AGAINST MEDICO-LEGAL DOCTOR ALLEGING ISSUING WRONG MEDICO-LEGAL CERTIFICATE TO THE COMPLAINANT</b>

### Complaint

Mr. Aftab filed a complaint dated 30.12.2024 wherein he stated that he had sustained injuries but the Medico Legal Officer of Police Surgeon, Hyderabad issued a wrong medicolegal certificate No.188 dated 12.12.2024 wherein his injury was declared old while the injury was a fresh/new. Aggrieved by that, he solicited intervention of this Institution.

### Proceedings

2. The complaint was admitted for investigation u/s 10 (3) of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date) subject to submission of mandatory documents and by condoning the delay.

3. This office issued a notice dated 03.02.2025 to the Police Surgeon Hyderabad for report, who in response, informed vide letter dated 24.02.2025 that the medico legal report was issued by Dr. Ghulam Abbas, Medicolegal officer MLSUH, Hyderabad as under:

*"The complainant named Mr. Aftab S/o Abdul Razzaque was examined on 07.12.2024 with history of assault, after examination, he was referred to Radiologist Department for X-ray Right Hand (AP&LAT. view) and Expert opinion, which shows old partial amputations of bone & soft tissue of terminal phalanx of 5<sup>th</sup> digit (little finger is seen) with no recent traumatic bony lesion".*

4. The Police Surgeon added that on the basis of expert opinion of Radiology, the final Medicolegal Certificate was issued of the complainant. He annexed the copy of same as an evidence.

5. The report of the agency was sent to the complainant for rejoinder vide this office letter dated 12.03.2025, followed by reminders but he did not respond.

### Findings

6. The investigation of the case did not establish maladministration against the agency.

### Decision

7. In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under section 11 of Establishment of the office of the Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended upto date), the complaint is disposed of due to non-prosecution.

*Given under my hand and seal of the office*

*Sd/-*

**(MUHAMMAD SOHAIL RAJPUT)**  
*Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS*  
Ombudsman Sindh

Karachi dated 17/10/2025

