



DECISION

Complaint No.	POS/ROK-05/(Khp)/2019
Name and address of the complainant	Mr. Abdul GhaffarMangi, R/o Mangi Mohallah, Near Mehran Engineering University, Khairpur Campus, Taluka & District Khairpur.
Name of the Agency Complained against	Works & Services department
Name & Designation of Investigating Officer	AHMED BAKHSH GHUMRO, Consultant / Incharge, Regional Office Khairpur
Vetted by	Mr. Ghulam Sarwar, Advisor-J
Subject	<u>ALLEGED INCOMPLETE WORK AND NON-REVISION OF PC-I OF RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM FATEHULLAH GHUMRO TO ABID ALI SHAH MILE 0/0-0/1.</u>

COMPLAINT

Mr. Abdul Ghaffar Mangi filed a complaint on 08-01-2019 alleging that reconditioning work of road from Fatehullah Ghumro to Abid Ali Shah mile 0/0-0/1 awarded to M/s Ajrak Associate Govt. Contractor Khairpur by Executive Engineer, Highways Division Khairpur vide work order No.TC/G-55-EE/405 of 2013, Dated,18-03-2013, was left incomplete for want of PC-I revision. He alleged that the then Assistant Engineer could not measure / record the work of Rs.1,200,000/- done before transfer on 18-12-2014. Later, the same was paid in the shape of small bills. Complainant further alleged that he carried out the work of Rs.77,10,033/- on verbal orders of AEN Kingri, Mir Chakar beyond the scope of PC-I but said AEN, instead of recording M.B and taking action for revision of PC-I, kept him waiting till his transfer on 22-09-2015. Resultantly he sustained financial losses. He, therefore, solicited intervention of this Institution.

PROCEEDINGS

02. The complaint was admitted u/s 10 of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date), condoning the delay in filing it, subject to submission of copy of CNIC and Affidavit Form "A".

(Continued at P/2)

03. The matter was then taken up with Superintending Engineer, Works & Services Department Khairpur, on 18-01-2019 for comments / report. Simultaneously complainant was advised to submit the copy of CNIC and mandatory Affidavit on Form "A". After protracted correspondence and hearings on various dates, the Assistant Executive Engineer, Highways Sub-Division Kingri at Pir Jo Goth reported on 29-10-2020 that the subject scheme was approved at the estimated cost of Rs.8.932 Million. Subsequently, it's scope and cost was revised to Rs.10.468 Million by S.E, W&S Khairpur. However, the expenditure incurred on it was Rs.10.449 Million and scheme with it's revised scope was completed on 30-03-2015. It was also reported that the complainant failed to complete the work as per terms and condition of contract agreement. Hence the claim of complainant for revision of PC-I was not justified.

04. Said report was shared with complainant on 20-11-2020 for rejoinder, if any. The complainant submitted rejoinder on 11-12-2020 wherein he simply reiterated earlier stance and failed to submit documentary evidence in support of his claim.

FINDINGS

05. Matter dates back to 2015. The claim for additional amount of Rs.7.710 Million seems to be out of proportion as the scheme's original cost was Rs.8.932 Million. It was subsequently revised to Rs.10.468 Million and stands completed at the cost of Rs.10.449 Million in March 2015. The complainant failed to substantiate his claim with documentary evidence. Therefore, his claim does not appear justifiable.

DECISION

06. The complaint is, therefore, disposed of as rejected and consigned to record.

"Given under my hand and seal of office"



Sd /-
(Muhammad Sohail Rajput)
Sitara-e-Imtiaz. PAS
Ombudsman, Sindh
Karachi, dated 7th May, 2025