



## DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/CH/9244/ROK-250/(Khp)/2023

Name and address of the complainant : Mr. Sakhawat Ali, S/o Ali Muhammad Shar,  
R/o Village Arbab Ali Shar, Taluka & District Khairpur.

Name of the Agency Complained against : Police

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer : AHMED BAKHSH GHUMRO,  
Consultant / Incharge,  
Regional Office Khairpur

Vetted by : Mr. Muhammad Misbah Tunio, Advisor-J

Subject : COMPLAINT AGAINST SIP ASHFAQUE AHMED SHAR & OTHER POLICE OFFICIALS OF P.P JANJI BURIRA TALUKA KOTDIJI WHO ALLEGEDLY ARRESTED THE COMPLAINANT (14 YEARS OLD) AND ALSO TOOK HIS MOTORCYCLE IN CUSTODY AND RELEASED HIM ON RECEIPT OF BRIBE OF RS. 50,000/-.

### COMPLAINT

Mr. Sakhawat Ali Shar, a 14 years old student, filed a complaint on 20-11-2023 alleging that, while he was returning from school to his village, SIP Ashfaq Ahmed Shar, PC Anwar Shaikh and another unknown PC arrested him and brought him along with his motorcycle No. SKM-9289/2008 to the Police Post Janji Burira, Taluka Kotdiji where they tortured him and released him after receiving a bribe Rs.50,000/-. He further alleged that said SIP was issuing him threats daily. He, therefore, solicited intervention of this Institution.

### PROCEEDINGS

02. The complaint was admitted u/s 10 of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date), by condoning the delay in filing it, subject to submission of Affidavit on Form "A".

03. After completion of codal formalities, the matter was taken up with Senior Superintendent of Police, Khairpur on 08-01-2024 for comments. In response, the SSP Khairpur reported on 26-03-2024 that the matter had relevancy with Case FIR No. 194/2023, lodged under sections 324, 353, 148, and 149 PPC at PS Kotdiji on the complaint of HC Munawar Lakho on behalf of the State against the accused Farman, Waheed Shar (both brothers of complainant) and their other relatives. It was also reported that after investigation, the case had been challaned in the Honorable Court of Law. He reported that the allegations made in the complaint against SIP Ashfaq Ahmed Shar and others were false and fabricated. He further reported that the complainant had made this false application in order to pressurize the police and to save the skin of his brothers and relatives from the clutches of law.

(Continued at P/2)



04. Agency's report was shared with complainant on 30-04-2024 for rejoinder, but he did not respond till to date, despite reminders.

#### **FINDINGS**

05. From the above discussions, it appears that complainant has lost the interest to pursue his complaint after report received from SSP Khairpur. His complaint is liable to be closed due to non-prosecution.

#### **DECISION**

06. The complaint is, therefore, disposed of due to non-prosecution and consigned to record.

'Given under my hand and seal of office'

