

DECISION

Complaint No.

POS/5361/SKK-44/2021

Name and address of the complainant

Mr. Shahmir Ali S/o. Lal Muhammad Mahar, R/o. Village Pir Bux Mahar, P.O & Taluka Salehpat,

District Sukkur.

Name of the Agency Complained against

Executive Engineer (Irrigation), Nara Canal Division, Sukkur

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer Mr. Nazir Ahmed Dhoon,

: Director, Regional Officer, Sukkur

Vetted by

Mr. Ghulam Abid Shaikh,

Advisor-K

Subject

COMPLAINT REGARDING NON-SUPPLY OF WATER TO THE LAND OF THE COMPLAINANT

BY IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT.

COMPLAINT

Mr. Shahmir Ali Mahar, filed a complaint on 13.10.2024 and alleged non-supply of water to his land as per approved share list of water course No. 8L, RD-104. He, therefore, solicited our intervention in the matter.

PROCEEDINGS

- The complaint was admitted under Section 10 of the Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh, Act. 1991 (amended upto date), after receiving mandatory Affidavit on Form "A" and other relevant documents. The matter was taken up with the Executive Engineer, Nara Canal Division, Sukkur vide this office letter dated: 21.12.2024. In response, the Assistant Executive Engineer, Nara Canal Division, Sukkur vide his report dated: 14.02.2022 informed that the land of the complainant is situated on the tail end of water course and at times due to load shedding of electricity water does not reach to the complainant's lands.
- A copy of said report was sent to the complainant for his rejoinder vide this office letter dated: 21.02.2022 followed by reminders. In response, he vide his rejoinder dated: 21.06.2022 mostly reiterated his earlier contention.
- 4. To resolve the issue, the Investigating Officer fixed the matter to hear both the parties on 04.09.2024 which was attended by the Assistant Executive Engineer, Nara Canal Division, Sukkur who submitted his report that the complainant is getting his due share of water and cultivating his land but if he wants to transfer his peach of water course from 8-L to 3-L, he may approach the Director Nara Canal who is the competent authority to change the peach of water course.

A copy of said report was sent to the complainant for his rejoinder vide this office letter dated: 18.09.2024 followed by reminders dated: 17.10.2024, 18.11.2024 but no response was received from him. From this, it is presumed that after receiving the agency's report he has nothing more to agitate.

FINDINGS

6. Despite issuance of three reminders, the complainant is not responding to file his rejoinder which shows that he does not want to pursue his case.

DECISION

7. In view of the above, the complaint stands closed on account of non-solemn prosecution by the complainant.

Given under my hand and seal of office.

