

# **DECISION**

Complaint No. : POS/1267/2023/

Name and address of the complainant

Mr. Muhammad Ramzan Janwari C/o Zahoor Channa Autos, Sehwani Road, P.O. Radhan Station, Taluka Mehar, District,

Dadu.

Name of the Agency Complained against

Revenue Department.

Name & Designation of Investigating Officer Mr. Imdad Ali Shah,

Director, Regional Office, Dadu.

Vetted by : Mr. Rafiuzzaman Siddiqui, Advisor-B

Subject : COMPLAINTS AGAINST MUKHTIARKAR REVENUE FOR NOT

CARRYING OUT SURVEY OF COMPLAINANT'S HOUSE WHICH

COLLAPSED, DURING HEAVY RAINS.

## COMPLAINT.

Mr. Muhammad Ramzan Janwari, filed a complaint dated: 15 February, 2023, against the Mukhtiarkar Revenue, Mehar, who did not conduct survey of his house, which collapsed in 2022 due to heavy rains. The complainant approached the authorities concerned but all his requests went in vain. Being aggrieved, he sought intervention of Ombudsman Sindh in the matter.

### **PROCEDINGS**

- 2. The complaint was admitted U/S 10 of the Establishment of the office of the Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended upto date) after receiving mandatory Affidavit on Form "A" and other relevant documents.
- 3. In the meantime, the following similar complainants were also admitted after the submission of the mandatory documents for investigation:

Sr.	Complaint No.	Name of the Complainant
1.	POS/1086/2023	Mr. Mehboob Ali
2.	POS/941/2023	Mr. Gul Muhammad
3.	POS/1147/2023	Mr. Nazir Ahmed
4.	POS/1266/2023	Mr. Shahid Hussain
5.	POS/1148/2023	Mr. Subhan Bux
6.	POS/2389/2023	Mr. Nazar Hussain Soomro
7.	POS/ 2510/2023	Mr. Bahadur Janwari
8.	POS/2735/2023	Mr. Imran Khanzada
9.	POS/8826/2023	Mr. Sajid Ali
10.	POS/1088/2024	Mst. Ashraf Khatoon Langhah
11.	POS/1089/2024	Mr. Ghulam Qadir Langhah
12.	POS/1160/2024	Mr. Ghulam Qadir Langhah
13.	POS/2663/2023	Mr. Deedar Solangi

4. The matter regarding the above complaints were taken up with the Agency for report vide letters at different dates. In response the Mukhtiarkar Revenue Mehar vide his letters informed at that since complainant's houses did not fulfil necessary criteria, therefore they were not eligible for compensation.

#### **REJOINDER**

5. The report of the agency was sent to the complainants for rejoinders vide letters with different dates, followed by issuance of multiple reminders, however, the complainants have not responded to date.

#### **FINDINGS**

6. Perusal of the record revealed that the allegations of complainants against the Mukhtiarkar Revenue, Mehar, were baseless, as they failed to prove any maladministration against him.

#### DECISION.

7. In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under Section-10 of Establishment of the Office of the Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended upto date), these complaints are disposed of and consigned to record, on account of non-prosecution by the complainants.

<mark>G</mark>iven u<mark>n</mark>der <mark>my</mark> ha<mark>n</mark>d and se<mark>al</mark> of of<mark>fic</mark>e.



Sd/-

(MUHAMMAD SOHAIL RAJPUT) Sitara-e-Imtiaz : PAS Ombudsman, Sindh Karachi,dated: **2n/**January, 2025