

DECISION

Complaint No.

: POS/4356/ROK-176/(Khp)/2019

Name and address

Mr. Yakhtiar Hussain, R/o Village Tando Masti,

of the complainant

: Taluka & District Khairpur.

Name of the Agency Complained against

Revenue Department

Name & Designation of

AHMED BAKHSH GHUMRO,

Investigating Officer

Consultant/Incharge, Regional Office Khairpur

Vetted by

Mr. Muhammad MisbahTunio, Advisor-J

Subject

COMPLAINT AGAINST **IMDAD** TAPEDAR DEH BAHARO LASHARI TALUKA KOTDIJI

FOR ALLEGED DELAY IN FOTI KHATA

DESPITE RECEIVING RS. 50,000/-.

COMPLAINT

Mr. Yakhtiar Hussain, filed an online complaint on 22-10-2019 alleging delay in change of Foti Khata of their land by Tapedar Imdad Ali Kandhro. He alleged that said Tapedar demanded Rs.500,000/- from him and he was paid Rs.50,000/- for Foti Khata Badal. He further alleged that he had approached to Mukhtiarkar Kotdiji but in vain. He, therefore, solicited intervention of this Institution.

PROCEEDINGS

- 02. The complaint was admitted u/s 10 of the Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date), by condoning the delay in filing it and subject to submission of original singed complaint, copies of relevant documents, copy of CNIC and an Affidavit on Form "A".
- The matter was taken up with Mukhtiarkar Kotdiji, on 13-12-2019 for 03. comments / report. Simultaneously the complainant was advised to submit the mandatory Affidavit on Form "A", original signed complaint, copies of relevant documents and copy of CNIC. Since Agency failed to submit report, hearings were fixed on various dates but both parties remained absent. However, on 02-10-2023, accused Tapedar Imdad Ali Kandharo Deh Baharo Lashari Taluka Kotdiji appeared before the Investigating Officer and submitted written statement stating that Foti Khata Badal of complainant's land has been made on 23-02-2020. Said Tapedar also submitted photocopy of entry No. 303, VF-VII-B showing Foti Khata Badal. Accused Tapedar Imdad Ali Kandhro in his statement denied the allegations of demanding Rs.500,000/- and receiving Rs.50,000/from complainant.

O4. Said statement of Tapedar along with copy of VF-VII-B was shared with complainant on 03-10-2023 for rejoinder, if any, but he did not respond, despite issuance of reminders.

DECISION

Though complainant had failed to respond and submit documents including mandatory Affidavit on Form "A" yet his grievance was redressed on the intervention of this Institution. The complaint is, therefore, disposed of as redressed and consigned to record.

