

DECISION

Complaint No. : POS/6784/ROK-200/(Khp)/2023

Name and address Mr. Ikhtiar Ali, S/o Late Ghulam Qadir Channa,

of the complainant : R/o Village Serai Payaro Khan, Deh Pata, Taluka Kotdiji,

District Khairpur.

Name of the Agency

Complained against : Revenue Department

Name & Designation of AHMED BAKHSH GHUMRO,

Investigating Officer : Consultant / Incharge, Regional Office Khairpur

Vetted by : Mr. Muhammad Misbah Tunio, Advisor-J

Subject : <u>DELAY IN ISSUANCE OF CERTIFIED COPIES OF</u>

<mark>RECO</mark>RD OF LAND <mark>IN DEH PATA TALUKA KOTDIJI LEFT</mark>

BY DECEASED FATHER OF THE COMPLAINANT.

COMPLAINT

Mr. Ikhtiar Ali Channa, filed a complaint on 30-08-2023 alleging that he approached the Mukhtiarkar, Kotdiji, for true copies of the Record of Rights of his deceased father's land, bearing S.No. 491 & 492 in Deh Pata, Taluka Kotdiji. However, the Mukhtiarkar refused his request. He also requested the demarcation of the said land by the Survey Superintendent, Khairpur which was also refused. Therefore, he sought the intervention of this Institution.

PROCEEDINGS

- Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act, 1991 (amended up to date), by condoing the delay in filing it and subject to the submission of an Affidavit on Form "A".
- After completing the required formalities, the matter was referred to the Mukhtiarkar (Revenue), Kotdiji, on 20-09-2023 for comments. In response, the Mukhtiarkar, Kotdiji, reported on 16-02-2024 that the complainant's grandfather, Raheem Dino, possessed a 50 paisa share in S.No. 491 and a 43 paisa share in S.No. 492. Subsequently, the Foti Khata of Raheem Dino was changed on 11-01-1985 in favor of the complainant's father, Ghulam Qadir, and his grandmother. The complainant's father later sold 0-20 Ghuntas from S.No. 491 and 0-25 Ghuntas from S.No.492 to Muhammad Shareef Punjabi through a Registered Sale Deed/oral statement in 1986. The Mukhtiarkar, Kotdiji, further reported that the Record of Rights for the concerned Deh indicates that the complainant attempted to change the Foti Khata in April 1994, but the entry was cancelled due to doubts regarding its validity.



04. The Agency's report was shared with the complainant on 21-02-2024 for a rejoinder, but he did not respond despite reminders.

FINDINGS

05. Based on the above proceedings, it appears that the complainant has lost interest in pursuing his complaint.

DECISION

06. In light of the foregoing, the complaint is hereby disposed of due to non-prosecution and consigned to the record.

