



## **DECISION**

**Complaint No.** : POS/5364/2023/RM-149

**Name and address of the complainant** : Mst. Shahnaz Qamar,  
R/o. Deh-221, PO Dengan Bhurghari,  
Taluka Kot Ghulam Muhammad, District Mirpurkhas.

**Name of the Agency Complained against** : Assistant Commissioner, Samaro, District Umerkot.

**Name & Designation of Investigating Officer** : Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Junejo, T.I  
Consultant/Regional Director, Mirpurkhas.

**Vetted by** : **Muhammad Naseer Jamali, Advisor-N**

**Subject** : COMPLAINT AGAINST MUKHTIARKAR SAMARO ALLEGING INORDINATE DELAY IN MUTATIOIN OF FOTI-KHATA OF LAND LEFT BY DECEASED HUSBAND OF THE COMPLAINANT

### **Complaint**

Mst. Shahnaz Qamar filed a complaint dated 08.07.2023 wherein she stated that her late husband, Nazeer Ahmed was owner of land bearing S.Nos.101/2, 102/1, 102/3,4, and 138/1 at deh Dengan Bhurgari, Taluka Samaro. She approached the Mukhtiarkar (Rev) Taluka Samaro for mutation of foti-khata in favour of legal heirs/FRC but to no avail. Aggrieved by that, she solicited intervention of this Institution.

### **Proceedings**

2. The complaint was admitted under section 10 of Establishment of the office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh Act 1991 (amended up to date) after receiving mandatory affidavit on Form-A, copy of CNIC and by condoning the delay.
3. This office issued a notice dated 15.08.2023 to the Mukhtiarkar (Rev) Taluka Samaro for report, who in response informed vide letter dated 28.08.2023 and 25.07.2024 that as per record of rights, the deceased Nazeer Ahmed was owner of land as under:

S.No.	Entry Number	Survey Number	Area	Remarks
1.	15 VF-VII B	101/2, 102/1,3,4 & 138/1	16 acres	Under suspension
2.	135 dated 21-06-2023 VF-VII B	44/2,3,4	12 acres	Clear
3.	148 dated 11-07-2007 VF-VII B	102/1,2, 44/2,3,4	15-13 acres	Clear

4. The shares of legal heirs were determined as under:

i.	Mst. Shahnaz Qamar (wife)	12.50 paisa
ii.	Mehrun Nisa (daughter)	50.0 paisa
iii.	Bashir Ahmed s/o. Rehmat Khan	37.50 paisa

The complainant objected over the share of Bashir Ahmed who has filed a suit before 2nd Senior Civil Judge-II Umerkot for his share as per law and matter is subjudice before the court.

**Cont'd.....P/2.....**



(2)

5. The copies of agency's report were sent to the complainant for rejoinder vide letter dated 02.08.2024, followed by reminders but she did not respond.

**Decision**

7. In view of the above and in exercise of powers vested in me under section 11 of Establishment of the Office of Ombudsman for the Province of Sindh, Act 1991 (amended up to date), the complaint is disposed of being subjudice in the court, in terms of Regulation 21(f) of Investigation and Disposal of complaints Regulation 2024 of the Ombudsman Act.

Given under my hand and seal of the office

**Sd /-**  
**(MUHAMMAD SOHAIL RAJPUT)**  
*Sitara-e-Imtiaz, PAS*  
**Ombudsman Sindh**

Karachi, dated *16th* June, 2025

