

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI  
MILANO-BICOCCA

ADVANCED MACHINE LEARNING  
FINAL PROJECT

---

# Electrical Motor Something

---

*Authors:*

Federico Moiraghi - xxxxxx - f.xx@@campus.unimib.it

Pranav Kasela - 846965 - p.kasela@campus.unimib.it

Roberto Berlucchi - xxxxxx - r.xx@@campus.unimib.it

2019-2020



## Abstract

The ABSTRACT is not a part of the body of the report itself. Rather, the abstract is a brief summary of the report contents that is often separately circulated so potential readers can decide whether to read the report. The abstract should very concisely summarize the whole report: why it was written, what was discovered or developed, and what is claimed to be the significance of the effort. The abstract does not include figures or tables, and only the most significant numerical values or results should be given.

## 1 Introduction

The data set comprises several sensor data collected from a permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) deployed on a test bench. The PMSM represents a German OEM's prototype model. Test bench measurements were collected by the LEA department at Paderborn University.

The recordings are sampled at a frequency of 2 Hz and is divided in various profiles and has a total of 998070 observations. Each profile indicates a different session and each session can have different length varying from one to six hours.

The input variables are:

- **Ambient temperature** as measured by a thermal sensor located closely to the stator;
- **Coolant temperature**, the motor is water cooled and the measurement is taken at outflow;
- The current and voltage are transformed through a  $dq0$  transformation in a d-q coordinate system, it basically converts a three phase balanced reference system (in an AC system) into 2 coordinates, denoted by d and q, via a rotating reference frame with angle  $\theta$ . The currents are denoted by **i\_d** and **i\_q** and the voltages are denoted by **u\_d** and **u\_q**;
- **Motor speed**.

The target variables are:

- **pm**: Permanent Magnet surface temperature representing the rotor temperature, measured with an infrared thermography unit.
- **stator\_yoke**, **stator\_tooth**, **stator\_winding** respectively stator yoke, tooth and winding temperature measured with a thermal sensor.

In some of the variables some random walks are introduced to simulate real world driving cycles.

The main objective is to create a lightweight model to predict the pm and stator variables minimizing the MSE because the model needs to be deployed using the model with best cost-precision ratio, a secondary objective is to predict more accurately higher temperature than the lower temperature using a modified loss.

## 2 Datasets

The data set can be found on Kaggle on the following link<sup>1</sup>. From the data set the torque is immediately excluded, this particular variable is considered unreliable from the data set provider itself.




Figure 1: Distribution of the variables grouped by the division

<sup>1</sup><https://www.kaggle.com/wkirsngn/electric-motor-temperature>

The data set is divided into train-validation-test, the validation data consists of profile\_id included in 20, 31, 46, 54, 62, 70, 79, 72, the test set profiles are 35 and 42 and the training set consists of all the other profiles. Their relative distributions are plotting in the Figure 1.

In the Figure 2 the correlation between the variables is shown. The target variables are highly correlated among themselves in particular the stator variables.




Figure 2: Correlation Plot of the considered variables

The data was already standardized but the variables doesn't have a normal distribution thus a normalization between 0 and 1 (using only the training set) is applied.

## 3 The Methodological Approach

Different models are tried in order to compare and choose the best one, the models tried are:

- RNN with embedding
- LSTM
- GRU
- CNN

They will be described one by one.

### 3.1 RNN with embedding

### 3.2 LSTM

### 3.3 GRU

### 3.4 CNN

This is the central and most important section of the report. Its objective must be to show, with linearity and clarity, the steps that have led to the definition of a decision model. The description of the working hypotheses, confirmed or denied, can be found in this section together with the description of the subsequent refining processes of the models. Comparisons between different models (e.g. heuristics vs. optimal models) in terms of quality of solutions, their explainability and execution times are welcome.

You should also mention any unforeseen problems you encountered when implementing the system and how and to what extent you overcame them. Common problems are: difficulties involving existing software.

## 4 Results and Evaluation

The Results section is dedicated to presenting the actual results (i.e. measured and calculated quantities), not to discussing their meaning or interpretation. The results should be summarized using appropriate Tables and Figures (graphs or schematics). Every Figure and Table should have a legend that describes concisely what is contained or shown. Figure legends go below the figure, table legends above the table. Throughout the report, but espe-

cially in this section, pay attention to reporting numbers with an appropriate number of significant figures.

## 5 Discussion

The discussion section aims at interpreting the results in light of the project's objectives. The most important goal of this section is to interpret the results so that the reader is informed of the insight or answers that the results provide. This section should also present an evaluation of the particular approach taken by the group. For example: Based on the results, how could the experimental procedure be improved? What additional, future work may be warranted? What recommendations can be drawn?

## 6 Conclusions

Conclusions should summarize the central points made in the Discussion section, reinforcing for the reader the value and implications of the work. If the results were not definitive, specific future work that may be needed can be (briefly) described. The conclusions should never contain “surprises”. Therefore, any conclusions should be based on observations and data already discussed. It is considered extremely bad form to introduce new data in the conclusions.

## References

The references section should contain complete citations following standard form. The references should be numbered and listed in the order they were cited in the body of the report. In the text of the report, a particular reference can be cited by using a numerical number in brackets as [?] that corresponds to its number in the reference list. L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X provides several styles to format the references