

# Security Protocols and Verification

Defense of Cryptographic Protocol

Garance Frolla  
Ely Marthouret  
Ewan Decima

Team: **ASKO OM8464A2**

September / November 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Defense against PROTOxyde d'alCOl</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Notation . . . . .	2
1.2	The attack . . . . .	2
1.3	Refutation . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>3</b>

# 1 Defense against PROTOxyde d'alCOI

## 1.1 Notation

- Let  $\mathcal{K}_I$  denote the set of all facts known to the intruder  $I$ .
- Let  $(K_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$  the set of key use by  $I$  during the *bruteforce* step.
- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the set of all messages sent during a communication between to agents.
- Let  $M_{1,A} \in \mathcal{M}$  denote the first message sends by Alice, i.e.,  $M_{1,A} = \{|\langle A, N_A \rangle|\}_{K_{AB}}$
- Let  $s(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the sender function, i.e.,  $s(m, x)$  means message  $M$  is sent by agent  $C$ .
- Let  $[\cdot]_{(\cdot)}$  denote the extract function of a tuple message, i.e., for  $M = \langle m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \rangle \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $[M]_i = m_i \quad \forall i \in [1, n]$ .
- Let  $\langle X', Y', Z', \Sigma' \rangle$  denote four random value.

## 1.2 The attack

- First this our understanding of your attack : the intruder  $I$  steal the first alice's message :  $M_{1,A}$ . At this step  $K(N_A) \notin \mathcal{K}_I$  and  $K(K_{AB}) \notin \mathcal{K}_I$ .
- After that,  $I$  impersonates S by crafting the ticket  $\{|\langle A, \tau, \lambda, K_{AB} \rangle|\}_{K_{BS}}$ , replacing  $K_{BS}$  with keys  $K_I$  to form  $T_I := \{|\langle X', Y', Z', \Sigma' \rangle|\}_{K_I}$  and sending  $M_{1,A}$  and  $T_I$  to  $B$ .
- $B$  gets  $M_{1,A}$ . At this point  $K(S(M_{1,A}, A)) \notin \mathcal{K}_B$ . But it's normal according to the ASKO OM8464A2 protocol. Then  $B$  gets the crafted ticket  $T_I$ .  $B$  will decipher it with  $K_{BS}$  and send back to  $[dec(T_I, K_{BS})]_1$

$$\left\{ \left| dec(\{ |N_A + 1| \}_{K_{AB}}, [dec(T_I, K_{BS})]_4) \right| \right\}_{[dec(T_I, K_{BS})]_4}$$

For better understanding, let us denote  $[dec(T_I, K_{BS})]_1, [dec(T_I, K_{BS})]_2, [dec(T_I, K_{BS})]_3, [dec(T_I, K_{BS})]_4$  as  $X, Y, Z, \Sigma$  respectively.

- The attack lies on the fact that identities are short bitstring,  $B$  will always decipher the ticket  $T_I$  with his symmetric key  $K_{BS}$ , and hoping that:

$$\exists J \in \mathcal{I} \mid J \neq BS \wedge \text{dec}(T_J, K_{BS}) = \langle A, Y, Z, \Sigma \rangle.$$

With such a key  $K_J$ ,  $B$  will think that  $\Sigma$  is  $K_{AB}$ . At this point  $K(\Sigma) \notin \mathcal{K}_I$  and  $K(K_J) \notin \mathcal{K}_I$ .

### 1.3 Refutation

## 2 Conclusion