

In article <o4fzrApDBh107h@eosvcr.wimsey.bc.ca>, aew@eosvcr.wimsey.bc.ca writes...

>We have heard many bad things about the ATI Ultra Plus card (mainly having
>to do with its buggy Windows drivers).

>I would like to replace by ATI Graphics Ultra with a true-colour accelerated
>card. I was about to buy the ATI Ultra Plus (EISA) but it has had so much
>bad press that I am reconsidering.

I have the ATI GRAPHICS ULTRA PRO EISA version. I must admit it has received bad press but that was due to the faulty drivers it had. Now the drivers that are available for the EISA version are the same ones as the ISA and Local Bus (v1.5 Build 59). Some people complained about problems they had with the Build 59 drivers, fortunately I couldn't duplicate them on my machine, but I did have one problem with Harvard Graphics that nobody else seemed to have.

>I would like an accelerated card

- > a card that does 24bit true colour at 800x600 at least
- > a card that does 1024x758 at 256 colours (or more)
- > a card that has fast polygon fills
- > a card that has fast bit blits
- > a card that has a robust windows driver
- > a card that has high speed non-interlaced refresh

>Any suggestions?

I wouldn't recommed the ATI for 24bit colour at 800x600, at this resolution the display will be interlaced. But at 16bit it isn't, I thought it was my monitor but it isn't, its the card (that is the only time you will get interlaced). If I come up with cards more suited for your needs I'll let you know.

