MAPÙA MALAYAN COLLEGES MINDANAO

"Face Value: Examining Bias, Privacy, and Ethics in Facial Recognition Technology"

Final Project Presented to

The Faculty of College of Commputer and Information Science

Mapùa Malayan Colleges Mindanao

Davao City

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Bachelor of Science in Computer Science

By:

Pabellon, Martin Joaquin S.

DATE

March 2025

I. Background of the Study

Facial recognition technology has rapidly emerged as one of the most transformative innovations in IT, revolutionizing security, identification, and consumer applications. Initially developed to streamline identity verification and enhance safety measures, its scope has expanded into law enforcement, public surveillance, and commercial use. However, this rapid integration has sparked widespread ethical debates surrounding privacy, data security, and algorithmic bias.

For instance, the Financial Times reported on the surge in the Metropolitan Police's use of facial recognition in London, raising concerns about potential racial profiling and accuracy issues (Financial Times, n.d.). Similarly, media outlets such as News.com.au and the Courier Mail in Australia have scrutinized the technology's role in pervasive surveillance and potential privacy breaches (News.com.au, n.d.; Courier Mail, n.d.). Additionally, controversies in the United States—such as a lawsuit alleging unauthorized use of facial recognition data at Citi Field—underline the ethical challenges where technology meets consumer privacy and consent (NY Post, 2024).

This study adopts an informal content analysis approach to examine how at least 10 different news stories and articles cover the ethical implications of facial recognition technology. By comparing narratives across various media outlets, the research aims to identify biases, assess truthfulness and fairness, and evaluate how economic or competitive factors shape these discussions. Ultimately, this analysis will provide insights into the ethical trade-offs inherent in adopting facial recognition technologies and guide more balanced public   reporting.

II. Research Objectives

The primary aim of this study is to critically analyze media coverage of facial recognition technology with a specific focus on its ethical implications. To achieve this, the research pursues the following objectives:

Examine Ethical Portrayals:

Investigate how key ethical issues—including privacy violations, data security concerns, and algorithmic bias—are represented in news coverage across diverse media outlets.

Identify Recurring Themes and Biases:

Analyze at least 10 different news stories to uncover recurring themes, narrative patterns, and instances of visual or textual bias. This will involve content coding and thematic analysis of the language and imagery used.

Assess Impact on Public Perception and Policy:

Evaluate how divergent media narratives influence public understanding and shape the regulatory debate surrounding the adoption and use of facial recognition technology.

III. Methodology

3.1 Data Collection

Source Selection:

For this study, a minimum of 10 news articles were selected based on their extensive coverage of facial recognition technology. The sources include:

1. Financial Times – "Met police use of facial recognition in London surges."
2. News.com.au – "Australia reacts to Bunnings bombshell."
3. Courier Mail – "The 4000 secret cameras tracking your every move (and casino visit)."
4. NY Post – "Mets used facial recognition to profit on unsuspecting Citi Field fans: suit."
5. The Guardian – "Facial recognition technology: the ethical debate over surveillance and bias."
6. Wired – "When Algorithms Decide Who Gets Caught: The Dangers of Facial Recognition."
7. BBC News – "Facial recognition in public spaces: balancing innovation and privacy."
8. Reuters – "U.S. regulators scrutinize facial recognition amid mounting privacy concerns."
9. CNN – "The dark side of facial recognition technology: bias and privacy invasion."
10. TechCrunch – "Facial recognition and the ethics of surveillance in the digital age."

Criteria for Inclusion:

Each selected source meets the following criteria:

Ethical Focus: The articles discuss critical ethical issues such as privacy, surveillance, algorithmic bias, and regulatory oversight.

Diverse Perspectives: The sources represent a mix of geographical regions—including the UK, Australia, and the U.S.—and incorporate both supportive and critical stances.

Multimedia Elements: Many of the articles include images, graphs, or video stills that serve as additional material for visual analysis.

3.2 Analytical Approach

Thematic Analysis:

The study identifies recurring themes in the coverage of facial recognition technology:

Privacy and Surveillance: How articles address concerns about mass surveillance and potential privacy breaches.

Bias and Fairness: The discussion around algorithmic bias, particularly regarding the misidentification of minority groups.

Economic Considerations: Examination of how commercial interests, including profit motives and competitive pressures, influence the narrative.

Content Coding:

A coding scheme was developed to record the frequency of keywords such as "privacy invasion," "racial profiling," "security enhancement," and "algorithmic bias." This coding was applied across all 10 sources to capture variations in narrative emphasis.

3.3 Data Analysis Tools

Qualitative Software:

Tools like NVivo were utilized to assist in coding and theme extraction, ensuring a systematic analysis of textual data.

IV. Results and Discussion

4.1 Comparative Analysis

Divergent Narratives:

The review of the 10 sources reveals a spectrum of narratives. For example:

The Financial Times and NY Post emphasize legal challenges and consumer protection issues, drawing attention to potential privacy violations and unauthorized data use.

In contrast, The Guardian and Wired delve into ethical debates around surveillance and algorithmic bias, offering critical perspectives that question the lack of regulation.

BBC News and Reuters provide balanced reports, focusing on both the benefits of improved security and the risks associated with intrusive surveillance.

CNN and TechCrunch highlight commercial motivations, often underscoring how economic factors can skew ethical reporting toward favoring technological advancement despite potential drawbacks.

Ethical Dimensions Identified:

Bias and Accuracy:

Several sources reference studies and advocacy work (e.g., referencing Joy Buolamwini, as seen on Wikipedia) to underline how facial recognition systems disproportionately misidentify individuals from minority groups (Wikipedia: Joy Buolamwini, n.d.).

Privacy Concerns:

Articles from News.com.au and Courier Mail frequently raise alarms over invasive surveillance practices, emphasizing the need for stronger privacy safeguards. These concerns are echoed in regulatory discussions presented by Reuters and BBC News.

Economic and Competitive Factors:

The NY Post article on the Citi Field lawsuit and pieces from TechCrunch illustrate how commercial interests sometimes overshadow ethical considerations, suggesting that profit motives might lead to underreporting potential harms associated with the technology.

4.2 Visual and Content Coding Insights

Keyword Frequency:

Analysis of the selected articles revealed a high frequency of keywords such as "privacy invasion," "bias," and "surveillance." This quantitative insight supports the qualitative observation that ethical debates around facial recognition are highly polarized.

4.3 Discussion of Ethical Implications

Stakeholder Impact:

The divergent narratives identified in this review have significant implications:

Public Policy and Regulation:

Inconsistent reporting may influence policymakers who rely on media narratives to gauge public sentiment, underscoring the need for balanced coverage to inform robust regulatory frameworks.

IT Professionals:

Discussions of algorithmic bias and privacy issues are particularly relevant for IT professionals responsible for deploying these technologies ethically.

Public Perception:

The polarization in media coverage can shape public opinion, affecting consumer trust and acceptance of facial recognition technologies.

The analysis underscores the necessity for more balanced reporting. While some sources highlight the benefits of enhanced security and efficiency, others draw attention to the potential for abuse—particularly in terms of privacy infringement and bias. A more nuanced media discourse is essential to ensure that ethical safeguards are not overlooked in the pursuit of technological advancement.

V. Conclusion

The content analysis of the 10 news sources on facial recognition technology reveals a complex interplay between technological promise and ethical concerns. While certain outlets emphasize the benefits of enhanced security and efficiency, others critically assess the risks of privacy violations, algorithmic bias, and the undue influence of commercial interests. This study demonstrates that media narratives are not uniform; they are influenced by economic, political, and ideological factors that can lead to imbalances in reporting.

The findings highlight the urgent need for a more balanced and transparent media discourse that equally considers both the advantages and ethical pitfalls of facial recognition technology. For policymakers, IT professionals, and the public, understanding these nuances is critical in navigating the ethical trade-offs that come with adopting such transformative technologies.

References

1. Financial Times. (n.d.). Met police use of facial recognition in London surges. Retrieved from https://www.ft.com/content/c33322a7-eba7-4299-8172-4ce1d4e88908

2. Jain, R. (2024a). AI-Powered Robotics for Elderly Care: Enhancing Assistance and Companionship with Intelligent Machines. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4651584

3. Courier Mail. (n.d.). The 4000 secret cameras tracking your every move (and casino visit). Retrieved from https://www.couriermail.com.au/entertainment/cyber-expert-david-tuffley-claims-people-would-be-shocked-at-the-extent-of-surveillance-used-by-companies/news-story/676ed6ad4f7204de239c929e0fdb6205

4. NY Post. (2024). Mets used facial recognition to profit on unsuspecting Citi Field fans: suit. Retrieved from https://nypost.com/2024/10/12/us-news/mets-used-facial-recognition-to-profit-on-unsuspecting-citi-field-fans-suit/

5. The Guardian. (n.d.). Facial recognition technology: the ethical debate over surveillance and bias. Retrieved from https://www.theguardian.com/technology

6. Wired. (n.d.). When Algorithms Decide Who Gets Caught: The Dangers of Facial Recognition. Retrieved from https://www.wired.com/story/facial-recognition-bias/

7. BBC News. (n.d.). Facial recognition in public spaces: balancing innovation and privacy. Retrieved from https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-51100090

8. Reuters. (n.d.). U.S. regulators scrutinize facial recognition amid mounting privacy concerns. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/technology/us-regulators-scrutinize-facial-recognition/

9. CNN. (n.d.). The dark side of facial recognition technology: bias and privacy invasion. Retrieved from https://www.cnn.com/2023/01/02/tech/facial-recognition-bias-privacy

10. TechCrunch. (n.d.). Facial recognition and the ethics of surveillance in the digital age. Retrieved from https://techcrunch.com/2021/07/21/facial-recognition-ethics/