MPX2LIDO Mapping

Conceptual Overview

* in this table the definition of field is a bit lose; fields don’t always translate to a single lido:element, they also include encoding information (e.g. lido:term), variants such as a displayType and type, and occasionally a qualifier (e.g. type); so technically, they might be clusters of fields
* containers group sections of fields, each container has a label and number of fields associated with it; in the table below joined lines represent lido containers; lido:element names which end on Wrap or Set containers.
* all significant containers should be listed to provide orientation within lido document, but not necessarily really all
* only fields that have been mapped for EM/AKu are supposed to be the listed here

design considerations:

* to achieve a transparent mapping, we avoid mapping from multiple mpx to single lido fields; and from mpx to multiple lido fields
* MAPPING TYPES
* literal: mpx terms are written literally into lido without rewriting the content.
* scheme-mapping: literal information e.g. the ID 1234 is taken, but re-written according to a different scheme, e.g. objId/1234
* voc-mapping: terms from the source dictionary are mapped/translated to terms on the lido-side
* Current terminology: <http://terminology.lido-schema.org/>

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **LIDO Fields** | **MPX** | **ENCODING MAPPING** | **NOTIZEN** |
| lidoRecID | objId | Scheme-mapping  objId/102712 |  |
| category[[1]](#footnote-1) | objekttyp | voc mapping | objekttypen auf LIDO categories nach CIDOC-CRM gemappt. Ungenutzte Begriffe aus mpx:objekttyp warden zu lido:classification |
| 1 descriptiveMetadata | | | |
| 1.1 objectClassificationWrap | | | |
| objectWorkType[[2]](#footnote-2) | sachbegriff |  | Unklar, was ich mit den Begriffen aus sachbegriffHierarchisch machen soll. |
| classification[[3]](#footnote-3) | systematikArt, objekttyp |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1.2 objectIdentificationWrap | | | | | | |
| 1.2.1 titleWrap | | | | | | |
| titleSet | titel, sachbegriff | |  | |  | |
| 1.2.2 inscriptionsWrap  inscription | | | | | | |
| inscriptionTranscription | <not yet mapped> | |  | |  | |
| inscriptionDescription | <not yet mapped> | |  | |  | |
| 1.2.3 repositoryWrap  repositorySet | | | | | | |
| repositoryName | | verwaltendeInstitution | |  | |  |
| workID | | objId | |  | |  |
| repositoryLocation | |  | |  | | constant |
| 1.2.4 displayStateEditionWrap | | | | | | |
| displayState[[4]](#footnote-4) | | <not mapped> | |  | |  |
| displayEdition[[5]](#footnote-5) | | <not mapped> | |  | |  |
| sourceStateEdition | | <not mapped> | |  | |  |
| 1.2.5 objectDescriptionWrap  objectDescriptionSet | | | | | | |
| descriptiveNoteID | |  | |  | |  |
| descriptiveNoteValue | |  | |  | |  |
| sourceDescriptiveNote | |  | |  | |  |
| 1.3 objectMeasurementsWrap  objectMeasurementsSet | | | | | | |
| displayObjectMeasurements | |  | |  | |  |
| objectMeasurements | | <not mapped> | |  | |  |
| 1.4 eventWrap | | | | | | |
| Herstellung | | | | | | |
| eventActor | | PK | | nur für best. Funktionen | |  |
| eventDate | | datierung | |  | |  |
| eventPlace | | geogrBezug | |  | |  |
| eventMaterialsTech | | material/Technik | |  | |  |
| eventDescription | |  | |  | |  |
|  | | Erwerb | | | | |
| eventActor | | PK[Veräußerer], erwerbungVon | |  | |  |
| eventDate | | erwerb.datum | |  | |  |
| eventPlace | | no equivalent | |  | | praktisch nie in m+ |
| Sammeltätigkeit | | | | | | |
| eventActor | | PK[Sammler] | |  | |  |
| eventDate | | no equivalent | |  | |  |
| eventPlace | | no equivalent | |  | |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| AlternativeDatierung[[6]](#footnote-6) | | | |
| eventDate | datierung |  |  |
| 1.5 objectRelationWrap | | | |
| 1.5.1 subjectWrap | | | |
| subjectSet[[7]](#footnote-7) | | | |
| displaySubject | nicht gemappt |  |  |
| subject |  |  |  |
| relatedWorkSet | | | |
| relatedWork | oov |  |  |
| relatedWorkRelType | oov@art |  |  |
| 2 administrativeMetadata | | | |
| 2.1 rightsWorkWrap  rightsWorkSet | | | |
| rightsType |  |  |  |
| rightsDate |  |  |  |
| rightsHolder |  |  |  |
| creditLine | mpx:credits? |  |  |
| 2.2 recordWrap | | | |
| recordID |  |  |  |
| recordType[[8]](#footnote-8) |  | should be inferred from sachbegriff |  |
| recordSource |  |  |  |
| recordRights |  |  |  |
| recordInfoSet/ recordInfoLink | SMB-Link |  | based on objId |
| 2.3 resourceWrap | | | |
| 2.3.1 resourceSet | | | |
| resourceID |  |  |  |
| resourceRepresentation |  |  |  |
| resourceType |  |  |  |
| 2.3.2 rightsResource | | | |
| type |  |  |  |
| rightsholder |  |  |  |
| creditline |  |  |  |
| resourceDateTaken |  |  |  |

1. “category of which this item is an instance, preferably referring to CIDOC-CRM concept definitions”. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. "The specific kind of object / work being described." [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. “Concepts used to categorize an object / work by grouping it together with others on the basis of similar characteristics.” [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. “A description of the state of the object / work”. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. “A description of the edition of the object / work. Used primarily for prints and other multiples.” [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Lido erlaubt nur ein eventDate pro Event. Uns liegen aber mehrere alternative Schätzungen vorliegen, die die Herstellung des Objekts schätzen. Diese müssen laut Spec wohl in eigenen event geschrieben werden. Soll ich dafür eigenen eventType anliegen (namens AlternativeDatierung) oder soll ich das in weitere events[type=Herstellung] schreiben? [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Subjects “identify, describe, and/or interpret what is depicted in and by an object / work or what it is about.” (emphasis MM) [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. “whether the record represents an individual item or a collection, series, or group of works. […] Example values: item, collection, series, group, volume, fonds. ” [↑](#footnote-ref-8)