Week 3

DNS, P2P, Video Streaming & CDN

CSCM603154 – **Computer Networks**

Faculty of Computer Science Universitas Indonesia

Application Layer: Overview

- Principles of network applications
- Web and HTTP
- The Domain Name System DNS
- P2P applications
- video streaming and content distribution networks
- socket programming with UDP and TCP

DNS: Domain Name System

people: many identifiers:

- SSN, name, passport #

Internet hosts, routers:

- IP address (32 bit) - used for addressing datagrams
- "name", e.g., cs.umass.edu - used by humans

Q: how to map between IP address and name, and vice versa ?

Domain Name System (DNS):

- *distributed database* implemented in hierarchy of many *name servers*
- *application-layer protocol:* hosts, DNS servers communicate to *resolve* names (address/name translation)
  - *note:* core Internet function, implemented as application-layer protocol
  - complexity at network's "edge"

DNS: services, structure

DNS services:

- hostname-to-IP-address translation
- host aliasing
  - canonical, alias names
- mail server aliasing
- load distribution
  - replicated Web servers: many IP addresses correspond to one name

Q: Why not centralize DNS?

- single point of failure
- traffic volume
- distant centralized database
- maintenance

A: doesn‘t scale!

- Comcast DNS servers alone: 600B DNS queries/day
- Akamai DNS servers alone: 2.2T DNS queries/day

Thinking about the DNS

humongous distributed database:

- ~ billion records, each simple

handles many *trillions* of queries/day:

- *many* more reads than writes
- *performance matters:* almost every Internet transaction interacts with DNS - msecs count!

organizationally, physically decentralized:

- millions of different organizations responsible for their records

"bulletproof": reliability, security

DNS: a distributed, hierarchical database



Client wants IP address for www.amazon.com; 1st approximation:

- client queries root server to find .com DNS server
- client queries .com DNS server to get amazon.com DNS server
- client queries amazon.com DNS server to get IP address for www.amazon.com

DNS: root name servers

- official, contact-of-last-resort by name servers that can not resolve name



DNS: root name servers

- official, contact-of-last-resort by name servers that can not resolve name
- *incredibly important* Internet function
  - Internet couldn't function without it!
  - DNSSEC – provides security (authentication, message integrity)
- ICANN (Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers) manages root DNS domain

13 logical root name "servers" worldwide each "server" replicated many times (~200 servers in US)



Top-Level Domain, and authoritative servers

Top-Level Domain (TLD) servers:

- responsible for .com, .org, .net, .edu, .aero, .jobs, .museums, and all top-level country domains, e.g.: .cn, .uk, .fr, .ca, .jp
- Network Solutions: authoritative registry for .com, .net TLD
- Educause: .edu TLD



authoritative DNS servers:

- organization's own DNS server(s), providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's named hosts
- can be maintained by organization or service provider

Local DNS name servers

- when host makes DNS query, it is sent to its *local* DNS server
  - Local DNS server returns reply, answering:
    - from its local cache of recent name-to-address translation pairs (possibly out of date!)
    - forwarding request into DNS hierarchy for resolution
  - each ISP has local DNS name server; to find yours:
    - MacOS: `% scutil --dns`
    - Windows: `>ipconfig /all`
- local DNS server doesn't strictly belong to hierarchy

DNS name resolution: iterated query

Example: host at engineering.nyu.edu wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

Iterated query:

- contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- "I don't know this name, but ask this server"



DNS name resolution: recursive query

Example: host at engineering.nyu.edu wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

Recursive query:

- puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server
- heavy load at upper levels of hierarchy?



Caching DNS Information

- once (any) name server learns mapping, it *caches* mapping, and i*mmediately* returns a cached mapping in response to a query
  - caching improves response time
  - cache entries timeout (disappear) after some time (TTL)
  - TLD servers typically cached in local name servers
- cached entries may be *out-of-date*
  - if named host changes IP address, may not be known Internet-wide until all TTLs expire!
  - *best-effort name-to-address translation!*

DNS records

DNS: distributed database storing resource records (RR)

RR format: (`name, value, type, ttl`)

type=A

- `name` is hostname
- `value` is IP address

type=NS

- `name` is domain (e.g., foo.com)
- `value` is hostname of authoritative name server for this domain

type=CNAME

- `name` is alias name for some "canonical" (the real) name
- www.ibm.com is really servereast.backup2.ibm.com
- `value` is canonical name

type=MX

- `value` is name of SMTP mail server associated with `name`

DNS protocol messages

DNS *query* and *reply* messages, both have same *format:*

message header:

- identification: 16 bit # for query, reply to query uses same #
- flags:
  - query or reply
  - recursion desired
  - recursion available
  - reply is authoritative



2 bytes	2 bytes
identification	flags
# questions	# answer RRs
# authority RRs	# additional RRs

questions (variable # of questions)

answers (variable # of RRs)

authority (variable # of RRs)

additional info (variable # of RRs)

DNS protocol messages

DNS *query* and *reply* messages, both have same *format:*



2 bytes

2 bytes

identification

flags

# questions

# answer RRs

# authority RRs

additional RRs

name, type fields for a query

questions (variable # of questions)

RRs in response to query

answers (variable # of RRs)

records for authoritative servers

authority (variable # of RRs)

additional " helpful" info that may be used

additional info (variable # of RRs)

Getting your info into the DNS

example: new startup “Network Utopia”

- register name networkuptopia.com at *DNS registrar* (e.g., Network Solutions)
  - provide names, IP addresses of authoritative name server (primary and secondary)
  - registrar inserts NS, A RRs into .com TLD server:

    `(networkutopia.com, dns1.networkutopia.com, NS)`

    `(dns1.networkutopia.com, 212.212.212.1, A)`
- create authoritative server locally with IP address `212.212.212.1`
  - type A record for www.networkuptopia.com
  - type MX record for networkutopia.com

DNS security

DDoS attacks

- bombard root servers with traffic
  - not successful to date
  - traffic filtering
  - local DNS servers cache IPs of TLD servers, allowing root server bypass
- bombard TLD servers
  - potentially more dangerous

Spoofing attacks

- intercept DNS queries, returning bogus replies
  - DNS cache poisoning
  - RFC 4033: DNSSEC authentication services

Application layer: overview

- Principles of network applications
- Web and HTTP
- The Domain Name System DNS
- P2P applications
- video streaming and content distribution networks
- socket programming with UDP and TCP

Peer-to-peer (P2P) architecture

- *no* always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers request service from other peers, provide service in return to other peers
  - *self scalability* – new peers bring new service capacity, and new service demands
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses
  - complex management
- examples: P2P file sharing (BitTorrent), streaming (KanKan), VoIP (Skype)



File distribution: client-server vs P2P

Q: how much time to distribute file (size *F*) from one server to *N peers*?

- peer upload/download capacity is limited resource



u_s: server upload capacity
file, size F
server
u_s
u_1
d_1
u_2
d_2
d_i: peer i download capacity
d_i
u_N
d_N
network (with abundant bandwidth)
u_i
u_i: peer i upload capacity

File distribution time: client-server

- *server transmission:* must sequentially send (upload) N file copies:
  - time to send one copy: F/u_s
  - time to send N copies: NF/u_s



- *client:* each client must download file copy
  - d_{min} = min client download rate
  - min client download time: F/d_{min}

time to distribute F to N clients using client-server approach

$$D_{c\text{-}s} \geq max\{NF/u_{s,},F/d_{min}\}$$

increases linearly in N

File distribution time: P2P

- *server transmission:* must upload at least one copy:
  - time to send one copy: F/u_s
- *client:* each client must download file copy
  - min client download time: F/d_{min}
- *clients:* as aggregate must download NF bits
  - max upload rate (limiting max download rate) is $u_s + \Sigma u_i$



time to distribute F to N clients using P2P approach

$$D_{P2P} \geq max\{F/u_s, F/d_{min}, NF/(u_s + \Sigma u_i)\}$$

increases linearly in N …

… but so does this, as each peer brings service capacity

Client-server vs. P2P: example

client upload rate = *u*, *F/u* = 1 hour, $u_s = 10u$, $d_{min} \geq u_s$



P2P file distribution: BitTorrent

- file divided into 256Kb chunks
- peers in torrent send/receive file chunks

tracker: tracks peers participating in torrent

torrent: group of peers exchanging chunks of a file



Alice arrives …
… obtains list
of peers from tracker
… and begins exchanging
file chunks with peers in torrent

P2P file distribution: BitTorrent



- peer joining torrent:
  - has no chunks, but will accumulate them over time from other peers
  - registers with tracker to get list of peers, connects to subset of peers (“neighbors”)
- while downloading, peer uploads chunks to other peers
- peer may change peers with whom it exchanges chunks
- *churn:* peers may come and go
- once peer has entire file, it may (selfishly) leave or (altruistically) remain in torrent

BitTorrent: requesting, sending file chunks

Requesting chunks:

- at any given time, different peers have different subsets of file chunks
- periodically, Alice asks each peer for list of chunks that they have
- Alice requests missing chunks from peers, rarest first

Sending chunks: tit-for-tat

- Alice sends chunks to those four peers currently sending her chunks *at highest rate*
  - other peers are choked by Alice (do not receive chunks from her)
  - re-evaluate top 4 every10 secs
- every 30 secs: randomly select another peer, starts sending chunks
  - "optimistically unchoke" this peer
  - newly chosen peer may join top 4

BitTorrent: tit-for-tat

(1) Alice "optimistically unchokes" Bob

(2) Alice becomes one of Bob's top-four providers; Bob reciprocates

(3) Bob becomes one of Alice's top-four providers



higher upload rate: find better trading partners, get file faster !

Application layer: overview

- Principles of network applications
- Web and HTTP
- The Domain Name System DNS
- P2P applications
- video streaming and content distribution networks
- socket programming with UDP and TCP

Video Streaming and CDNs: context

- stream video traffic: major consumer of Internet bandwidth
  - Netflix, YouTube, Amazon Prime: 80% of residential ISP traffic (2020)
- *challenge:* scale - how to reach ~1B users?
- *challenge:* heterogeneity
  - different users have different capabilities (e.g., wired versus mobile; bandwidth rich versus bandwidth poor)
- *solution:* distributed, application-level infrastructure

Multimedia: video

- video: sequence of images displayed at constant rate
  - e.g., 24 images/sec
- digital image: array of pixels
  - each pixel represented by bits
- coding: use redundancy *within* and *between* images to decrease # bits used to encode image
  - spatial (within image)
  - temporal (from one image to next)

spatial coding example: instead of sending *N* values of same color (all purple), send only two values: color value (*purple*) *and number of repeated values (*N)



frame *i*

temporal coding example: instead of sending complete frame at i+1, send only differences from frame i

frame *i+1*

Multimedia: video

- CBR: (constant bit rate): video encoding rate fixed
- VBR: (variable bit rate): video encoding rate changes as amount of spatial, temporal coding changes
- examples:
  - MPEG 1 (CD-ROM) 1.5 Mbps
  - MPEG2 (DVD) 3-6 Mbps
  - MPEG4 (often used in Internet, 64Kbps – 12 Mbps)



spatial coding example: instead of sending *N* values of same color (all purple), send only two values: color value (*purple*) *and number of repeated values* (N)

frame *i*

temporal coding example: instead of sending complete frame at i+1, send only differences from frame i

frame *i+1*

Streaming stored video

simple scenario:



Main challenges:

- server-to-client bandwidth will *vary* over time, with changing network congestion levels (in house, access network, network core, video server)
- packet loss, delay due to congestion will delay playout, or result in poor video quality

Streaming stored video



Cumulative data

1. video recorded (e.g., 30 frames/sec)

2. video sent

3. video received, played out at client (30 frames/sec)

network delay (fixed in this example)

time

streaming: at this time, client playing out early part of video, while server still sending later part of video

Streaming stored video: challenges

- continuous playout constraint: during client video playout, playout timing must match original timing
  - … but network delays are variable (jitter), so will need client-side buffer to match continuous playout constraint



- other challenges:
  - client interactivity: pause, fast-forward, rewind, jump through video
  - video packets may be lost, retransmitted

Streaming stored video: playout buffering



- *client-side buffering and playout delay:* compensate for network-added delay, delay jitter

Streaming multimedia: DASH

*D*ynamic, *A*daptive *S*treaming over *H*TTP

server:

- divides video file into multiple chunks
- each chunk encoded at multiple different rates
- different rate encodings stored in different files
- files replicated in various CDN nodes
- *manifest file:* provides URLs for different chunks



client:

- periodically estimates server-to-client bandwidth
- consulting manifest, requests one chunk at a time
  - chooses maximum coding rate sustainable given current bandwidth
  - can choose different coding rates at different points in time (depending on available bandwidth at time), and from different servers

Streaming multimedia: DASH

- *"intelligence"* at client: client determines
  - *when* to request chunk (so that buffer starvation, or overflow does not occur)
  - *what encoding rate* to request (higher quality when more bandwidth available)
  - *where* to request chunk (can request from URL server that is "close" to client or has high available bandwidth)



Streaming video = encoding + DASH + playout buffering

Content distribution networks (CDNs)

challenge: how to stream content (selected from millions of videos) to hundreds of thousands of *simultaneous* users?

- *option 1:* single, large "mega-server"
  - single point of failure
  - point of network congestion
  - long (and possibly congested) path to distant clients

….quite simply: this solution *doesn't scale*

Content distribution networks (CDNs)

challenge: how to stream content (selected from millions of videos) to hundreds of thousands of *simultaneous* users?

- *option 2:* store/serve multiple copies of videos at multiple geographically distributed sites *(CDN)*
  - *enter deep:* push CDN servers deep into many access networks
    - close to users
    - Akamai: 240,000 servers deployed in > 120 countries (2015)
  - *bring home:* smaller number (10's) of larger clusters in POPs near access nets
    - used by Limelight

Content distribution networks (CDNs)

- CDN: stores copies of content (e.g. MADMEN) at CDN nodes
- subscriber requests content, service provider returns manifest
  - using manifest, client retrieves content at highest supportable rate
  - may choose different rate or copy if network path congested



Content distribution networks (CDNs)



OTT: “over the top”

Internet host-host communication as a service

OTT challenges: coping with a congested Internet from the “edge”

- what content to place in which CDN node?
- from which CDN node to retrieve content? At which rate?