senses correlated with different sets of accompanying arguments. In its most simple paradigmatic construction, the Class V verb ₹a' connotes the sense of "to compose." For example,

पहंश.र्चिर्य.र्पट.त्य.पंग्रुज.त.पंऋश्रवा

The commentary was composed by Jamyang Wangpo.

However, in a different syntactic context—one involving only the presence of an ablative (case 5) qualifier—the verb conveys the sense of "to stem (from)" or "to be based (on)":

 $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ 

Based on just the naturelessness of all phenomena ...

In many instances there are also semantic variations that are close in scope though differentiable by different syntactic frames. The verb Ran, for instance, conveys the general sense of cognitive activity. In its usage, however, three distinct though related senses can be seen. In an active construction with a nominative object, it connotes the loose sense of "to think (about)":

प्र्र. पर्. र्वेग. पर्वा. इयय. प्रायः ...

Thinking about the sufferings of cyclic existence ...

However, with an object marked explicitly in the second case ("objective"), the more specific meaning of "to meditate (on)" is indicated:

न्यःकॅ्रायन्भायम्याः शुरः

Meditating on this true teaching ...

Finally, in a construction with a verbal or sentential complement marked in the second case ("objective"), the more specific meaning of "to think (that)" is conveyed:

मैपा.र्यया.जया.जुर्य.पर्यिपा.शुर्य.जयाया.त्रर.जुश्रया

I think that these practices of the Conqueror's sons are without error.

Other variations in word sense occur as an artifact of active/passive alternations. For example, the Class III verb  $\S \Gamma$  conveys the sense of "to illuminate" in an active construction, as in: