REPRESENTATION OF TENSE AND NEGATION

HOMOGRAPHS AND SENSES

Any discussion of verbs necessarily raises the issue of polysemy, or word sense ambiguity, and hence semantic subcategorization. In Tibetan, as with other languages, it is possible to subcategorize verbs both in terms of their requisite *syntactic* structures (that is, by their arguments—agents, subjects, objects, complements, and qualifiers) and *semantically* in terms of the different senses conveyed. Both syntactic subcategorization (i.e., homographs) and semantic subcategorization (i.e., senses) are provided here as they are attested in the literature.

For example, we can observe both Class VIII and Class V syntactic patterns correlating with the verbs མངའ་, མཚམ་, སྲོས་, གསུང་, and ૭ང་. The verb གསུང་, in its Class V usage, displays an active construction conveying the sense of "to say" or "to express":

चुैन्न संत्यात प्याप्त प्रत्येत क्षेत्र । दि प्रतित याने याना स्वयः ग्रीन यान्य । All the tathāgatas have said, "Do not befriend the childish."

Its Class VIII homograph, however, utilizes an attributive construction with a locative subject and nominative object conveying the sense of "to be called":

रॅ.चॅ.प्राष्ट्रप्राप्त प्रतिग्वाक्ष प्रविश्वाविष्य प्रविश्वाविष्य प्रविश्वाविष्य प्रविश्वाविष्य क्षेत्र व्याचित्र क्षिण्य है। Other-powered [natures] are called the basis of imputing entities and particulars.

Similar examples can be seen with other verbs.

Within a given syntactic category, variations in word sense can also be seen. For instance, in sentences involving the verb ${}^{\mbox{\ensuremath{\not{\in}}}\mbox{\ensuremath{a}}\mbox{\ensuremath{a}}\mbox{\ensuremath{a}}\mbox{\ensuremath{e}}\m$