

10/14/2024

Team Discussion:

☐ **Littlefoot:**

- Has a better README file.
- Has better testing functionality.
- Better repository structure.
- The package.json is more concise and well-organized.

☐ **Bigfoot:**

- Has better code comments.
- No testing functionality.

Proposed Changes:

- ☐ Modify some of the HTML files.
- ☐ Focus more on improving **Littlefoot**.
- ☐ Consider reading footnotes aloud (audio feature).
- ☐ Consider starting from scratch to avoid big changes and simplify the process.
- ☐ Add buttons like **Bigfoot** uses on their website.
- ☐ Implement hover effects, hover delay, and prevent page scroll.
- ☐ Add links to different sections.
- ☐ Add a foot emoji for fun.
- ☐ Host a demo on GitHub.

Team Meeting with TA:

- ☐ **Recommendation:** Work together as a team. Avoid splitting up. Debates are fine, but the main goal is team collaboration.
- ☐ **Tool:** Consider using JetBrains' "CodeWithMe" for collaborative coding.

Action Points for Changes:

- ❑ **Scope:** We can rerun the whole thing with minor changes. The goal is to improve the project through those changes. If I make changes, what should they be?
- ❑ **Collaborate:** Each pair should come up with one idea to make the final idea stronger.
 - Suggested process: 5 minutes for thinking, 5 minutes for pairing up, 5 minutes for sharing.
- ❑ **Changes:** Focus on improving behavior, functionality, or the project itself.
- ❑ **Architectural Decision Record (ADR):** Document the reasoning behind each change and why it's beneficial.
 - If starting from scratch, document each major decision (e.g., technology, design) with an ADR.
 - ADR should be focused on one topic per entry, outlining pros and cons.

Key Considerations:

- ❑ **Concerns:** If you're worried about specific aspects (e.g., X, Y, Z), write them down.
- ❑ **Pair Programming:** Ensure not everyone is coding all the time—diversify the workload.
- ❑ **Accessibility:** Use a screen reader rather than text-to-speech for accessibility. Blind users may not be aware of visual buttons—ensure they can interact easily.
- ❑ **If starting from scratch:** What would be different? Who isn't well-served by **Littlefoot** or **Bigfoot**? Simplify the approach (e.g., putting footnotes to the sides).

Development Process:

- ❑ **Code Review:** Establish a clear code review process for submitting changes, pull requests, and reviewing the code.
- ❑ **Tool Modernization:** If we choose to build on either **Littlefoot** or **Bigfoot**, one way we could do is focus on modernizing the tools and justifying our reasons.