

Master Theorem

Jiman Hwang

This document is for self-study only.
Source: [THC09]

1 Statement

Let $a \geq 1$ and $b > 1$ be constants, let $f(n)$ be a function, and define the complexity $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n) \quad (1)$$

where we interpret n/b to mean either $\lceil n/b \rceil$ or $\lfloor n/b \rfloor$. Then $T(n)$ has the following asymptotic bounds:

1. If $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$.
2. If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg n)$.
3. If $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and if $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$ for some constant $c < 1$ and all sufficiently large n then $T(n) = \Theta\{f(n)\}$.

2 Derivation

Before we start, assume the complexity T is a monotonic function. This is plausible because the majority of complexity would be increased as the input size gets bigger. Using the assumption, the following is true.

$$T(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) \leq T(n/b) \leq T(\lceil n/b \rceil)$$

Modifying a little bit,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) &\leq T(n/b) \leq T(\lceil n/b \rceil) \\ \Rightarrow aT(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + f(n) &\leq aT(n/b) + f(n) \leq aT(\lceil n/b \rceil) + f(n) \end{aligned}$$

Let $T_1(n) = aT(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + f(n)$, $T_2(n) = aT(\lceil n/b \rceil) + f(n)$, then

$$T_1(n) \leq T(n) \leq T_2(n)$$

That is, T_1 is a lower bound of T , while T_2 is an upper bound of T . If T_1 and T_2 are the same in the asymptotic sense, then so is T , which we will go through. To make this happen, we have to resolve the two recurrence respectively.

1. $T(n) = aT(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + f(n)$
2. $T(n) = aT(\lceil n/b \rceil) + f(n)$

Then check if they match each other.

2.1 upper bound of T

The upper bound is the solution of

$$T(n) = aT(\lceil n/b \rceil) + f(n) \quad (2)$$

Assume

$$T(n) = \Theta(1) \quad \text{if } n \leq s \quad (3)$$

where $s > b/(b-1)$. Since $b/(b-1)$ is a finite value, the assumption is legitimate. Let

$$n_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} n & i = 0 \\ \lceil n_{i-1}/b \rceil & i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then, (2) is expressed as

$$T(n) = aT(n_1) + f(n)$$

By replacing n with n_1 , and multiplying a on the both hand sides, we get

$$aT(n_1) = a^2T(n_2) + af(n_1)$$

Again, do the same action on the above equation.

$$a^2T(n_2) = a^3T(n_3) + a^2f(n_2)$$

In this manner, we get the series of equations. Add them up all.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= aT(n_1) + f(n) \\ aT(n_1) &= a^2T(n_2) + af(n_1) \\ &\vdots \\ a^{k-1}T(n_{k-1}) &= a^kT(n_k) + a^{k-1}f(n_{k-1}) \\ \hline T(n) &= a^kT(n_k) + g(n) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$n_k \leq s < n_{k-1}, \text{ and } g(n) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r f(n_r) \quad (5)$$

To resolve k , let's take a look at (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
s &\geq n_k = \left\lceil \frac{n_{k-1}}{b} \right\rceil \geq \frac{n_{k-1}}{b} \\
\Rightarrow sb &\geq n_{k-1} \\
&\vdots \\
\Rightarrow sb^k &\geq n_0 = n \\
\Rightarrow \frac{n}{s} &\leq b^k \\
\therefore b^k &= \Omega(n)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Again from (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
s &< n_{k-1} = \left\lceil \frac{n_{k-2}}{b} \right\rceil < \frac{n_{k-2}}{b} + 1 \\
\Rightarrow sb &< n_{k-2} + b = \left\lceil \frac{n_{k-3}}{b} \right\rceil + b < \frac{n_{k-3}}{b} + 1 + b \\
\Rightarrow sb^2 &< n_{k-3} + b + b^2 \\
&\vdots \\
\Rightarrow sb^{k-1} &< n_0 + b + \dots + b^{k-1} = n + b \frac{b^{k-1} - 1}{b - 1} \\
\Rightarrow \left(s - \frac{b}{b-1} \right) b^{k-1} &< n - \frac{b}{b-1} \\
\Rightarrow b^k &< \frac{b}{s - \frac{b}{b-1}} \left(n - \frac{b}{b-1} \right) \\
\therefore b^k &= O(n)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From (6), (7)

$$b^k = \Theta(n) \tag{8}$$

or for some constant c_1 and c_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 n &\leq b^k \leq c_2 n \\
\Rightarrow \log_b c_1 + \log_b n &\leq k \leq \log_b c_2 + \log_b n
\end{aligned}$$

Here, note that

$$k = \Theta(\lg n) \tag{9}$$

and keep continue to process the inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
\log_b c_1 + \log_b n &\leq k \leq \log_b c_2 + \log_b n \\
\Rightarrow a^{\log_b c_1 + \log_b n} &\leq a^k \leq a^{\log_b c_2 + \log_b n} \\
\Rightarrow a^{\log_b c_1} n^{\log_b a} &\leq a^k \leq a^{\log_b c_2} n^{\log_b a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore a^k = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) \quad (10)$$

By (3) and (10), (4) becomes

$$T(n) = a^k = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + g(n) \quad (11)$$

Here, we distinguish $g(n)$ into three cases.

1. $f(n) = O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}\right)$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$
2. $f(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right)$
3. $f(n) = \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}\right)$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and if $af(\lceil n/b \rceil) \leq cf(n)$ for some constant $c < 1$ and all sufficiently large n

2.1.1 Case 1

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} &= \left\lceil \frac{n_{r-1}}{b} \right\rceil^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n_{r-1}}{b} + 1 \right)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} (n_{r-1} + b)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

There is a constant $c_{r-1} > 0$ such that

$$n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \leq \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} c_{r-1} n_{r-1}^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \quad \text{for all sufficiently large } n$$

Applying this action recursively, there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \leq \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{r(\log_b a - \varepsilon)} c_0 n_0^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} = \left(\frac{b^\varepsilon}{a} \right)^r c_0 n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \quad (12)$$

for all sufficiently large n . From the case assumption,

$$f(n_r) = O\left(n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}\right)$$

There is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$f(n_r) \leq c n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}$$

for all sufficiently large n . Using (31),

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_r) &\leq \left(\frac{b^\varepsilon}{a} \right)^r c c_0 n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ \Rightarrow a^r f(n_r) &\leq c c_0 b^{\varepsilon r} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r f(n_r) &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c c_0 b^{\varepsilon r} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ \Rightarrow g(n) &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c c_0 b^{\varepsilon r} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since $b > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$g(n) \leq cc_0 \frac{b^{\varepsilon k} - 1}{b^{\varepsilon} - 1} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}$$

Using (8),

$$g(n) \leq \Theta(n^{\varepsilon}) n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} = \Theta(n^{\varepsilon}) n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

$$\therefore g(n) = O(n^{\log_b a})$$

From (11),

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) + O(n^{\log_b a}) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

2.1.2 Case 2

Comparing to *Case 1*, the only difference is ε , and there is no necessary condition during the process of *Case 1* until (13). Hence, by putting $\varepsilon = 0$, (13) becomes

$$g(n) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} cc_0 n^{\log_b a} = cc_0 k n^{\log_b a}$$

By (9),

$$g(n) \leq \Theta(\lg n) n^{\log_b a} = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg n)$$

$$\therefore g(n) = O(n^{\log_b a} \lg n) \quad (14)$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} n_r^{\log_b a} &= \left\lceil \frac{n_{r-1}}{b} \right\rceil^{\log_b a} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{n_{r-1}}{b} \right)^{\log_b a} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\log_b a} n_{r-1}^{\log_b a} \\ &= \frac{1}{a} n_{r-1}^{\log_b a} \end{aligned}$$

Repeating recursively,

$$\begin{aligned} n_r^{\log_b a} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^r n^{\log_b a} \\ \Rightarrow a^r n_r^{\log_b a} &\geq n^{\log_b a} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r n_r^{\log_b a} &\geq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} n^{\log_b a} = k n^{\log_b a} \\ \Rightarrow g(n) &\geq k n^{\log_b a} \end{aligned}$$

Using (9),

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) &\geq \Theta(\lg n) n^{\log_b a} = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right) \\ g(n) &= \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

From (14) and (15),

$$g(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right)$$

Consequently, (11) becomes

$$T(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right)$$

2.1.3 Case 3

Since $f(n) = \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}\right)$, from (11),

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r f(n_r) \\ &= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + f(n) \\ \therefore T(n) &= \Omega\{f(n)\} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Back to the summation at the early stage, apply $af(\lceil n/b \rceil) \leq cf(n)$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T(n) &= aT(n_1) + f(n) &\leq aT(n_1) + f(n) \\ aT(n_1) &= a^2T(n_2) + af(n_1) &\leq a^2T(n_2) + cf(n) \\ \vdots & &\vdots \\ a^{k-1}T(n_{k-1}) &= a^kT(n_k) + a^{k-1}f(n_{k-1}) &\leq a^kT(n_k) + c^{k-1}f(n) \end{array}$$

$$T(n) = \leq a^kT(n_k) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c^r f(n)$$

From (10),

$$T(n) \leq \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c^r f(n)$$

Since $c < 1$, $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c^r < \infty$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \frac{1}{1-c} f(n) \\ &\leq \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \Theta\{f(n)\} \\ &\leq \Theta\{f(n)\} \end{aligned} \quad \text{since } f(n) = \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}\right)$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O\{f(n)\} \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17),

$$\therefore T(n) = \Theta\{f(n)\}$$

2.2 lower bound of T

The lower bound is the solution of

$$T(n) = aT(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + f(n) \quad (18)$$

and is solvable in a similar manner with that of upper bound. Assume

$$T(n) = \Theta(1) \quad \text{if } n \leq s \quad (19)$$

where s is some constant. Let

$$n_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} n & i = 0 \\ \lfloor n_{i-1}/b \rfloor & i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Then, (18) is expressed as

$$T(n) = aT(n_1) + f(n)$$

By replacing n with n_1 , and multiplying a on the both hand sides, we get

$$aT(n_1) = a^2T(n_2) + af(n_1)$$

Again, do the same action on the above equation.

$$a^2T(n_2) = a^3T(n_3) + a^2f(n_2)$$

In this manner, we get the series of equations. Add them up all.

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= aT(n_1) + f(n) \\ aT(n_1) &= a^2T(n_2) + af(n_1) \\ &\vdots \\ a^{k-1}T(n_{k-1}) &= a^kT(n_k) + a^{k-1}f(n_{k-1}) \\ \hline T(n) &= a^kT(n_k) + g(n) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where

$$n_k \leq s < n_{k-1}, \text{ and } g(n) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r f(n_r) \quad (21)$$

To resolve k , let's take a look at (21)

$$\begin{aligned}
s &\geq n_k = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{k-1}}{b} \right\rfloor > \frac{n_{k-1}}{b} - 1 \\
\Rightarrow sb &> n_{k-1} - b \\
\Rightarrow sb &> \frac{n_{k-2}}{b} - 1 - b \\
\Rightarrow sb^2 &> n_{k-2} - b - b^2 \\
&\vdots \\
\Rightarrow sb^k &> n_0 - b - \dots - b^k = n - b \frac{b^k - 1}{b - 1} \\
\Rightarrow \left(s + \frac{b}{b-1} \right) b^k &> n + \frac{b}{b-1} \\
\Rightarrow b^k &> \frac{1}{s + \frac{b}{b-1}} \left(n + \frac{b}{b-1} \right) \\
&\therefore b^k = \Omega(n)
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Again from (21),

$$\begin{aligned}
s &< n_{k-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{k-2}}{b} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{n_{k-2}}{b} \\
\Rightarrow sb &\leq n_{k-2} \\
&\vdots \\
\Rightarrow sb^{k-1} &\leq n_0 = n \\
\Rightarrow b^k &\leq \frac{b}{s} n \\
&\therefore b^k = O(n)
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From (22), (23)

$$b^k = \Theta(n) \tag{24}$$

or for some constant c_1 and c_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 n &\leq b^k \leq c_2 n \\
\Rightarrow \log_b c_1 + \log_b n &\leq k \leq \log_b c_2 + \log_b n
\end{aligned}$$

Here, note that

$$k = \Theta(\lg n) \tag{25}$$

and keep continue to process the inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
\log_b c_1 + \log_b n &\leq k \leq \log_b c_2 + \log_b n \\
\Rightarrow a^{\log_b c_1 + \log_b n} &\leq a^k \leq a^{\log_b c_2 + \log_b n} \\
\Rightarrow a^{\log_b c_1} n^{\log_b a} &\leq a^k \leq a^{\log_b c_2} n^{\log_b a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore a^k = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) \quad (26)$$

By (19) and (26), (20) becomes

$$T(n) = a^k = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + g(n) \quad (27)$$

Here, we distinguish $g(n)$ into three cases.

1. $f(n) = O\left(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}\right)$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$
2. $f(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right)$
3. $f(n) = \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}\right)$ for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$, and if $af(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) \leq cf(n)$ for some constant $c < 1$ and all sufficiently large n

2.2.1 Case 1

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} &= \left\lfloor \frac{n_{r-1}}{b} \right\rfloor^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n_{r-1}}{b} \right)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} n_{r-1}^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Applying this action recursively,

$$n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \leq \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{r(\log_b a - \varepsilon)} n_0^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} = \left(\frac{b^\varepsilon}{a} \right)^r n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \quad (28)$$

From the case assumption,

$$f(n_r) = O\left(n_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}\right)$$

There is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$f(n_r) \leq cn_r^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}$$

for all sufficiently large n . Using (28),

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_r) &\leq \left(\frac{b^\varepsilon}{a} \right)^r cn^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ \Rightarrow a^r f(n_r) &\leq cb^{\varepsilon r} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r f(n_r) &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} cb^{\varepsilon r} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \\ \Rightarrow g(n) &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} cb^{\varepsilon r} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since $b > 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$g(n) \leq c \frac{b^{\varepsilon k} - 1}{b^{\varepsilon} - 1} n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}$$

Using (24),

$$g(n) \leq \Theta(n^{\varepsilon}) n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} = \Theta(n^{\varepsilon}) n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon} = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

$$\therefore g(n) = O(n^{\log_b a})$$

From (27),

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) + O(n^{\log_b a}) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

2.2.2 Case 2

Comparing to *Case 1*, the only difference is ε , and there is no necessary condition during the process of *Case 1* until (29). Hence, by putting $\varepsilon = 0$, (29) becomes

$$g(n) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} cn^{\log_b a} = ckn^{\log_b a}$$

By (25),

$$g(n) \leq \Theta(\lg n) n^{\log_b a} = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg n)$$

$$\therefore g(n) = O(n^{\log_b a} \lg n) \tag{30}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} n_r^{\log_b a} &= \left\lfloor \frac{n_{r-1}}{b} \right\rfloor^{\log_b a} \\ &> \left(\frac{n_{r-1}}{b} - 1 \right)^{\log_b a} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)^{\log_b a} (n_{r-1} - b)^{\log_b a} \\ &= \frac{1}{a} (n_{r-1} - b)^{\log_b a} \end{aligned}$$

There is a constant $c_{r-1} > 0$ such that

$$n_r^{\log_b a} > \frac{1}{a} c_{r-1} n_{r-1}^{\log_b a}$$

for all sufficiently large n . Applying this action recursively, there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$n_r^{\log_b a} > \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^r c_0 n_0^{\log_b a} = \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^r c_0 n^{\log_b a} \quad (31)$$

for all sufficiently large n . From the case assumption,

$$f(n_r) = \Theta\left(n_r^{\log_b a}\right)$$

There is a constant c such that

$$f(n_r) \geq c n_r^{\log_b a}$$

for all sufficiently large n . Using (31),

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_r) &> \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^r c c_0 n^{\log_b a} \\ \Rightarrow a^r f(n_r) &> c c_0 n^{\log_b a} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r f(n_r) &> \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c c_0 n^{\log_b a} \\ \Rightarrow g(n) &> c c_0 k n^{\log_b a} \end{aligned}$$

Using (25),

$$\begin{aligned} g(n) &> \Theta(\lg n) n^{\log_b a} = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right) \\ g(n) &= \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From (30) and (32),

$$g(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right)$$

Consequently, (27) becomes

$$T(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right)$$

2.2.3 Case 3

Since $f(n) = \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}\right)$, from (27),

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a^r f(n_r) \\ &= \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + f(n) \\ \therefore T(n) &= \Omega\{f(n)\} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Back to the summation at the early stage, apply $af(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) \leq cf(n)$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
T(n) & = & aT(n_1) + f(n) & \leq aT(n_1) + f(n) \\
aT(n_1) & = & a^2T(n_2) + af(n_1) & \leq a^2T(n_2) + cf(n) \\
& \vdots & & \vdots \\
a^{k-1}T(n_{k-1}) & = & a^kT(n_k) + a^{k-1}f(n_{k-1}) & \leq a^kT(n_k) + c^{k-1}f(n) \\
\hline
T(n) & = & & \leq a^kT(n_k) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c^r f(n)
\end{array}$$

From (26),

$$T(n) \leq \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c^r f(n)$$

Since $c < 1$, $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c^r < \infty$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \frac{1}{1-c} f(n) \\
& \leq \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right) + \Theta\{f(n)\} \\
& \leq \Theta\{f(n)\} & \text{since } f(n) = \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}\right) \\
& \therefore T(n) = O\{f(n)\} & (34)
\end{aligned}$$

From (33) and (34),

$$\therefore T(n) = \Theta\{f(n)\}$$

2.3 Sandwich

We've checked the upper and lower bounds are coincident, hence the solutions of each cases are valid for all n .

3 Rationale

For the *Case 3* at Sec (2.1) and Sec (2.2), one condition is differ from the other one.

1. $af(\lceil n/b \rceil) \leq cf(n)$
2. $af(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) \leq cf(n)$

I setup both assumptions to deduce the result. However, given $f(n) = \Omega\left(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}\right)$, $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$ doesn't imply $af(\lceil n/b \rceil) \leq cf(n)$ and $af(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) \leq cf(n)$ generally. This is a hole in this paper.

References

- [THC09] Ronald L. Rivest Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson. *Introduction to Algorithms*, page 94. The MIT Press, 3rd edition, 2009.