

Paper F Notes

Jakub Jadwiszczak

jadwiszj@tcd.ie

Contents

Cnapter 1		Introduction to the Patent Cooperation Treaty — PCT	Page 3
	1.1	Historical Notes and Some Quick Facts	3
	1.2	The Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property	4
Chapter 2			5
Chapter 2		Fillun Homework Questions	Page 5
	2.1	September 22, 2025	5

Chapter 1

Introduction to the Patent Cooperation Treaty — PCT

1.1 Historical Notes and Some Quick Facts

The **Patent Cooperation Treaty** (PCT) is an international patent law treaty, concluded in 1970. It provides a unified procedure for filing patent applications to protect inventions in each of its contracting states.

- § Signed on June 19, 1970 in Washington D.C.. Entered into force on January 24, 1978. The first application was filed on June 1, 1978.
- A patent application filed under the PCT is called an international application, or PCT application.
- No case law. No appeal body with exception of questions relating to unity of invention.
- 2 Rules have to cover all situations that might occur therefore are very detailed.
- © Contracting States have agreed to accept international filing date and the form and content of an international application having the effect of a national application, but they have not limited the freedom to grant patents to an International Authority (IA). This runs in contrast to the EPC for example where that freedom has been ceded.
- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ The international phase includes filing + search + publication + (optional) non-binding examination. No decision to grant.

Definition

"National phase" for the purposes of PCT should be understood to mean "national" or "regional phase."

- Any signatory of the Paris Convention may accede to the PCT.
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}$ The national office (NO) must approve form and content of the application as approved in the international phase.
- The cost of entering national phase is similar to a direct national application. Further search + examination may be carried out in the national phase, but the use of the **international search report (ISR)** and examination may result in a fee reduction at national phase.
- NO will grant patent on initial application with the same effect as on a direct national application.

- ♠ PCT is administered by the Word Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), which is a UN agency. The International Bureau (IB) of WIPO carries out admin for the International Patent Cooperation Union that the Contracting States make up.
- The international phase is carried out before International Authorities. There are > 120 of them and > 20 can act as International Search Authorities (ISAs) or International Preliminary Examination Authorities (IPEAs).
- After the international phase, all NOs act as so-called **designated Offices** (dO) or **elected Offices** (eO) [the latter in *Chapter II*.]

1.2 The Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property

Full Text

- Signed on March 20, 1883.
- Agreement between countries for mutual recognition of IP rights. Nationals of Signatory Countries enjoy the same rights in other States as nationals of those other States.
- 2 It secured the right of priority of a first filing in one State for subsequent applications in other States.
- A priority right is a time-limited right triggered by the first filing of an application for a patent, industrial design, or trademark. It allows the applicant to file a subsequent application in another country that is effectively treated as if filed on the date of the first application, known as the priority date. To use this right, the applicant (or their successor in title) must claim priority in the subsequent application.
- The priority period is **12 months** for patents and utility models (the **priority year**) and **6 months** for industrial designs and trademarks. In the original Paris Convention it was 6 months and 3 months, respectively.
- For patents, this right is crucial because **novelty** and **inventive step** are assessed against prior art that was made public **before the priority date**, not the actual (later) filing date of the subsequent application.

♦Rationale

according to the EPO: "(...) basic purpose [of the right of priority] is to safeguard, for a limited period, the interests of a patent applicant in his endeavour to obtain international protection for his invention, thereby alleviating the negative consequences of the principle of territoriality in patent law."

- § Art. 19 of the Paris Convention allows for special agreements between Signatory Countries. The Paris Convention takes precedence over laws of the Countries and over such special agreements.
- *≩* EPC is a "regional patent treaty" in the sense of **Art. 19** of the Paris Convention, e.g., under **Art. 45 PCT**, a PCT applicant can obtain an EP patent by filing an initial international application.

```
\begin{cases} \text{Patent } \underline{\text{in a state}} &= \underline{\text{national}} \\ \text{Patent } \underline{\text{for a state}} &= \underline{\text{regional}} \end{cases}
```

lorem ipsum

Chapter 2

Fillun Homework Questions

2.1 September 22, 2025

Question 1 Two applicants wish to appoint an agent to file their international application.

- (a) Who can be appointed to act as an agent?
- (b) How must the agent be appointed?
- (c) Do all receiving Offices require the filing of a separate power of attorney?
- (d) Does the EPO require the filing of a separate power of attorney?

The applicants always work via the same patent attorney office for which a general power of attorney has been prepared.

- (e) Is it necessary to attach a copy of the general power of attorney to the Request [PCT/RO/101]?
- (f) Does the EPO require filing a copy of the general power of attorney?
- Question 2 An international application is filed at the Japanese National Office. The applicant first mentioned in the Request [PCT/RO/101] is a Taiwanese national resident in Taiwan. The second applicant is a Korean national resident in Korea and the third applicant is a Japanese national resident in Japan. The three applicants have not appointed a common agent or a common representative.
 - (a) Who will be considered to be the common representative of the applicants?
 - (b) Would your answer to question (a) have been different if the international application had been filed at the International Bureau?
 - (c) Which acts may not be performed by the representative in question (a)?
 - (d) Which acts may not be performed by an agent appointed by the representative in question (a)?
- **Question 3** Today, 16 March 2020, the applicant discovers an obvious mistake in the description of his international application filed on Friday 9 March 2018 as a first filing.
 - (a) Can this obvious mistake be corrected? If so, what are the conditions?
 - (b) Who is competent to rectify the obvious mistake? What if the mistake is only detected after a demand for international preliminary examination has been made?
 - (c) What parts of the international application are taken into account upon correcting the mistake?
 - (d) When at the latest can a request for rectification be filed?
 - (e) Would your answer have been different if the applicant had discovered an obvious mistake in the abstract of his international application?
- **Question 4** Is it possible to file third party observations in relation to an international application during the international phase? If so, where and how can these be filed?

Question 5 A Danish applicant filed an international application PCT-DK as a first filing on 25 May 2025 with the EPO as receiving Office. Due to cash-flow problems, no fees were paid upon filing. On 30 June 2025, the EPO issues an invitation to pay the missing fees together with a late-payment surcharge.

Indicate True/False:

- (a) If the applicant has, in fact, paid the fees due at filing on 27 June 2025, the payment will be considered in time.
- (b) The time limit to pay the missing fees together with late-payment surcharge expires on 1 September 2025.
- (c) If the applicant pays the missing fees + surcharge one day after the time limit to do so has expired, this is too late and the EPO is obliged to declare under Art. 14(3) that PCT-DK is considered withdrawn.
- **Question 6** What happens if the applicant is a resident or national of one of the PCT Contracting States but files the international application with a "non-competent" receiving Office? What are the consequences for according the international filing date for such an application? Must an additional fee be paid?



Answer 1 Two applicants wish to appoint an agent to file their international application.

- (a) Under **R. 90**: a person having the right to practice before the national Office with which the PCTa is filed <u>or</u> having the right to practice before the IB as rO. The latter is governed by **Rule 83**, i.e., the agent must have a right to practice before the nO of the Contracting State of which the Applicant is a resident or national.
- (b) Applicant enters and signs the name **and** address of the agent in the request <u>or</u> the demand <u>or</u> in a separate power of attorney (applicable to a **specific** PCTa) <u>or</u> by a general power of attorney (applicable to **any** PCTa).
- (c) No.
- (d) No.
- (e) Yes. It says so on the [PCT/RO/101] form.
- (f) No. Waiver under **Rule 90.5(c)**. Two exceptions relating to suspicions as to the nature of the person performing acts apply.
- Answer 2 An international application is filed at the Japanese National Office. The applicant first mentioned in the Request [PCT/RO/101] is a Taiwanese national resident in Taiwan. The second applicant is a Korean national resident in Korea and the third applicant is a Japanese national resident in Japan. The three applicants have not appointed a common agent or a common representative.
 - (a) Who will be considered to be the common representative of the applicants?
 - (b) Would your answer to question (a) have been different if the international application had been filed at the International Bureau?
 - (c) Which acts may not be performed by the representative in question (a)?
 - (d) Which acts may not be performed by an agent appointed by the representative in question (a)?
- **Answer 3** Today, 16 March 2020, the applicant discovers an obvious mistake in the description of his international application filed on Friday 9 March 2018 as a first filing.
 - (a) Can this obvious mistake be corrected? If so, what are the conditions?
 - (b) Who is competent to rectify the obvious mistake? What if the mistake is only detected after a demand for international preliminary examination has been made?
 - (c) What parts of the international application are taken into account upon correcting the mistake?
 - (d) When at the latest can a request for rectification be filed?
 - (e) Would your answer have been different if the applicant had discovered an obvious mistake in the abstract of his international application?

- **Answer 4** Is it possible to file third party observations in relation to an international application during the international phase? If so, where and how can these be filed?
- **Answer 5** A Danish applicant filed an international application PCT-DK as a first filing on 25 May 2025 with the EPO as receiving Office. Due to cash-flow problems, no fees were paid upon filing. On 30 June 2025, the EPO issues an invitation to pay the missing fees together with a late-payment surcharge.

Indicate True/False:

- (a) If the applicant has, in fact, paid the fees due at filing on 27 June 2025, the payment will be considered in time.
- (b) The time limit to pay the missing fees together with late-payment surcharge expires on 1 September 2025.
- (c) If the applicant pays the missing fees + surcharge one day after the time limit to do so has expired, this is too late and the EPO is obliged to declare under Art. 14(3) that PCT-DK is considered withdrawn.
- Answer 6 What happens if the applicant is a resident or national of one of the PCT Contracting States but files the international application with a "non-competent" receiving Office? What are the consequences for according the international filing date for such an application? Must an additional fee be paid?