

### 教育數據探勘與應用 #3

陸嘉康 110590045

#### 8.12 Threshold: 0.5

Tuple 1: P (0.95  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  TP  
Tuple 2: N (0.85  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  FP  
Tuple 3: P (0.78  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  TP  
Tuple 4: P (0.66  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  TP  
Tuple 5: N (0.60  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  FP  
Tuple 6: P (0.55  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  TP  
Tuple 7: N (0.53  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  FP  
Tuple 8: N (0.52  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  FP  
Tuple 9: N (0.51  $\geq$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  FP  
Tuple 10: P (0.40  $<$  0.5)  $\rightarrow$  FN

True Positives (TP): 4  
False Positives (FP): 5  
True Negatives (TN): 0  
False Negatives (FN): 1

TPR:  $4 / (4 + 1) = 0.8$   
FPR:  $5 / (5 + 0) = 1$

#### 8.16 Balance the training set,

**Oversampling:** Oversample the minority class.

**Under-sampling:** Randomly eliminate tuples from majority class

**Synthesizing:** Synthesize new minority classes

At the algorithm level,

**Threshold-moving:** Move the decision threshold,  $t$ , so that the rare class tuples are easier to classify, and hence, less chance of costly false negative errors

**Class weight adjusting:** Since false negative costs more than false positive, we can give larger weight to false negative

**Ensemble techniques:** Ensemble multiple classifiers introduced in the following chapter

I would use oversampling to balance the data set, and use class weight adjusting to find potential fraudulent cases. Those reported cases could then be manually reviewed by human.

## 9.4 Eager Classification:

### Advantages:

- **Efficiency during prediction:** Eager classifiers construct a model during the training phase. Prediction for new instances is typically faster as the model is already built.
- **Regularization:** Eager classifiers often have built-in regularization mechanisms to prevent overfitting.

### Disadvantages:

- **Static model:** Once trained, the model is static and doesn't adapt to changes in the data distribution without retraining.
- **Resource intensive training:** Training eager classifiers can be computationally expensive.

## Lazy Classification:

### Advantages:

- **Adaptability:** Lazy classifiers adapt to changes in the data distribution without retraining. They are suitable for dynamic or evolving datasets.
- **No upfront training cost:** Lazy classifiers have no upfront training cost. Prediction time is the only time cost, and it depends on the size of the training dataset.

### Disadvantages:

- **Computational cost during prediction:** Prediction time for lazy classifiers can be higher because they need to compute distances or similarities during prediction.
- **Sensitivity to noise:** Lazy classifiers can be sensitive to noise and irrelevant features in the dataset.