
Bullet Notes on Computational Complexity

Albert Atserias,
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

MIRI, MAMME, MPAL
February-June, 2020.
Last modified: March 19, 2020.

1 Probabilistic computation

1.1 The model

- The model of non-deterministic TM will also serve as our model of randomized algorithm.
- Let $M = (Q, \Gamma, \delta_0, \delta_1, q_0, q_F)$ be an NTM.
- Think of the machine as making an independent and fair coin-flip at each step.
- Let $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$ be the outcome of the i -th coin-flip.
- The machine takes the random computation path given by the sequence $\delta_{c_1}, \dots, \delta_{c_t}$.
- Fix an input $x \in \Gamma^*$ and let $t = T_M(x)$.
- For $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t) \in \{0, 1\}^t$:
- Notation: $M_c(x)$: the output of M on input x when it uses δ_{c_i} in its i -th step.
- Notation: $\Pr[E] := |E|/2^t$ for any *event* $E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^t$.
- For example, for $y \in \Gamma^*$ and $E = \{c \in \{0, 1\}^t : M_c(x) = y\}$, what is $\Pr[E]$?
- It's the probability that (a random computation path of) M on input x outputs y .
- In this interpretation, if $L \in \text{NP}$ and M is a NTM that decides L with $t_M(n) \leq p(n)$, then:
- For every input x we have
- 1) if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] > 0$,
- 2) if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = 0$.
- But note that, in case $x \in L$, the probability $\Pr[M(x) = 1]$ can be as small as $1/2^{p(|x|)}$.
- If $p(n)$ is, say, n^2 , this probability could be useless even for rather small n .
- For $n = 200$, it is smaller than the probability of the planet exploding in the next second.
- We want NTMs that for $x \in L$ achieve good probability of acceptance.
- Say 0.99, instead of $1/2^{40000}$.

1.2 Example: primality testing

- COMPOSITENESS/PRIMALITY:
- Input: An m bit number n .
- Output: Is n composite or prime?
- The naive algorithm that tests $x|n$ for all $x \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ takes exponential time: $O(2^{m/2})$.
- Recall Miller-Rabin test for compositeness:
 1. given an odd $n \geq 3$,
 2. write $n - 1 = 2^s q$ where q is odd; i.e., repeatedly divide $n - 1$ by 2 until odd,
 3. choose a random $a \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$,
 4. compute $x_0 := a^q \pmod n$ by modular repeated squaring,
 5. for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s - 1$, compute $x_{i+1} := x_i^2 \pmod n$,
 6. if we find some $i \in \{0, \dots, s - 1\}$ with $x_i \neq \{+1, -1\}$ and $x_{i+1} = 1$, then output 'composite'.
 7. else output 'probably prime'.
- The test is sound:
 - If n is prime, then the only two roots of $x^2 - 1 \pmod n$ are $+1$ and -1 .
 - This follows from the fact that $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field when n is prime.
 - The test succeeds with good probability:
 - If n is not prime, then at least $3/4$ fraction of $a \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ output 'composite'.
 - This follows from a little bit of basic number theory (beyond the scope here).
 - The probability of error can be made exponentially small in t by repeating the test t many times.
- How is this implemented in a NTM?
- Modular arithmetic has efficient algorithms; for exponentiation we use repeated squaring.
- But how is $a \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ chosen uniformly at random?
- It is not:
 - 1) find k such that $2^k \leq n - 1 < 2^{k+1}$,
 - 2) draw $k + 1$ many independent coin-flips and interpret them as a number $x \in \{0, \dots, 2^{k+1} - 1\}$,
 - 3) if $x \notin \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, repeat; else, output x .
- The probability that nothing is output after t iterations is $\leq (1 - (n - 1)/2^{k+1})^t \leq (1/2)^t$.
- Conditioned on success: x is uniformly distributed in $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$.
- If we stop after t unsuccessful iterations, the probabilities get biased by no more than $1/2^t$.

1.3 Example: polynomial identity testing

- POLYNOMIAL IDENTITY TESTING:
- Input: An arithmetic expression F with variables x_1, \dots, x_n and constants $+1$ and -1 ,
- Output: Does F compute the identically zero polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$?
- Example 1: $(x_1 + x_2)(x_2 - x_3) - x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + x_2x_3$ is identically zero.
- Example 2: $x_1x_3x_5 \cdots x_{n-1} - \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (x_{2i-1} - x_{2i}) + x_2x_4x_6 \cdots x_n$ is not identically zero.
- The second example shows that naively expanding the expression could take exponential time.
- The “mindless” test:
 1. given an arithmetic expression $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with n variables and m operations,
 2. for $i = 1, \dots, n$, choose a random $a_i \in \{1, \dots, 20m\}$, independently,
 3. evaluate $F(x_1/a_1, \dots, x_n/a_n)$,
 4. if output is not zero, output ‘not the identically zero polynomial’
 5. else, output ‘probably the identically zero polynomial’.
- The test is sound:
 - This is obvious: how could $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be identically 0 if $F(x_1/a_1, \dots, x_n/a_n) \neq 0$?
 - The test succeeds with high probability:
 - This relies on the following two facts.
- Lemma 1:
 - Assume $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an arithmetic expression with n variables and m subexpressions.
 - Then F computes a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree at most $2m - 1$.
 - Proof:
 - Let $\text{size}(F)$ denote the number of subexpressions of F .
 - Let $\deg(F)$ denote the degree of the polynomial computed by F .
 - Proof is by induction on $\text{size}(F)$.
 - Base case: F is a variable or a constant. Obvious.
 - Inductive cases: $F = G + H$ or $F = G \times H$ for subexpressions G and H .
 - Let $m_1 = \text{size}(F)$ and $m_2 = \text{size}(G)$, so $m = m_1 + m_2 + 1$.
 - $F = G + H$: Then $\deg(F) = \max\{\deg(G), \deg(H)\} \leq \max\{2m_1 - 1, 2m_2 - 1\} \leq 2m - 1$.

- $F = G \times H$: Then $\deg(F) = \deg(G) + \deg(H) \leq 2m_1 - 1 + 2m_2 - 1 = 2m - 1$.
- QED

- Lemma 2 [Schwartz-Zippel Lemma]:
- Assume $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has degree at most d and let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.
- Then p has at most $d|S|^{n-1}$ many roots in S^n , unless it is identically zero.
- Proof: Induction on n .
- Base case: $n = 1$.
- This follows from the fundamental theorem of algebra:
- Over fields, non-zero univariate polynomials of degree d have at most d roots.
- Inductive case: $n \geq 2$.
- Write $p = \sum_{i=0}^d p_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n^i$ and assume p is not identically zero.
- Then there exists a maximal $d^* \in [d]$ so that p_{d^*} is not identically zero.
- Note that p_{d^*} has degree at most $d - d^*$ and at most $n - 1$ variables.
- Now fix a root $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in S^n$ of p .
- Then, either (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) is a root of p_{d^*} , or it isn't and a_n is a root of $\sum_{i=0}^{d^*} p_i(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})x_n^i$.
- Of the first type we have no more than $(d - d^*)|S|^{n-2}|S|$ (by the induction hypothesis).
- Of the second type we have no more than $|S|^{n-1}d^*$ (by the base case).
- This leaves a total of at most $(d - d^*)|S|^{n-2}|S| + |S|^{n-1}d^* = d|S|^{n-1}$.
- QED

- The test succeeds with high probability (continued):
- Assume F is not identically zero.
- By Lemma 1, we have $\deg(F) \leq 2m - 1$.
- By Lemma 2 with $S = \{1, \dots, 20m\}$ we have:
- For random and independent $a_1, \dots, a_n \in S$:
- The probability that $F(x_1/a_1, \dots, x_n/a_n) = 0$ is at most $(2m - 1)(20m)^{n-1}/(20m)^n \leq 1/10$.
- Thus the algorithm outputs “probably not identically zero” with probability at least $9/10$.

1.4 Probabilistic complexity classes: BPP, RP, co-RP, ZPP

- BPP: Bounded-error Probabilistic Polynomial-time.
- Class of all $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ for which there exist NTM M and $c \geq 0$ such that $t_M = O(n^c)$ and:
- For all $x \in \{0,1\}^*$:
- 0) $\Pr[M(x) \in \{0,1\}] = 1$.
- 1) if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 3/4$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 0] \leq 1/4$),
- 2) if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq 1/4$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 0] \geq 3/4$).

- RP: Randomized Polynomial-time with 1-sided error and no false positives.
- Class of all $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ for which there exist NTM M and $c \geq 0$ such that $t_M = O(n^c)$ and:
- For all $x \in \{0,1\}^*$:
- 0) $\Pr[M(x) \in \{0,1\}] = 1$.
- 1) if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 1/2$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 0] \leq 1/2$),
- 2) if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = 0$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 0] = 1$).

- RP': Randomized Polynomial-time with 1-sided error and no false negatives.
- Class of all $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ for which there exist NTM M and $c \geq 0$ such that $t_M = O(n^c)$ and:
- For all $x \in \{0,1\}^*$:
- 0) $\Pr[M(x) \in \{0,1\}] = 1$.
- 1) if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = 1$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 0] = 0$),
- 2) if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq 1/2$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 0] \geq 1/2$).

- ZPP: Zero-error Probabilistic Polynomial-time.
- Class of all $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ for which there exist NTM M and $c \geq 0$ such that $t_M = O(n^c)$ and:
- For all $x \in \{0,1\}^*$:
- 0) $\Pr[M(x) \in \{0,1,?\}] = 1$ and $\Pr[M(x) = ?] \leq 1/2$.
- 1) if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 0] = 0$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 1/2$),
- 2) if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = 0$ (hence $\Pr[M(x) = 0] \geq 1/2$).
- I.e., algorithm is never wrong (zero-error), but may fail to give a definite (i.e. 0/1) answer.

- Obvious relationships:
- $\text{RP} = \text{co-RP}'$ and $\text{RP}' = \text{co-RP}$.
- $\text{RP} \subseteq \text{NP}$ and $\text{co-RP} \subseteq \text{co-NP}$.

- $\text{RP} \subseteq \text{BPP}$ and $\text{co-RP} \subseteq \text{BPP}$.
- In order to see $\text{RP} \subseteq \text{BPP}$ (and $\text{co-RP} \subseteq \text{BPP}$):
- Start at a NTM M that witnesses $L \in \text{RP}$.
- Produce an NTM M' that on input x , makes two runs of $M(x)$ with independent coin-flips.
- If both runs return 0, then return 0; else return 1.
- Note that if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M'(x) = 1] = 0$.
- And if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M'(x) \neq 1] \leq (1/2)(1/2) = 1/4$; hence $\Pr[M'(x) = 1] \geq 3/4$.
- Thus M' witnesses that $L \in \text{BPP}$.

- More:
- Theorem: $\text{ZPP} = \text{RP} \cap \text{co-RP}$
- Proof:
- Recall $\text{co-RP} = \text{RP}'$, so we prove $\text{ZPP} = \text{RP} \cap \text{RP}'$.
- The inclusion from left to right is still obvious:
- The same NTM with ? replaced by 0 does the job for RP .
- The same NTM with ? replaced by 1 does the job for RP' .
- For the reverse inclusion, fix $L \in \text{RP} \cap \text{RP}'$.
- Let M_1 and M_0 be the NTM that witness $L \in \text{RP}$ and $L \in \text{RP}'$, respectively.
- Consider the following NTM M :
- 1. Given input x of length n ,
- 2. Run $M_1(x)$ with independent coin-flips; let $a_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ be the output,
- 3. Run $M_0(x)$ with independent coin-flips; let $a_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ be the output,
- 4. If $a_1 = 1$, output 1,
- 5. If $a_0 = 0$, output 0,
- 6. Else, output ?.
- Analysis:
- If $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 0] \leq \Pr[M_0(x) = 0] = 0$.
- $\Pr[M(x) = ?] \leq \Pr[M_1(x) \neq 1 \text{ and } M_0(x) \neq 0] \leq (1/2) \cdot (1) = 1/2$.
- If $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq \Pr[M_1(x) = 1] = 0$.
- $\Pr[M(x) = ?] \leq \Pr[M_1(x) \neq 1 \text{ and } M_0(x) \neq 0] \leq (1) \cdot (1/2) = 1/2$.

1.5 Error reduction a.k.a. success probability amplification

- Repeat several runs with independent coin-flips.
 - Rule by unanimity (for RP and RP').
 - Rule by majority (for BPP and ZPP).
 - This reduces the error probability exponentially.
 - Details follow.
-
- Lemma: For all $L \in \text{RP}$ and $d > 0$, there exist NTM M and $c \geq 0$ such that $t_M = O(n^c)$ and:
 - For all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ of length n :
 - 0) $\Pr[M(x) \in \{0, 1\}] = 1$.
 - 1) if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 1 - 1/2^{n^d}$,
 - 2) if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = 0$.
 - Proof:
 - Suppose M witnesses $L \in \text{RP}$ and $t_M(n) = O(n^c)$ for some $c > 0$.
 - Let M' be the following NTM:
 - 1. Given x of length n .
 - 2. Run $M(x)$ with independent coin-flips $m := n^d$ many times.
 - 3. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \{0, 1\}$ be the outputs.
 - 4. If all $a_i = 1$, then output 1, else output 0.
 - The running time is $O(mn^c)$, so $O(n^{c+d})$.
 - Analysis of probabilities:
 - If $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M'(x) \neq 1] \leq (1/2)^m \leq 1/2^{n^d}$, so $\Pr[M'(x) = 1] \geq 1 - 1/2^{n^d}$.
 - If $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M'(x) = 1] = 0$.
-
- Lemma: For all $L \in \text{BPP}$ and $d > 0$, there exist NTM M and $c \geq 0$ such that $t_M = O(n^c)$ and:
 - For all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ of length n :
 - 0) $\Pr[M(x) \in \{0, 1\}] = 1$.
 - 1) if $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 1 - 1/2^{n^d}$,
 - 2) if $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq 1/2^{n^d}$.
 - Proof:
 - Suppose M witnesses $L \in \text{BPP}$ and $t_M(n) = O(n^c)$ for some $c > 0$.
 - Let M' be the following NTM:

- 1. Given x of length n .
- 2. Run $M(x)$ with independent coin-flips $m := 8n^d + 1$ many times.
- 3. Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \{0, 1\}$ be the outputs.
- 4. If $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \geq m/2$, then output 1, else output 0.
- The running time is $O(mn^c)$, so $O(n^{c+d})$.
- Analysis of probabilities:
 - For $i \in [m]$, let $X_i =$ “indicator that a_i is wrong” (i.e., $X_i = 1$ if $a_i \neq \chi_L(x)$, and 0 otherwise).
 - Note $p := \Pr[X_i = 1]$ is independent of i , and $p \leq 1/4$ by definition.
 - Let $X := \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$ is a sum of independent Bernoulli's and $\mathbb{E}[X] = pm \leq m/4$.
 - Note X is the number of errors among a_1, \dots, a_m , so $\Pr[M'(x) \neq \chi_L(x)] = \Pr[X \geq m/2]$.
 - By independence and Hoeffding inequality we have $\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq t] \leq e^{-2t^2/m}$.
 - Now $\Pr[M'(x) \neq \chi_L(x)] \leq \Pr[X \geq m/2] \leq \Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \geq m/4] \leq e^{-2m/16} \leq 2^{-n^d}$.
- I.e.:
 - If $x \in L$, then $\Pr[M'(x) = 1] \geq 1 - 1/2^{n^d}$.
 - If $x \notin L$, then $\Pr[M'(x) = 1] \leq 1/2^{n^d}$.