

# Computational Complexity: Homework 2

Arnau Abella  
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

April 2, 2020

## 1 Self-reducibility

**Exercise: Self-reducibility** Show that if the decision version  $k$ -COL of the  $k$ -coloring problem ( $k$ -COL) can be solved in polynomial time, then its search version SEARCH- $k$ -COL can also be solved in polynomial time.

We are going to describe an algorithm that can solve SEARCH- $k$ -COL in polynomial time.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Naïve SEARCH- $k$ -COL Algorithm

---

**Input** : Given the graph  $G = \langle V, E \rangle$  and  $k$  colors.

**Output:** A solution  $a := a_1, \dots, a_{|V|}$  of SEARCH- $k$ -COL,  $a_i = \{1..k\}$   
or *UNSAT*.

```
for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $|V|$  do                                     //  $|V|$  times
  for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $|k|$  do                                 //  $|K|$  times
    // Each assignment is a copy of the vector  $a$ 
    // At most  $|v|^{|k|}$  copies
     $a := (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i = j)$ ;
  end
  if  $i = |V|$  then
    Test if  $x := (x_1 = a_1, \dots, x_n = a_{|V|})$  is a valid assignment using
       $k$ -COL; //  $p(n)$ -time
    If it is a valid assignment, then halt and return  $a$ ;
  end
end
return UNSAT;
```

---

The total cost of the algorithm 1 is  $\mathcal{O}(|k|^{|V|} \cdot n^c)$  where  $|k| \leq n$  and  $c > 0$ .

We found a polynomial time algorithm that solves SEARCH- $k$ -COL in polynomial time.

## 2 Zero-error

**Exercise: Zero-error** Show that if  $k$ -COL is in BPP, then it is also in ZPP. In other words, show that if there is a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm that decides whether a graph has a valid  $k$ -COL, and does so with bounded error, then there is also a probabilistic polynomial time algorithm that does that with zero error (in the sense of the definition of ZPP).

Since  $k$ -COL is NP-complete, we can generalize the problem to

$$NP \subseteq BPP \implies NP = ZPP$$

The basic inclusions are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} P &\subseteq ZPP \subseteq RP \subseteq NP \\ P &\subseteq ZPP \subseteq coRP \subseteq coNP \\ RP &\subseteq BPP \\ coRP &\subseteq BPP \end{aligned}$$

$P$  is contained in  $ZPP = RP \cap coRP$ , which is contained in  $BPP$ . Moreover,  $RP$  is contained in  $NP$  and  $coRP$  is contained in  $coNP$ . **But we don't know how  $NP$  and  $coNP$  relate to  $BPP$ .**

It follows from  $NP \subseteq BPP$ , that  $NP = RP$ . That means that  $coRP = coNP$  and  $ZPP = NP \cap coNP$ . So basically in this case, we have  $P$ , which contains  $NP$  and  $coNP$ , and those are both contained in  $BPP$ .

**Assuming  $NP = coNP$** , which is an *open problem*, we can show that  $k$ -COL is in  $ZPP$ .

### 3 Logarithmic space

**Exercise: Logarithmic space** Show that 2-COL is in P. Show that it is even in NL. [Since it is known that 3-COL is NP-complete, make sure that you are using something special about 2-COL in your proof, and state what.]

First, let's review what we know so far.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $E2\text{-SAT} \in NL$

*Proof.* Given a 2-COL  $F$ , we construct the implication graph  $G(F)$  of  $F$ :

- One vertex for every literal
- Two edge  $\neg l \rightarrow l'$ ,  $\neg l' \rightarrow l$  for every clause

Claim: If  $G(F)$  contains a cycle that contains a variable and it's negation, then  $F$  is *UNSAT*.

Claim: (contrapositive) If  $G(F)$  does not contains a cycle that contains a variable and it's negation, then  $F$  is *SAT*.  $\square$

Therefore, if we can give an algorithm to *reduce*  $k\text{-COL}$  to  $E2\text{-SAT}$  in polynomial time, we can use the theorem 3.1 to solve it in polynomial polynomial time.

The algorithm is the following:

- There will be one clause for each edge, and one literal for each vertex.
- Each clause will contain exactly two literals that represent the edge  $uv$ , and each literal will codify the color of the vertex  $i$  as there are only two possible colors.