

Combinatorial Problem Solving (CPS)

2016-17 Spring Term. Final Exam: 2 hours

Publication of grades: 20/06

Revision of exams: 23/06 (office 113, Ω building, under request by e-mail: erodri@cs.upc.edu)

1. (3 pts.) Let x, y be variables with finite domains $D_x, D_y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C_1(x, y)$ and $C_2(x, y)$ be two constraints.
 - (a) (1.5 pts.) If at least one of C_1, C_2 is arc-consistent, is it true that the constraint C_3 defined as $C_3(x, y) \equiv C_1(x, y) \vee C_2(x, y)$ is arc-consistent?
If the answer is positive, prove so. If the answer is negative, give a counterexample.
 - (b) (1.5 pts.) If C_1 and C_2 are both arc-consistent, is it true that the constraint C_4 defined as $C_4(x, y) \equiv C_1(x, y) \wedge C_2(x, y)$ is arc-consistent?
If the answer is positive, prove so. If the answer is negative, give a counterexample.
2. (3 pts.) Let P_0 be a (minimization) linear program over 0 – 1 variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with cost function $c^T x$. Consider the following simplification of the branch-and-bound procedure for finding the minimum cost of P_0 :

```
1  S := {P0}
2  UB := ∞
3  while (S ≠ ∅) {
4    P := choose_from(S);
5    S := S – {P}
6    β := basic_solution_of_optimal_basis_of(LP(P))
7    if (β ≠ ⊥ ∧ cTβ < UB) {
8      if (∀xi β(xi) ∈ {0, 1})
9        UB := cTβ
10   else {
11     xj := choose_from({ xi | 0 < β(xi) < 1 })
12     S := S ∪ {P ∧ xj = 0} ∪ {P ∧ xj = 1}
13   } }
14 return UB
```

where:

- function *choose_from*(·) returns an element of a given set;
- function *LP*(·) returns the linear programming relaxation of a given 0-1 linear program;
- function *basic_solution_of_optimal_basis_of* (·) calls the simplex algorithm on a given (real) linear program and returns the basic solution of an optimal basis (⊥ if infeasible or unbounded)

- (a) (0.5 pts.) Can the linear programming relaxation $LP(P)$ at line 6 be an unbounded linear program? Justify your answer.
- (b) (0.5 pts.) Does the branch-and-bound procedure terminate? Justify your answer.
- (c) (2 pts.) At any iteration of the loop, the value of variable UB is an *upper* bound on the minimum cost of P_0 . Explain the changes you would make to the above pseudo-code so that it also maintained a variable LB giving a *lower* bound on the minimum cost of P_0 .
Note: The grade will depend on how accurate the lower bounds are.

3. (4 pts.) Let us consider $n = p \times q$ Boolean variables

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x_{(0,0)} & x_{(0,1)} & \cdots & x_{(0,q-1)} \\ x_{(1,0)} & x_{(1,1)} & \cdots & x_{(1,q-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{(p-1,0)} & x_{(p-1,1)} & \cdots & x_{(p-1,q-1)} \end{array}$$

Let $X = \{x_{(i,j)} \mid 0 \leq i < p, 0 \leq j < q\}$. The *product encoding* of the At-Most-One constraint

$$\text{AMO}(X) \equiv \sum_{0 \leq i < p, 0 \leq j < q} x_{(i,j)} \leq 1$$

is defined as follows. Let us introduce auxiliary variables $R = \{r_0, \dots, r_{p-1}\}$ and $C = \{c_0, \dots, c_{q-1}\}$ and the following set of clauses:

$$\{ \neg x_{(i,j)} \vee r_i, \quad \neg x_{(i,j)} \vee c_j \mid 0 \leq i < p, 0 \leq j < q \}$$

together with the clauses resulting from encoding $\text{AMO}(R)$ and $\text{AMO}(C)$ (recursively, or with another encoding for AMO constraints).

- (a) (2 pts.) Show that, if the encodings used for $\text{AMO}(R)$ and $\text{AMO}(C)$ are consistent, then the product encoding is consistent.
- (b) (2 pts.) Show that, if the encodings used for $\text{AMO}(R)$ and $\text{AMO}(C)$ are arc-consistent, then the product encoding is arc-consistent.