

# ME598/494 Homework 1

1. Solve the following problem using **Python SciPy.optimize**. Please attach your code and results. Specify your initial guesses of the solution. If you change your initial guess, do you find different solutions? **(30 points)**

$$\text{minimize: } (x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 + x_3 - 2)^2 + (x_4 - 1)^2 + (x_5 - 1)^2$$

$$\text{subject to: } x_1 + 3x_2 = 0$$

$$x_3 + x_4 - 2x_5 = 0$$

$$x_2 - x_5 = 0$$

$$-10 \leq x_i \leq 10, i = 1, \dots, 5$$

**Note:** Please learn how to use **break points** to debug. You can use Python on **Google Colab**.

Ans Project Link:

[https://github.com/monarkparekh/MAE-598\\_Design-Optimization.git](https://github.com/monarkparekh/MAE-598_Design-Optimization.git)

→ I have also attached the PDF of the project below

# MAE 598 Design Optimization: Assignment 1, Question 1

Name: Monark Parekh  
ASU ID: 1222179426

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize: } & (x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 + x_3 - 2)^2 + (x_4 - 1)^2 + (x_5 - 1)^2 \\ \text{subject to: } & x_1 + 3x_2 = 0 \\ & x_3 + x_4 - 2x_5 = 0 \\ & x_2 - x_5 = 0 \\ & -10 \leq x_i \leq 10, \quad i = 1, \dots, 5 \end{aligned}$$

```
In [ ]: # Importing Libraries
from scipy.optimize import minimize
import random
```

Defining the Function

```
In [ ]: function = lambda x: (x[0] - x[1]) ** 2 + (x[1] + x[2] - 2) ** 2 + (x[3] - 1) ** 2 +
```

Defining the Constraints

```
In [ ]: constraints = ({'type': 'eq', 'fun': lambda x: x[0] + 3 * x[1]},
            {'type': 'eq', 'fun': lambda x: x[2] + x[3] - 2 * x[4]},
            {'type': 'eq', 'fun': lambda x: x[1] - x[4]})
```

Defining the Boundary Conditions

```
In [ ]: bounds = ((-10, 10), (-10, 10), (-10, 10), (-10, 10), (-10, 10))
```

Defining the Initial Guess

```
In [ ]: init_guess_1 = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
```

Method to determine the minimum value based on the constraints, boundary conditions and the initial guess

```
In [ ]: result = minimize(function, init_guess_1, method='SLSQP', bounds=bounds, constraints
```

Results

```
In [ ]: print(f"For the initial guess of {init_guess_1} the minimum values are {result.x}")
```

```
For the initial guess of (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) the minimum values are [-0.76743912  0.2558  
1304  0.62791188 -0.1162858  0.25581304]
```

Evaluating the result for different initial guesses

```
In [ ]: random_init_guess = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] # Temporary Defination  
result = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] # Temporary Defination  
for i in range(0,10):  
    random_init_guess[i] = random.sample(range(-10, 10), 5)  
    result[i] = minimize(function, random_init_guess[i], method='SLSQP', bounds=boun  
    print(f"For the initial guess of {random_init_guess[i]}\t the minimum values are
```

```
For the initial guess of [-3, 3, -7, 5, 9]          the minimum values are [-0.76744186  
0.25581395 0.62790698 -0.11627907 0.25581395]  
For the initial guess of [2, 8, 7, -10, -2]         the minimum values are [-0.76744186  
0.25581395 0.62790697 -0.11627906 0.25581395]  
For the initial guess of [1, 0, -8, -2, 6]           the minimum values are [-0.76771936  
0.25590645 0.62769626 -0.11588336 0.25590645]  
For the initial guess of [-6, 6, -10, 3, 1]          the minimum values are [-0.7674424  
0.25581413 0.62789394 -0.11626568 0.25581413]  
For the initial guess of [4, -5, 6, 7, 0]            the minimum values are [-0.76744185  
0.25581395 0.62790697 -0.11627907 0.25581395]  
For the initial guess of [-10, 8, 1, -4, 7]          the minimum values are [-0.76744429  
0.25581476 0.62790968 -0.11628016 0.25581476]  
For the initial guess of [7, 1, 2, -10, 6]            the minimum values are [-0.76744185  
0.25581395 0.62790697 -0.11627906 0.25581395]  
For the initial guess of [-1, 2, 5, 0, 1]            the minimum values are [-0.76746246  
0.25582082 0.62792047 -0.11627883 0.25582082]  
For the initial guess of [-4, -5, 0, -10, 3]          the minimum values are [-0.76744187  
0.25581396 0.62790697 -0.11627906 0.25581396]  
For the initial guess of [0, -2, 5, 8, 6]            the minimum values are [-0.76744186  
0.25581395 0.62790698 -0.11627907 0.25581395]
```

Determining the overall impact of initial guess

```
In [ ]: mean = [0,0,0,0,0] # Temporary Defination  
for i in range(0,5):  
    for j in range(0,10):  
        mean[i] = mean[i] + result[j].x[i]  
    mean[i] = mean[i]/10  
  
standard_deviation = [0,0,0,0,0] # Temporary Defination  
for i in range(0,5):  
    for j in range(0,10):  
        standard_deviation[i] = standard_deviation[i] + (result[j].x[i] - mean[i])**2  
    standard_deviation[i] = (standard_deviation[i]/10)**(1/2)  
  
for i in range(0,5):  
    print(f'The Mean value of x{i+1} is {mean[i]}, whereas the Standard Deviation is {
```

```
The Mean value of x1 is -0.767471966559278, whereas the Standard Deviation is 8.2687  
2836963747e-05  
The Mean value of x2 is 0.2558239888530927, whereas the Standard Deviation is 2.7562  
42789879397e-05  
The Mean value of x3 is 0.6278862199165085, whereas the Standard Deviation is 6.3601  
85309680369e-05  
The Mean value of x4 is -0.1162382422103232, whereas the Standard Deviation is 0.000  
11836440374652052  
The Mean value of x5 is 0.2558239888530927, whereas the Standard Deviation is 2.7562  
42789879397e-05
```

## **Effect of different Initial Guess**

As observed from the results above, the Standard Deviation of the minimum values obtained using 10 random initial guesses is in the range of  $10^{-5}$ . With such a small standard deviation we can conclude that the effect of initial guess is very small on the results, hence the error produced by an initial guess is negligible.

2. Let  $x$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be vectors and  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a square matrix. Define  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as  $f(x) = b^T x + x^T A x$ . (50 points)

- (a) What is the gradient and Hessian of  $f(x)$  with respect to  $x$ ?
- (b) Derive the first and second order Taylor's approximations of  $f(x)$  at  $x = 0$ . Are these approximations exact?
- (c) What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $A$  to be positive definite?
- (d) What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $A$  to have full rank?
- (e) If there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y \neq 0$  such that  $A^T y = 0$ , then what are the conditions on  $b$  for  $Ax = b$  to have a solution for  $x$ ?

Ans (a) We know that, by using the definition of gradient:

$$\nabla f(x) = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x}$$

$$\nabla f(x) = \frac{\partial (b^T x + x^T A x)}{\partial x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad \frac{\partial (b^T x)}{\partial x} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial b^T x}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial b^T x}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial b^T x}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + \dots + b_n x_n) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} (b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + \dots + b_n x_n) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial b^T x}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} = b \quad \text{--- } ①$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Simplifying, } x^T A x = [x_1 \dots x_n] \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= [(a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{n1}x_1) \dots (a_{1n}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n)] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} x_i \dots \dots \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i \right] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} x_i \dots \dots x_n \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i$$

$$\therefore x^T A x = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_{ij} x_j \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

→ Calculating partial derivative of equation (2)

$$\bullet (x^T A x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} (x_i a_{ij} x_j))$$

→ Let us take into consideration the  $k^{th}$  row and do partial derivative

$$\therefore \frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} (x_i a_{ij} x_j))$$

$$= 2 a_{kk} x_k + \sum_{j \neq k} x_j a_{jk} + \sum_{j \neq k} a_{kj} x_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_{jk} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} x_j$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_{j1} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_{jn} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} x_j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= A^T x + A x$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial(x^T A x)}{\partial x} = (A^T + A)x \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

→ Using equations (1) and (3), the gradient of  $f(x)$  is:

$$\Rightarrow \nabla f(x) = b + (A^T + A)x$$

→ Here, if  $A$  is symmetric matrix, hence  $A^T = A$ ; then  
 $(A^T + A) = (A + A) = 2A$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla f(x) = b + 2Ax \quad (A \text{ is symmetric})$$

→ We know that the Hessian matrix is defined as:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x \partial x^T}$$

We know that,  $\nabla f(x) = b_k + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j a_{jk} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} x_j$

∴ Second partial derivative for the  $k^{th}$  row is:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = 0 + a_{kk} + a_{kk}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + a_{11} & a_{21} + a_{12} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1n} + a_{n1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} + a_{n1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{nn} + a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A + A^T\end{aligned}$$

→ Hessian Matrix of  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is :

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = A + A^T$$

→ Here, if  $A$  is symmetric matrix, hence  $A^T = A$ ; then  
 $(A^T + A) = (A + A) = 2A$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = 2A \quad (A \text{ is Symmetric})$$

(b)

And Using the definition of first order Taylor approximation :

→ 1<sup>st</sup> Order Taylor Approximation of  $f(\mathbf{x})$ :

- $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_{\mathbf{x}_0} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$

$$\therefore f(x) \approx f(x_0) + \nabla f \Big|_{x_0}^T (x - x_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \left( b^T x_0 + x_0^T A x_0 \right) + \left( b + (A^T + A)x_0 \right)^T (x - x_0) \\ &= \left( b^T x_0 + x_0^T A x_0 \right) + (x_0^T A + x_0^T A^T + b^T)(x - x_0) \\ &= \left( b^T x_0 + x_0^T A x_0 \right) + \left( x_0^T A x - x_0^T A x_0 + x_0^T A^T x - x_0^T A^T x_0 + b^T x - b^T x_0 \right) \\ &= x_0^T A x + x_0^T A^T x - x_0^T A^T x_0 + b^T x \end{aligned}$$

For  $x_0 = 0$

→ 1<sup>st</sup> Order Taylor Approximation of  $f(x)$ :

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = b^T x$$

Using the definition of second order Taylor approximation:

→ 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Taylor Approximation of  $f(x)$ :

- $f(x) \approx f(x_0) + \nabla f \Big|_{x_0}^T (x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x_0)^T H \Big|_{x_0} (x - x_0)$

$$f(x) = (0 + b^T x) + \frac{1}{2} x^T (A + A^T) x \quad \boxed{\text{For } x_0 = 0}$$

→ 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Taylor Approximation of  $f(x)$ :

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = b^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T (A + A^T) x$$

- If  $A$  is symmetric matrix:

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = b^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T (2A) x = b^T x + x^T A x$$

$\Rightarrow$  1<sup>st</sup> order Approximation is not exact because the function is quadratic. Thus, the 2<sup>nd</sup> order Taylor approximation gives us the exact value.

(c)

Ans Since,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the necessary and sufficient condition for A to be positive definite is

$\rightarrow$  All the eigen values of A must be positive, i.e  $\lambda > 0$

$\rightarrow$   $x^T A x > 0$  for all  $x$ , other than zero vector

$\rightarrow$  All upper left determinants must be greater than 0

(d)

Ans The necessary and sufficient condition for A to have full rank is :

$\rightarrow$  The  $|A| \neq 0$  {Determinant of A  $\neq 0$ }

$\Rightarrow$  All rows are linearly independent

(e)

Ans Given,  $A^T y = \underbrace{0}_1 \Rightarrow y$  belongs to the Null Space of  $A^T$

$\therefore y \in N(A^T)$

It is also given that,  $Ax = b$

$\therefore (Ax)^T = b^T$

Multiplying by  $y$  on both sides

$$(Ax)^T y = b^T y$$

$$\therefore b^T y = (Ax)^T y = (x^T A^T)y$$

$$b^T y = x^T (A^T y)$$

Using ① we know that  $A^T y = 0$

$$\therefore b^T y = 0$$

Or  $y$  is perpendicular to  $b$

& since  $y \in N(A^T)$ , we can conclude that for  
 $Ax = b$  to have a solution for  $x$

$\Rightarrow b \in C(A)$  [  $b$  must belong to column space of  $A$  ]

3. Due to the recent inflation, let's reconsider the **Stigler diet** problem proposed by Nobel laureate George Stigler after the second World War: Consider that the grocery store offers  $N$  types of food of your interest, and each food contains the same  $M$  types of nutrition. Denote  $a_{ij}$  as the quantity of nutrition type  $j$  of food type  $i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ,  $c_i$  the unit price of food type  $i$ , and  $b_j$  the necessary

quantity of nutrition type  $j$  for a month. Formulate an optimization problem to determine the minimum grocery cost to satisfy the nutrition needs. **(20 points)**

Ans Problem Definition :

→ Food Types =  $N$

→ Each Food Contains =  $M$  types of nutrition

→  $a_{ij}$  → Quantity of Nutrition type  $j$  of food type  $i$

→  $c_i$  → Unit price of food type  $i$

→  $b_j$  → Necessary quantity of nutrition type  $j$  for one month

→ Determine minimum grocery cost to satisfy nutrition needs

Let;

→  $\underline{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]^T$  → Quantity of each food item that is bought for a month

→ Total Grocery Price =  $\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} (c_i x_i)$  for the month

→ Constraints :  $\left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i a_{ij}) - b_j \right] \geq 0 \right\} \forall j = 1 \dots M$   
 and  $x_i \geq 0$

- $x_1 a_{11} + x_2 a_{21} + x_3 a_{31} + \dots + x_N a_{N1} \geq b_1$
- $x_1 a_{12} + x_2 a_{22} + x_3 a_{32} + \dots + x_N a_{N2} \geq b_2$
- $x_1 a_{13} + x_2 a_{23} + x_3 a_{33} + \dots + x_N a_{N3} \geq b_3$
- $x_1 a_{1M} + x_2 a_{2M} + x_3 a_{3M} + \dots + x_N a_{NM} \geq b_M$

$$\therefore \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} & \cdots & a_{N1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{32} & \cdots & a_{N2} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{N3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1M} & a_{2M} & a_{3M} & \cdots & a_{NM} \end{bmatrix}_{M \times N} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}_{N \times 1} - \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ b_M \end{bmatrix}_{M \times 1} \geq 0$$

Optimization Problem:

$$\min_{\{x_i\}} \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} (c_i x_i)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i a_{ij}) - b_j \right] \geq 0 \right\} \quad \forall j = 1 \dots M, \quad x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1 \dots N$$

OR

$$\min_{\{x_i\}} \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} (c_i x_i)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} & \cdots & a_{N1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{32} & \cdots & a_{N2} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{N3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1M} & a_{2M} & a_{3M} & \cdots & a_{NM} \end{bmatrix}_{M \times N} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}_{N \times 1} - \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \\ b_M \end{bmatrix}_{M \times 1} \geq 0$$

$$x_i \geq 0, \quad \forall i = 1 \dots N$$