

§ 0.1 Canonical Transformations

Given a physical system that solves Hamilton's canonical equation, since the canonical variables (p_μ, q^ν) don't hold any intrinsic meaning, it's possible to find a new canonical coordinate set (P_μ, Q^ν) that represent the same state (we take t as a parameter during the coordinate transformation).

By definition, we must have a diffeomorphism between these two coordinate systems, therefore

$$\det \left| \frac{\partial(P_\mu, Q^\nu)}{\partial(p_\mu, q^\nu)} \right| \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

Where the reversibility condition must be satisfied. Note that in general, the system in the new coordinates (P_μ, Q^ν) is not Hamiltonian.

Definition 0.1.1 (Canonical Transformation). A canonical transformation is a coordinate transformation such that

$$\mathcal{H}(p_\mu, q^\nu, t) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(P_\mu, Q^\nu, t) \quad (2)$$

Where

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial P_\mu} = \dot{Q}^\mu \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial Q^\mu} = -\dot{P}_\mu \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In general $\mathcal{H} \neq \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, but if $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ the transformation is said to be «fully canonical»

§§ 0.1.1 Generating Functions of Canonical Transformation

Theorem 0.1 (Lie Condition). *Given an invertible transformation $(p_\mu, q^\nu) \rightarrow (P_\mu, Q^\nu)$, the transformation is canonical if and only if, given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $F, \psi : \Gamma^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then it also maps $p_\mu dq^\mu$ as follows*

$$p_\mu dq^\mu \rightarrow \lambda P_\mu dQ^\mu + \psi(P_\mu, Q^\nu, t)dt + dF \quad (4)$$

Or, in other words, it's necessary to verify that the following differential form is exact

$$p_\mu dq^\mu - \lambda P_\mu dQ^\mu = \psi dt + dF \quad (5)$$

Using Lie's condition, it's possible to define 4 different generating functions of canonical transformations, F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 , tied between themselves via Legendre transforms.

1. Generator of the 1st kind $F_1(q^\mu, Q^\nu, t)$. Lie's conditions becomes

$$p_\mu dq^\mu - P_\mu dQ^\mu = \psi dt + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu} dq^\mu + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu} dQ^\mu + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} dt \quad (6)$$

In order to satisfy the theorem, it must hold that

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu} = p_\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu} = -P_\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} = -\psi \quad (7)$$

2. Generator of the 2nd kind $F_2(q^\mu, P_\nu, t)$

$$p_\mu dq^\mu + Q^\mu dP_\mu = \psi dt + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^\mu} dq^\mu + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_\mu} dP_\mu + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t} dt \quad (8)$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^\mu} = p_\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_\mu} = Q^\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t} = -\psi \quad (9)$$

3. Generator of the 3rd kind $F_3(p_\mu, Q^\nu, t)$

$$q^\mu dp_\mu + P_\mu dQ^\mu = -\psi dt - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial p_\mu} dp_\mu - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q^\mu} dQ^\mu - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial t} dt \quad (10)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial p_\mu} = -q^\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q^\mu} = -P_\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial t} = -\psi \quad (11)$$

4. Generator of the 4th kind $F_4(p_\mu, P_\nu, t)$

$$q^\mu dp_\mu - Q^\mu dP_\mu = -\psi dt - \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial p_\mu} dp_\mu - \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial P_\mu} dP_\mu - \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial t} dt \quad (12)$$

Which means

$$\frac{\partial F_4}{\partial p_\mu} = -q^\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial P_\mu} = -Q^\mu, \quad \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial t} = -\psi \quad (13)$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} - \psi$, it's obvious that if the generator function is stationary $\partial_t F_i = 0$, then the transformation is fully canonical.

A better way to see the previous list is as a series of Legendre transforms from (p_μ, q^ν) till (P_μ, Q^μ) . In fact, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= F_1 + P_\mu Q^\mu \\ F_3 &= F_1 - p_\mu q^\mu \\ F_4 &= F_1 + P_\mu Q^\mu - p_\mu q^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

§ 0.2 Poisson Brackets and Liouville's Theorem

Definition 0.2.1 (Poisson Brackets). The space Γ^{2n} comes equipped with a bilinear transformation called the «Poisson brackets».

Consider a function $f : \Gamma^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then its total derivative with respect to time will be

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} \dot{p}_\mu + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} \dot{q}^\mu \quad (15)$$

Substituting Hamilton's equations we have

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_\mu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_\mu} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \{\mathcal{H}, f\} \quad (16)$$

Where we defined the poisson brackets as

$$\{\mathcal{H}, f\} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} \quad (17)$$

This operator is obviously bilinear and antisymmetric, in fact

$$\{f, \mathcal{H}\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_\mu} = - \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_\mu} \right) = -\{\mathcal{H}, f\}$$

Through this quick definition of this operator, one can immediately say, that if f is an integral of motion, one must have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \{\mathcal{H}, f\} = 0 \quad (18)$$

This operator can be directly generalized to two functions $g, h : \Gamma^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\{g, h\} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial h}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_\mu} \quad (19)$$

Note that applying this operator to the canonical coordinates we obtain the two main properties of such

$$\begin{cases} \{q^\mu, q^\nu\} = \{p_\mu, p_\nu\} = 0 \\ \{p_\mu, q^\nu\} = \delta_\mu^\nu \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

It's also possible to derive the following identity through iteration, called the Jacobi identity

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0 \quad (21)$$

Applying canonical transformations to the definition of Poisson brackets it's possible to find more direct approaches for determining whether a transformation is canonical or not, using the following theorems

Theorem 0.2 (Invariance of Poisson Brackets). *Given two stationary functions $f, g : \Gamma^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a canonical transformation $(p_\mu, q^\nu) \rightarrow (P_\mu, Q^\nu)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(P_\mu, Q^\nu) &= f(p_\mu(P, Q), q^\mu(P, Q)) \\ \tilde{g}(P_\mu, Q^\nu) &= g(p_\mu(P, Q), q^\mu(P, Q)) \end{aligned}$$

Then, if we define $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{PQ}$ as the Poisson brackets in the new coordinate system, then

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}_{PQ} = \{f, g\} \quad (22)$$

I.e. Poisson brackets are invariant to canonical transformations.

Proof. Supposing that g is the Hamiltonian of some system, we can write

$$\{f, g\} = \frac{df}{dt}$$

This implies that \tilde{g} is the transformed Hamiltonian, therefore

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}_{PQ} = \frac{d\tilde{f}}{dt}$$

Since canonical transformation preserve Hamilton's equations we must have

$$\frac{d\tilde{f}}{dt} = \frac{df}{dt}$$

Which implies the statement of the theorem

$$\{\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}\}_{PQ} = \{f, g\}$$

This also proves that

$$\{Q^\mu, Q^\nu\}_{PQ} = \{P_\mu, P_\nu\}_{PQ} = 0$$

And

$$\{P_\nu, Q^\mu\}_{PQ} = \delta_\nu^\mu$$

□

Theorem 0.3 (Lie Condition on Poisson Brackets). *Given a transformation $(p_\mu, q^\nu) \rightarrow (P_\mu, Q^\nu)$, it is canonical if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \{Q^\mu, Q^\nu\} = \{P_\mu, P_\nu\} = 0 \\ \{P_\nu, Q^\mu\} = \delta_\nu^\mu \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Another theorem that can be inferred is the so-called Liouville theorem, which states that an infinitesimal volume in the phase space is invariant to canonical transformations

Theorem 0.4 (Liouville). *Given an infinitesimal volume in Γ^{2n} , $d\Gamma = d^n p d^n q$, then applying a canonical transformation we must have*

$$d\tilde{\Gamma} = d^n P d^n Q = d^n p d^n q = d\Gamma$$

Where if J is the determinant of the Jacobian, we must have $J = 1$

Proof. In order for the theorem to be demonstrated we must prove that

$$\int d\tilde{\Gamma} = \int J d\Gamma, \quad J = 1$$

By definition, we can write the determinant of the Jacobian as follows

$$J = \det \left| \frac{\partial(P_\mu, Q^\nu)}{\partial(p_\mu, q^\nu)} \right|$$

From here we write two intermediate canonical transformations and write the new Jacobian matrix as the product of the two matrices of the intermediate transformations.

We have, choosing the transformations $(p_\mu, q^\nu) \rightarrow (P_\mu, q^\nu) \rightarrow (P_\mu, Q^\nu)$, that our Jacobian can be written as follows

$$J = \det \left| \frac{\partial(P_\mu, Q^\nu)}{\partial(P_\mu, q^\nu)} \frac{\partial(P_\mu, q^\nu)}{\partial(p_\mu, q^\mu)} \right|$$

Simplifying the equal rows we have that

$$J = \det \left| \frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial q^\nu} \frac{\partial P_\nu}{\partial p_\mu} \right|$$

Imposing that the transformation is canonical we must have that it comes from a F_2 generating function, so that, using (9)

$$\frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial q^\nu} = \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial q^\mu \partial P_\nu}, \quad \frac{\partial p_\mu}{\partial P_\nu} = \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial P_\nu \partial q^\mu}$$

Imposing that the determinant of the Hessian of the generating function is some number d , we have

$$J = d/d = 1$$

Therefore

$$\int d\tilde{\Gamma} = \int J d\Gamma = \int d\Gamma$$

□

§§ 0.2.1 Poincaré Recurrence

This theorem gives rise to a paradox known as *Poincaré's recurrence theorem*. Basically this theorem states, against common sense, that an autonomous (time-independent) Hamiltonian system with some initial conditions (p_μ^0, q_0^ν) will evolve till returning to the initial conditions at some finite time t . Technically we have

Theorem 0.5 (Poincaré Recurrence Theorem). *Given an autonomous Hamiltonian system confined in a subset $\Lambda \subset \Gamma^{2n}$ with some initial condition $x_0^\mu \in \Lambda$, if we evolve $x_0^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu(t)$ then*

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R} \exists t^* > \tau : \forall \epsilon > 0 B_\epsilon(x^\mu(t^*)) \cap B_\epsilon(x_0^\mu) \neq \{\}$$

i.e.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 x(t^*) \in B_\epsilon(x_0)$$

Where $B_\epsilon(x^\mu)$ is the open ball centered in x^μ with radius ϵ

Proof. Begin by defining a sequence of times t_n such that $x^\mu(t_n) = x_n^\mu$, then

$$\exists n_1 \neq n_2 \in \mathbb{N} : B_\epsilon(x_{n_1}^\mu) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{n_2}^\mu) = \{\}$$

Defining a measure μ on the phase space we must have, that after n iterations, the total path measure will be

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu))$$

Considering time as a completely canonical transformation, we have for Liouville's theorem that

$$\forall i \neq j = 1, \dots, n \quad \mu(B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu)) = \mu(B_\epsilon(x_j^\mu))$$

Which implies that for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu)) \rightarrow \infty$$

This cannot be true, since by hypothesis we have that the motion of the system is confined in a set $\Lambda \subset \Gamma^{2n}$, therefore

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu) \subseteq \Lambda$$

In terms of measures this means

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_\epsilon(x_i^\mu)) \leq \mu(\Lambda) < \infty$$

Which implies that there must exist some set such that the intersection is not null, therefore there must exist, for some $n_1, n_2, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mu(B_\epsilon(x_{n_1}^\mu) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{n_2}^\mu)) = \mu(B_\epsilon(x_{n_1-k}^\mu) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{n_2-k}^\mu)) \neq 0$$

Choosing $k = \min\{n_1, n_2\} = n_2$, where we supposed $n_2 < n_1$ we have

$$\mu(B_\epsilon(x_{n_1}^\mu) \cap B_\epsilon(x_{n_2}^\mu)) = \mu(B_\epsilon(x_{n_1-n_2}^\mu) \cap B_\epsilon(x_0^\mu)) \neq \{\}$$

Since $x_{n_1-n_2}^\mu = x^\mu(t_{n_1-n_2})$ and choosing $t^* = t_{n_1-n_2}$ we have that for $t = t^*$ the system will find itself in a ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ from the initial value x_0^μ

$$B_\epsilon(x^\mu(t^*)) \cap B_\epsilon(x_0^\mu) \neq \{\}$$

□

§ 0.3 Hamilton-Jacobi Method

A really good use for the canonical transformation is to find a quick and trivial solution to Hamilton-Jacobi's equation.

The differential equation we intend to solve is the following

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial t} + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial q^\mu}, q^\mu, t\right) = 0 \quad (24)$$

The complete solution of this equation can be inferred to be a function of the coordinates and $n + 1$ parameters corresponding to the independent variables of the system, including time. Therefore we might write

$$\mathcal{S}(q^\mu, t) = f(q^\mu, t; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) + A \quad (25)$$

Where $t, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, A \in \mathbb{R}$ are our parameters.

We can choose now a canonical transformation to a new set of variables (α_μ, β^μ) that give the following relations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\mu} = p_\mu, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_\mu} = \beta^\mu, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \quad (26)$$

Note that for our hypothesis f is a complete solution of Hamilton-Jacobi, therefore the last relation gives

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

Basically, with this canonical transformation, we mapped our Hamiltonian to a null Hamiltonian, for which the equations of motion are trivial, giving in the new variables $\alpha_\mu, \beta^\mu = \text{constant}$.

Using the definition of β^μ via the transformation, and using the reversibility of such, we can determine the q^μ and the analytical form of our complete solutions.