

# Big Mart Sales Prediction Challenge

## 1. Executive Summary

The project goal was to build a robust regression model for **Item\_Outlet\_Sales**. The solution evolved from a simple baseline to a high-performance ensemble by addressing data quality through advanced model-based imputation (Random Forest), rigorous feature engineering, and a segmented modeling strategy. The final submission utilizes a weighted ensemble of XGBoost, LightGBM, and CatBoost, complemented by a segmented approach that models Grocery Stores and Supermarkets as distinct populations to capture their unique sales dynamics.

## 2. Advanced Data Preprocessing

Initial EDA on the 8,523 training and 5,681 test rows revealed significant missing values in **Outlet\_Size** (~28%) and **Item\_Weight** (~17%). Standard mean/mode imputation was rejected to prevent data distortion.

### A. Model-Based Imputation Strategy

Instead of simple fills, I used machine learning to predict missing values based on intrinsic feature relationships:

- **Outlet\_Size** (Multi-Class Classification):
  - **Logic:** Store size is physically constrained by its location and type.
  - **Method:** Trained a **Random Forest Classifier** using **Outlet\_Type** and **Outlet\_Location\_Type** as predictors.
  - **Result:** The model accurately predicted missing sizes (e.g., inferring "Small" for Tier 2 Supermarkets) consistent with observed patterns.
- **Item\_Weight** (Hybrid Approach):
  - **Stage 1 - Deterministic Lookup:** Created a mapping of **Item\_Identifier** to **Item\_Weight** from the training data. If an item appeared in another store with a known weight, that value was propagated.
  - **Stage 2 - Regression Prediction:** For remaining missing values (new/rare items), I exploited the high correlation between **Item\_MRP** and weight in specific categories (e.g., Baking Goods). A **Random Forest Regressor** was trained to predict weight based on price, preserving the natural price-weight relationship better than a global mean.

### B. Data Cleaning

- **Standardization:** Consolidated inconsistent labels in **Item\_Fat\_Content** (LF, low fat to Low Fat).
- **Logical Correction:** Created a **Non-Edible** category for "Non-Consumable" items (e.g., household goods) to remove logical inconsistencies where they had fat content.

### 3. Feature Engineering

Feature extraction focused on capturing store maturity and broad product categories:

- **Outlet\_Years:** Transformed `Establishment_Year` into an age feature (`2013 - Year`) to reflect customer base maturity.
- **Item\_Category:** Parsed `Item_Identifier` to create three broad buckets: **Food**, **Drinks**, and **Non-Consumables**.
- **Encoding:** Applied One-Hot Encoding to categorical variables. Crucially, `Outlet_Identifier` was retained to allow the model to learn the intrinsic baseline performance of specific high-volume stores (e.g., `OUT027`).

### 4. Modeling Strategy & Evolution

#### Phase 1: Baseline Gradient Boosting (XGBoost)

- **Validation:** Established a **5-Fold Cross-Validation** framework to ensure stability, achieving a baseline RMSE of ~1081.
- **Tuning:** Utilized `RandomizedSearchCV` to optimize `n_estimators`, `max_depth`, and `learning_rate`. A lower learning rate (0.05) with moderate depth (4-5) yielded the best generalization.

#### Phase 2: Multi-Model Ensemble

To reduce variance and overfitting, I introduced diverse gradient boosting implementations:

- **Models:** Added **LightGBM** (for leaf-wise growth speed) and **CatBoost** (for superior categorical handling).
- **Strategy:** Combined predictions using a weighted average (**40% XGBoost, 30% LightGBM, 30% CatBoost**).

### 5. Conclusion

The experimentation process highlighted that **Feature Engineering** (specifically handling `Item_Fat_Content` and `Outlet_Size`) and **Segmentation** were the biggest drivers of performance. While the Ensemble model provided robustness, the Segmentation strategy offered the best handling of outliers (e.g., Grocery Stores).

**Final Recommendation:** For the leaderboard submission, the **Weighted Ensemble** provides the safest, most robust score, while the **Segmented XGBoost** approach serves as a high-potential experimental submission to capture specific store nuances.