CompTIA Security + 4.0 Identity and Access Management

Filename: comptia-secplussy0501-4-3-identity_and_access_management_controls
Title: Identity and Access Management Controls
Subtitle: CompTIA Security+ (SY0-501)

4.3 Identity and Access Management Controls

- 4.3 Given a scenario, implement identity and access management controls.
  - Access control models
    - MAC
      - Based classifications assigned to users and objects
      - Both classifications have to match for access to be granted
    - DAC
      - Users are given access based on their identity.
      - Identities are granted different levels of abilities on and object
    - Role-based access control
      - Logical grouping of identities with similiar affliations
      - Access is granted or denied based on the role each group has within an organization
    - ABAC
      - Based on a single or combination of attributes
      - Compared to RBAC which allows access to the Managers role, ABAC could combine additional attributes such as Managers group, east coast region, from their primary computer, with employee ID XYZ.
    - Rule-based access control
      - Access to a resources is based on predetermined and defined rules
      - Access to a Sales team, resource during business hours
      - While the Sale group is a role but the "during business hours is the rule
  - Physical access control
    - Proximity cards
    - Smart cards
      - RFID, proximity
      - Smartcard-access
        - http://bit.ly/2p8aH8S
  - Certificate-based authentication
    - PIV/CAC/smart card
      -
    - IEEE 802.1x
  - Biometric factors
    - Fingerprint scanner
    - Retinal scanner
    - Iris scanner
    - Voice recognition
    - Facial recognition
    - False rejection rate
      - Also known as FRR is the likelihood that a biometric authentication system will not ID an authorized person correctly and deny access
      - Called a Type I error
      - Scenario (mighted be increased)
        - Increased the confidence/sensitivity in the system
        - Increases the security
        - Lowers unauthorized access (FAR) events
        - Lowers convienence
    - False acceptance rate
      - Also known as FAR is the likelihood that a biometric authentication system will incorrectly ID an unauthorized person and allow access
      - Type II error
      - Worst between FAR and FRR
      - Scenario(how it can increase)
        - Lowering confidence/sensitivity
        - Lowers the false rejection instances(complaining users about being locked out)
        - Increases the likelihood of unauthorized access
    - Crossover error rate
      - The point a which the FAR and FRR are equal
      - Lower CER is desired
      - Lower the CER, the more accurate
    - FAR and FRR likelihood might be more or less for given scenarios
  - Tokens
    - Hardware
      - YubiKey - https://www.yubico.com/start/
    - Software

        - Google authenticator - http://bit.ly/2pkiFdL
    - HOTP/TOTP(Both governed by OATH)
        - Intiative for Open Authentication(OATH)
        - HOTP- Hashed OTP - HMAC-OTP
            - HOTP can have a long lifecycle
            - Allowing for attackers time to compromise the key
        - TOTP- Timed OTP - Time-stamped OTP
            - Generated a lot like the HOTP
            - Short lifecycle (time-based)
            - Less time to compromise
- File system security
    - Windows
        - NTFS, ReFS
    - Linux
        - Ext 3,4
    - MacOS
        - Mac OS Extended(Journaled), HFS+,
    - Permission Types
        - Unix/Linux
            - R,W,X
        - Windows
            - Read, Write, Read&Execute, Modify, Full Control
- Database security
    - Seperate the database from the web application servers
    - Input check validation
    - Data encryption
    - Data Normalization
        - Reducing duplication (integrity)
        - Converting data into expect/authorized values
        - Redundancy and backups