

Math 110 HW12

Neo Lee

11/25/2023

Problem 1.

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove

- (a) T is injective if and only if T^* is surjective;
- (b) T^* is injective if and only if T is surjective.

Proof.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{null } T = \{0\} &\iff (\text{range } T^*)^\perp = \{0\} \\ &\iff \text{range } T^* = V.\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{null } T^* = \{0\} &\iff (\text{range } T)^\perp = \{0\} \\ &\iff \text{range } T = W.\end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 2.

Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are self-adjoint. Prove that ST is self-adjoint if and only if $ST = TS$.

Proof. We will prove both direction in one go. Let $v, w \in V$,

$$ST = TS \iff \overline{\langle w, STv \rangle} = \overline{\langle w, TSv \rangle} \quad (1)$$

$$\iff \langle STv, w \rangle = \langle TSv, w \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$\iff \langle v, (ST)^*w \rangle = \langle Sv, T^*w \rangle \quad (3)$$

$$\iff \langle v, (ST)^*w \rangle = \langle v, S^*T^*w \rangle \quad (4)$$

$$\iff \langle v, (ST)^*w \rangle = \langle v, STw \rangle \quad (5)$$

$$\iff (ST)^* = ST. \quad (6)$$

(1) is by the uniqueness of complex conjugate and the Riesz representation theorem. (6) is by the uniqueness of the Riesz representation theorem. \square

Problem 3.

Let $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be such that $P^2 = P$. Prove that there is a subspace U of V such that $P_U = P$ if and only if P is self-adjoint.

Proof. Forward direction: Let $W = \text{null } P$, then $U \oplus W = V$ because P is an orthogonal projection.

Now, let $x, y \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, P^*y \rangle &= \langle Px, y \rangle = \langle P(x_u + x_w), y_u + y_w \rangle \\ &= \langle x_w, y_u + y_w \rangle \\ &= \langle x_u, y_u \rangle + \langle x_u, y_w \rangle \\ &= \langle x_u, y_u \rangle \\ &= \langle x_u + x_w, y_u \rangle \\ &= \langle x, Py \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the uniqueness of the Riesz representation theorem, $P^* = P$.

Backward direction: P is self-adjoint and hence normal. Then by either the complex or the real spectral theorem, V can be decomposed into a direct sum of eigenspaces of P where all the eigenvectors are orthonormal. Since P is self-adjoint, all its eigenvalues are real. Also, $P^2 = P$ means the only eigenvalues can only be 0 or 1. Now, let U be the eigenspace of P with eigenvalue 1 and W be the eigenspace of P with eigenvalue 0. We know $U \perp W$ since P has orthonormal eigenvectors. Then for any $v \in V$,

$$Pv = P\left(\underbrace{u + w}_{u \in U, w \in W} \right) = u.$$

Hence, by definition of orthogonal projection, $P_U = P$. □

Problem 4.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Consider the real space $V := \text{span}(1, \cos x, \sin x, \cos 2x, \sin 2x, \dots, \cos nx, \sin nx)$ with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)g(x)dx.$$

Show that the differentiation operator $D \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is *anti-Hermitian*, i.e., satisfies $D^* = -D$.

Proof. Let $f, g \in V$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Df, g \rangle &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Df(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(x)g(x)dx \\ &= f(x)g(x)|_{-\pi}^{\pi} - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)g'(x)dx \\ &= - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)g'(x)dx \\ &= -\langle f, Dg \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the uniqueness of the Riesz representation theorem, $D^* = -D$.

$$f(x)g(x)|_{-\pi}^{\pi} = 0$$

is true because f and g can be written as

$$\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sin(kx) + b_k \cos(kx)$$

but with different coefficients. Either way, $f(\pi) = f(-\pi)$ and $g(\pi) = g(-\pi)$ because all the sin functions evaluated at $\pi, -\pi$ are 0 and all the cos functions are even functions. Therefore,

$$f(\pi)g(\pi) = f(-\pi)g(-\pi) \iff f(\pi)g(\pi) - f(-\pi)g(-\pi) = 0.$$

□

Problem 5.

Suppose T is normal. Prove that, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{null } (T - \lambda I)^k = \text{null } (T - \lambda I).$$

Lemma: Let S be a self-adjoint operator, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{null } S^k = \text{null } S.$$

Proof of Lemma. Assume this is not true, then we take the minimal counterexample. Let $n \geq 2$ be the minimal counterexample.

Clearly, $\text{null } S \subseteq \text{null } S^n$. Let $v \in \text{null } S^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^n v, S^{n-2} v \rangle = 0 &\iff \langle S^{n-1} v, S^{n-1} v \rangle = 0 \\ &\iff \|S^{n-1} v\|^2 = 0 \\ &\iff S^{n-1} v = 0 \\ &\iff v \in \text{null } S^{n-1} \\ &\iff v \in \text{null } S \quad (\because S^n \text{ is the minimal counterexample}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction to the minimality of n . Hence, $\text{null } S^k = \text{null } S$. □

Proof of Problem 5. Clearly, $\text{null } (T - \lambda I) \subseteq \text{null } (T - \lambda I)^k$. Let $v \in \text{null } (T - \lambda I)^k$, then let

$$S = (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I),$$

where S is self-adjoint because

$$S^* = [(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I)]^* = (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) = S.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} S^k &= (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) \cdots (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I) \\ &= [(T - \lambda I)^*]^k (T - \lambda I)^k, \end{aligned}$$

by repeatedly swapping the positions of $(T - \lambda I)^*$ and $(T - \lambda I)$ because $(T - \lambda I)$ is normal. Now, let v in $\text{null } (T - \lambda I)^k$, clearly $v \in \text{null } S^k$. Then by the lemma, $v \in \text{null } S$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I)v, v \rangle = 0 &\iff \langle (T - \lambda I)v, (T - \lambda I)v \rangle = 0 \\ &\iff \|(T - \lambda I)v\|^2 = 0 \\ &\iff (T - \lambda I)v = 0 \\ &\iff v \in \text{null } (T - \lambda I). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the inclusion of $\text{null } (T - \lambda I)^k \subseteq \text{null } (T - \lambda I)$. □