

STAT153 HW4 Sketch

Neo Lee

$$B^k B^\ell x_t = B^k x_{t-\ell} = x_{t-\ell-k} = B^\ell x_{t-k} = B^\ell B^k x_t$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + \phi_1 B + \cdots + \phi_k B^k)(1 + \varphi_1 B + \cdots + \varphi_\ell B^\ell) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \phi_i B^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^\ell \varphi_j B^j \right) \quad (\text{let } \phi_0 = \varphi_0 = 1) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^\ell \phi_i B^i \cdot \varphi_j B^j \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^\ell \phi_i \varphi_j \cdot B^i B^j \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^\ell \varphi_j \phi_i \cdot B^j B^i \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^\ell \varphi_j B^j \cdot \phi_i B^i \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^\ell \sum_{j=0}^k \varphi_j B^j \cdot \phi_i B^i \\
 &= (1 + \varphi_1 B + \cdots + \varphi_\ell B^\ell)(1 + \phi_1 B + \cdots + \phi_k B^k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice

$$\nabla^d \nabla_s^D = (1 - B)^d (1 - B^s)^D = (1 - B^s)^D (1 - B)^d$$

where we apply Q2 iteratively on $(1 + \phi_1 B)$ where $\phi_1 = -1$ and $(1 + \varphi_1 B + \cdots + \phi_s B^s)$ where $\varphi_s = -1$ and 0 else. Therefore,

$$\nabla^d \nabla_s^D = \nabla_s^D \nabla^d.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(B) \Phi(B^s) &= (1 + \phi_1 B + \cdots + \phi_p B^p)(1 + \Phi_s B^s + \cdots + \Phi_{Ps} B^{Ps}) \\
 &= (1 + \Phi_s B^s + \cdots + \Phi_{Ps} B^{Ps})(1 + \phi_1 B + \cdots + \phi_p B^p)
 \end{aligned}$$

by apply Q2 iteratively where $\varphi_i = \Phi_i$ for $i = s, 2s, \dots, Ps$ and 0 else. Therefore,

$$\phi(B) \Phi(B^s) = \Phi(B^s) \phi(B).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(B)\Theta(B^s) &= (1 - \theta_1 B - \dots - \theta_q B^q)(1 - \Theta_s B^s - \dots - \Theta_{Qs} B^{Qs}) \\ &= (1 - \Theta_s B^s - \dots - \Theta_{Qs} B^{Qs})(1 - \theta_1 B - \dots - \theta_q B^q),\end{aligned}$$

by applying Q2 iteratively where $\phi_i = -\theta_i$ and $\varphi_i = -\Theta_i$ for $i = s, \dots, Qs$ and 0 else. Therefore,

$$\theta(B)\Theta(B^s) = \Theta(B^s)\theta(B).$$

Therefore, we achieved the desired equivalence.

$$\forall t, \nabla x_t = u \implies x_t = x_{t-1} + u = x_{t-2} + u + u = x_{t-3} + u + u + u = \dots = x_0 + tu,$$

which is in the desired form of $x_t = a + bt$.

$$\begin{aligned}x_t &= u + vt + x_{t-1} \\ &= u + vt + u + v(t-1) + x_{t-2} \\ &= u + vt + u + v(t-1) + u + v(t-2) + x_{t-3} \\ &= u + vt + u + v(t-1) + u + v(t-2) + \dots + u + v(t-(t-1)) + x_0 \\ &= ut + v(t^2 - (1 + \dots + (t-1))) + x_0 \\ &= ut + v\left(t^2 - \frac{t(t-1)}{2}\right) + x_0 \\ &= ut + v\left(\frac{t^2 + t}{2}\right) + x_0 \\ &= x_0 + \left(u + \frac{v}{2}\right)t + \frac{v}{2}t^2,\end{aligned}$$

which is in the desired form of $x_t = a + bt + ct^2$.

$$(1 - \phi B)x_t = (1 + \theta B)w_t \implies x_t - \phi x_{t-1} = w_t + \theta w_{t-1} \implies x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + w_t + \theta w_{t-1}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_{t+1|t} &= \hat{\phi}x_t + w_{t+1} + \hat{\theta}w_t = \hat{\phi}x_t + \hat{\theta}w_t & (w_{t+1} = 0) \\ \hat{x}_{t+2|t} &= \hat{\phi}x_{t+1|t} + w_{t+2} + \hat{\theta}w_{t+1} = \hat{\phi}\left(\hat{\phi}x_t + \hat{\theta}w_t\right) & (w_{t+2} = w_{t+1} = 0) \\ \hat{x}_{t+3|t} &= \hat{\phi}x_{t+2|t} + w_{t+3} + \hat{\theta}w_{t+2} = \hat{\phi}\left(\hat{\phi}\left(\hat{\phi}x_t + \hat{\theta}w_t\right)\right) & (w_{t+3} = w_{t+2} = 0) \\ &\vdots \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \hat{x}_{t+h|t} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\phi}^h x_t + \hat{\phi}^{h-1} \hat{\theta} w_t = 0 & (\because \hat{\phi} < 1 \text{ and } x_t, \hat{\theta} w_t \in \mathbb{R})\end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - \phi B)x_t = c + (1 + \theta B)w_t \implies x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + w_t + \theta w_{t-1} + c.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}_{t+1|t} &= \hat{\phi}x_t + w_{t+1} + \hat{\theta}\hat{w}_t + c = \hat{\phi}x_t + \hat{\theta}\hat{w}_t + c & (w_{t+1} = 0) \\
\hat{x}_{t+2|t} &= \hat{\phi}x_{t+1|t} + w_{t+2} + \hat{\theta}w_{t+1} + c = \hat{\phi}(\hat{\phi}x_t + \hat{\theta}\hat{w}_t + c) + c & (w_{t+2} = w_{t+1} = 0) \\
\hat{x}_{t+3|t} &= \hat{\phi}x_{t+2|t} + w_{t+3} + \hat{\theta}w_{t+2} + c = \hat{\phi}(\hat{\phi}(\hat{\phi}x_t + \hat{\theta}\hat{w}_t + c) + c) + c & (w_{t+3} = w_{t+2} = 0) \\
&\vdots \\
\hat{x}_{t+h|t} &= \hat{\phi}^h x_t + \hat{\phi}^{h-1}\hat{\theta}\hat{w}_t + c \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \hat{\phi}^k \\
\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \hat{x}_{t+h|t} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\phi}^h x_t + \hat{\phi}^{h-1}\hat{\theta}\hat{w}_t + c \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \hat{\phi}^k = c \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \hat{\phi}^k = C,
\end{aligned}$$

because $\hat{\phi} < 1$, $\hat{\theta}w_t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \hat{\phi}^k$ converges to some real number.

$$rows = t_0 + (t_0 + 1) + (t_0 + 2) + \cdots + n = \frac{(t_0 + n)(n - t_0 + 1)}{2}.$$

$c = 0, d = 1$: Let $y_t = \nabla x_t$, then

$$(1 - \phi B)\nabla x_t = (1 + \theta B)w_t \Leftrightarrow (1 - \phi B)y_t = (1 + \theta B)w_t.$$

By Q9, $\hat{y}_{t+h|t} = 0$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\nabla \hat{x}_{t+h|t} = 0$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$. By Q5, $\hat{x}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a constant sequence as $h \rightarrow \infty$.

$c = 0, d = 2$: Let $y_t = \nabla^2 x_t$, then

$$(1 - \phi B)\nabla^2 x_t = (1 + \theta B)w_t \Leftrightarrow (1 - \phi B)y_t = (1 + \theta B)w_t.$$

By Q9, $\hat{y}_{t+h|t} = 0$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\nabla^2 \hat{x}_{t+h|t} = 0$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Notice $\nabla^2 \hat{x}_{t+h|t} = \nabla(\nabla \hat{x}_{t+h|t})$, then by Q5, $\nabla \hat{x}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a constant sequence as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Further, by Q6, $\hat{x}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a linear function of t as $h \rightarrow \infty$.

$c \neq 0, d = 1$: Let $y_t = \nabla x_t$, then

$$(1 - \phi B)\nabla x_t = c + (1 + \theta B)w_t \Leftrightarrow (1 - \phi B)y_t = c + (1 + \theta B)w_t.$$

By Q10, $\hat{y}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a non-zero constant as $h \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\nabla \hat{x}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a non-zero constant as $h \rightarrow \infty$. By Q6, $\hat{x}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a linear function of t as $h \rightarrow \infty$.

$c \neq 0, d = 2$: Let $y_t = \nabla^2 x_t$, then

$$(1 - \phi B)\nabla x_t = c + (1 + \theta B)w_t \Leftrightarrow (1 - \phi B)y_t = c + (1 + \theta B)w_t.$$

By Q10, $\hat{y}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a non-zero constant as $h \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\nabla^2 \hat{x}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a non-zero constant as $h \rightarrow \infty$. By Q8, $\hat{x}_{t+h|t}$ approaches a quadratic function of t as $h \rightarrow \infty$.