

HW6 Solutions

For the simplicity, we only describe the recursions to be used in the dynamic programming. After the recursion is achieved, a standard dynamic programming with memoization is used to solve the problem.

1. Let $P(i)$ be the maximum profit from locations 1 to i . Charging stations should be set only k distance apart, so we get the following recurrence relation to find the maximum profit.

$$P(i) = \begin{cases} \max(p_i + P(j), P(i+1)) & i \leq n \\ 0 & i > n \end{cases}$$

where j is the first location that is at least k distance from s_i .

2. Let $V(\mathcal{P}, M)$ be the maximum value one can get when the set of patents \mathcal{P} is available for purchase and the budget is M .

$$V(\mathcal{P}, M) = \begin{cases} \max(V(\mathcal{P} - \{p_{1*}\}, M - m_{1*}), V(\mathcal{P} - \{p_{1*}\}, M)) & |\mathcal{P}| > 0, M > 0 \\ 0 & |\mathcal{P}| = 0 \\ 0 & M \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

where p_{1*} is the first patent in the set M .

3. Let $M(i, j)$ be the length of the longest palindrome from the string x_i, \dots, x_j . Then, Recurrence for the maximum length palindrome substring can be defined as following:

$$M(i, j) = \begin{cases} M(i+1, j-1) + 2 & i < j, x_i = x_j \\ \max(M(i+1, j), M(i, j-1)) & i < j, x_i \neq x_j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases}$$

4. Let's suppose the points are sorted based on their x coordinates in increasing order, say v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . Then, we need to find a right-going path from v_1 to v_n , then another disjoint left-coming **disjoint** (except v_1 and v_n) path from v_n to v_1 , and we need to make sure all nodes are visited by the two disjoint paths while minimizing the total distance. (The reason we only consider disjoint paths is trivial – triangle inequality)

Let $BTSP(i, j)$ denote the minimum total length of the two disjoint paths from v_1 to v_i and v_1 to v_k . Then, when $i = k = n$, $BTSP(n, n)$ will be the minimum total length of a path from v_1 to v_n and then a path back from v_n to v_1 , which is the optimal bitonic tour. Note that $BTSP(i, j) = BTSP(j, i)$ for any i, j based on the definition.

$$BTSP(i, j) = \begin{cases} BTSP(i-1, j) + d(i-1, i) & i > j+1 \\ \min_{1 \leq k < j} (BTSP(k, j) + d(k, j+1)) & i = j+1 \\ \min_{1 \leq k < i} (BTSP(i-1, k) + d(i-1, i) + d(k, i)) & i = j \\ 0 & i = j = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we have the above recursion, and here are the explanations.

- (a) $i > j + 1$: The minimum distance path from v_1 to v_i must have been formed from the minimum distance path from v_1 to v_{i-1} plus the edge $e(i-1, i)$ because $i > j + 1$, and v_j is at the left side of v_{i-1} .
- (b) $i = j + 1$: $v_i = v_{j+1}$. Then, since the paths need to be disjoint except the start and the end, it is not possible that the path from v_1 to v_{j+1} contain the node v_j since it is already in the other path from v_1 to v_j . Therefore, the path from v_1 to v_{j+1} could have been formed only by from a path v_1 to v_k where $k < j$ plus the edge $e(k, j + 1)$.
- (c) $i = j$: In this case, one of the two path must contain v_{i-1} (i.e., contain $e(i-1, i)$) since all nodes must be visited, and the other path must have been formed by some path from v_1 to v_k plus the edge $e(k, i)$.

Note that *BTSP* is symmetric, therefore we don't need to discuss $i = j - 1$ (which is identical to the case where $j = i - 1 \leftrightarrow i = j + 1$ due to the symmetry) or $i < j - 1$.

Time Complexity of finding Optimal Bitonic Path = Time complexity of sorting the points + Time complexity to fill matrix *BTSP*(i, j) for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Sorting n points: $O(n \log n)$.

Filling the matrix: $O(n^2)$ because only the items in the diagonal line requires min function $O(n)$, and everything else can be calculated directly based on the adjacent cell ($O(1)$). That means, we have approximately $O(n)$ cells that need $O(n)$ operations and $O(n^2)$ cells that need $O(1)$ operations $\Rightarrow O(n \cdot n + n^2 \cdot 1) = O(n^2)$.