

Solutions to Homework Assignment 5

CS 430 Introduction to Algorithms
Spring Semester, 2018

Solution:

1. For a TABLE-DELETE operation that does not incur contraction, the amortized cost is:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \leq 1 + |2 \cdot \text{num}_i - \text{size}_i| - |2 \cdot \text{num}_{i-1} - \text{size}_{i-1}| \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 + 2(\text{num}_i - \text{num}_{i-1}) = -1 & 2\text{num}_i \geq \text{size}_i \\ 1 - 2(\text{num}_i - \text{num}_{i-1}) = 3 & 2\text{num}_{i-1} \leq \text{size}_i \\ 1 + 2\text{size}_{i-1} - 2(\text{num}_i + \text{num}_{i-1}) & 2\text{num}_i < \text{size}_i < 2\text{num}_{i-1} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

The term in the third case is equal to

$$1 + 2\text{size}_{i-1} - 2(\text{num}_{i-1} - 1 + \text{num}_{i-1}) = 3 + 2(\text{size}_{i-1} - 2\text{num}_{i-1}) < 3$$

because $\text{size}_{i-1} = \text{size}_i < 2\text{num}_{i-1}$ (case 3 condition). Therefore, the upper bound in this case is 3, which is $O(1)$.

For a TABLE-DELETE operation that incurs contraction, the amortized cost is:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) \leq \text{num}_i + 1 + |2 \cdot \text{num}_i - \text{size}_i| - |2 \cdot \text{num}_{i-1} - \text{size}_{i-1}| \\ &= \text{num}_i + 1 + |2 \cdot \text{num}_i - \frac{2}{3}\text{size}_{i-1}| - |2 \cdot \text{num}_{i-1} - \text{size}_{i-1}| \\ &= \text{num}_i + 1 + 0 - |2 \cdot \text{num}_{i-1} - \text{size}_{i-1}| \quad (\text{num}_i = \frac{1}{3}\text{size}_{i-1}) \\ &= \text{num}_i + 1 + 2 \cdot \text{num}_{i-1} - \text{size}_{i-1} \quad (2\text{num}_{i-1} < \text{size}_{i-1}) \\ &= 3 \in O(1)\end{aligned}$$

2. ** Note that there may be different deletion algorithms, which lead to different cost and different potential functions. The solution here is just one of them.
 - (a) When inserting an element at the head, push the element to the *Head*. When inserting an element at the tail, push the element to the *Tail*.
 - (b) Without the loss of generality, I assume it is the *Tail* stack which is empty. For the case where *Head* is empty, it is trivial to get the similar algorithm based on the following one.
 - i. Iteratively POP each element from *Head* and PUSH it into *Temp* until the last element.
 - ii. POP the last element in *Head* and discard it.
 - iii. In the remaining $n - 1$ elements in *Temp*, do the following to the first $(n - 1)/2$ elements:
 - A. Iteratively POP and PUSH each of them to *Head*.
 - B. Iteratively POP and PUSH every element from *Head* to *Tail*.
 - iv. For the remaining $(n - 1)/2$ elements in *Temp*, do the following: iteratively POP and PUSH each of them to *Head*.

(c) Insertion

In any case (either best, average or worst), the complexity of insertion is $O(1)$.

Deletion

In fact, the worst case is when we need to delete an element from the tail but *Tail* is empty or we need to delete an element from the head but *Head* is empty. Then, we can simply look at the above algorithm to find out the worst-case cost.

Suppose the costs of POP and PUSH are both 1, then the step 1's cost is $2(n-1)$. The cost of step 2 is 1. The cost of 3.(a) is $(n-1)/2 \times 2 = n-1$. The cost of 3.(b) is $(n-1)/2 \times 2 = n-1$. The cost of 4 is $(n-1)/2 \times 2 = n-1$. Sum of the cost above is $5(n-1) + 1 = 5n-4$.

(d) Worst-case deletion

Similarly, I assume it is *Tail* stack who is empty. Suppose the potential function is $\Phi(D_i) = k||Head_i| - |Tail_i||$, where Xxx_i refers to the corresponding stack after the i -th operation. Then, the amortized cost is:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}_i &= c_i + \Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}) = 5n - 4 + k||Head_i| - |Tail_i|| - k||Head_{i-1}| - |Tail_{i-1}|| \\ &= 5n - 4 + k|(n-1)/2 - |(n-1)/2| - k|n - 0| \\ &= 5n - 4 - kn\end{aligned}$$

which should be $O(1)$. Therefore, $k = 5$, and the potential function is $\Phi(D_i) = 5||Head_i| - |Tail_i||$.

Normal deletion and insertions

In any case, it is easy to derive that the amortized time of deletion in the normal case is between $[1-k, 1+k]$, which is always constant. The amortized time of both insertions is same. Therefore, k can be any number for normal deletion or insertions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, k has to be 5 to have constant amortized time for all four operations.