

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

Proces <u>11848/2016</u>

WP/19376/2015

Free

Kishore Pithawe
Deputy Registrar,
High Court of
Judicature
at Jabalpur

for admission

Fixed for 01-02-2016

<u>WP-DA-9</u>

Respondent No. 1

To,

The State Of Madhya Pradesh, Principal Secretary Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, District- Bhopal (MADHYA PRADESH), 15121 b

Jabalpur 23-01-2016

Sub: Notice to Respondent No. 1 in writ Petition(Mandamus/Prohibition/ Certiorari/Quo Warranto) No. WP/ 19376/ 2015

Sir/Madam,

B

I am directed to inform you that one **Ratiram Nagpure** has filed a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India (Copy enclosed) in this Court, and the same is registered as Writ Petition (Mandamus/ Prohibition/ Certiorari/ Quo Warranto) No. **WP/19376/2015**

Take notice that you are required to submit a return personally or through a duly engaged Advocate on or before **01-02-2016**. If no return is filed as aforesaid, the petition will be heard and decided exparte.

(Seal of the Court)
Encl: Copy of Petition

06/02

Your faithfully

D 8/02

DEPUTY REGISTRAR



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH PRINCIPAL SEAT AT JABALPUR

WRIT PETITION NO/9376.0F 2015

<u>PETITIONER</u>

RATIRAM NAGPURE

Son of Late Gondlal nagpure, aged about 52 years, working as Time keeper in Public Works Department Sub-Division No. 1, Division No. 1 Balaghat, R/o Village Kohkadibar, Ward No. 3, Post Devri, District Balaghat (M.P.).

-: VERSUS :-

RESPONDENT'S:

The State of M.P.

Through the Principal Secretary, Public Works Department Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).

- 2. Engineer In Chief, P.W.D. Arera Hills, Nirman Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).
- Executive Engineer, P.W.D. (B & R),
 Division No. 1, District Balaghat (M.P.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA

- 1. PARTICULARS OF THE CAUSE/ORDER AGAINST WHICH THIS PETITION IS BEING MADE: -
 - (i) Date of Notice: Nil.
 - (II) Passed in Case No.: Nil.
 - (III) Passed by: Nil.
 - (iv) <u>Subject Matter in Brief</u>: That, by way of this petition the petitioner is not challenging any particular order but seeking a direction to the respondents that in spite of a

