

## Planetary Resonance Prediction - March 20, 2026

This repository contains data, code, and calculations supporting the paper:

Convergent Prediction of a Planetary-Scale Scalar Resonance Event on March 20, 2026:  
Bridging Modified Gravity, Harmonic Cycles, and Ancient Geodetic Encoding

By Claire [EDDIB], January 2026

### Summary

Two independent methodologies converge on March 20, 2026:

- TeVeS Modified Gravity: Predicts 46.98 Hz resonance initiating at 12:50 UTC at Giza
- Harmonic Cycle Analysis: Predicts maximum at 14:36 UTC
- 106-minute offset: Matches seismic surface wave propagation time

Key Finding: 97.5% (117/120) of sacred site pairs show distances at integer multiples of  $\varphi^8 = 46.98 \text{ km}$  ( $p < 10^{-15}$ )

### Repository Contents

...

```
data/
    └── sacred_sites.csv      # Coordinates of 16 sacred sites
    └── site_distances.csv    # All 120 pairwise distances
    └── harmonic_matches.csv # Which pairs match which harmonics
analysis/
    ├── distance_calculator.py # Haversine distance calculations
    ├── harmonic_matcher.py    # Tests distances against  $\varphi^8$ ,  $\varphi^7$ , etc.
    └── statistical_analysis.py # p-value calculations
calculations/
    ├── teves_derivation.md    # TeVeS 46.98 Hz derivation
    ├── harmonic_cycles.md     # 864,000-year cycle mathematics
    └── propagation_delay.md   # 106-minute calculation
figures/
    ├── site_map.png          # World map with 16 sites
    └── distance_histogram.png # Distribution of distances / 46.98 km
README.md                  # This file
...
```

### Quick Start

#### Install Requirements

```
```bash
```

```
pip install numpy pandas scipy matplotlib --break-system-packages
```

```
...
```

## Run Analysis

```
```bash
```

```
python analysis/distance_calculator.py  
python analysis/harmonic_matcher.py  
python analysis/statistical_analysis.py
```

```
...
```

## Expected Output

```
...
```

```
Total site pairs: 120
```

```
Harmonic matches: 117
```

```
Match rate: 97.5%
```

```
p-value: < 1e-15
```

```
...
```

## Sacred Sites Analyzed

#	Site	Latitude	Longitude	Culture
1	Giza, Egypt	29.9792°N	31.1342°E	Ancient Egyptian
2	Göbekli Tepe, Turkey	37.2233°N	38.9224°E	Pre-Pottery Neolithic
3	Angkor Wat, Cambodia	13.4125°N	103.8667°E	Khmer
4	Stonehenge, UK	51.1789°N	1.8262°W	Neolithic/Bronze Age
5	Avebury, UK	51.4286°N	1.8530°W	Neolithic
6	Cholula Pyramid, Mexico	19.0583°N	98.3019°W	Mesoamerican
7	Nazca Lines, Peru	14.7361°S	75.1472°W	Nazca
8	Mount Shasta, USA	41.4097°N	122.1949°W	Indigenous American
9	Skinwalker Ranch, USA	40.2587°N	109.8932°W	Modern anomaly site
10	Sedona, USA	34.8697°N	111.7603°W	Indigenous American
11	Uluru, Australia	25.3444°S	131.0369°E	Aboriginal
12	Easter Island	27.1127°S	109.3497°W	Polynesian
13	Machu Picchu, Peru	13.1631°S	72.5450°W	Incan
14	Teotihuacán, Mexico	19.6925°N	98.8436°W	Mesoamerican
15	Baalbek, Lebanon	34.0069°N	36.2036°E	Roman/Phoenician
16	Qumran, Israel	31.7410°N	35.4580°E	Second Temple Period

## Harmonic Constants

The analysis tests against four constants derived from the golden ratio  $\phi = 1.618\dots$  and Earth's geometry:

1.  $\varphi^8 = 46.9787 \text{ km}$  - Primary resonance wavelength (from TeVeS:  $f = 46.98 \text{ Hz}$ )
2.  $\varphi^7 = 29.0300 \text{ km}$  - Secondary golden harmonic
3.  $\text{Earth}/695 = 57.597 \text{ km}$  - Planetary circumference division
4.  $\text{Earth}/72 = 556.0 \text{ km}$  -  $72^\circ$  chord length

A "match" is defined as:  $|\text{distance} - n \times \text{constant}| < 0.005 \times n \times \text{constant}$  (0.5% tolerance)

## ## Statistical Significance

Under the null hypothesis (random placement), expected matches =  $120 \times 0.02 = 2.4$

Observed matches = 117

Binomial probability:  $p = C(120, 117) \times (0.02)^{117} \times (0.98)^{3} < 10^{-15}$

This is overwhelming evidence for non-random placement.

## Key Predictions for March 20, 2026

### Phase 1: Initiation (12:50 UTC)

- Location: Giza node ( $29.9792^\circ\text{N}, 31.1342^\circ\text{E}$ )
- Signature:  $46.98 \text{ Hz} \pm 0.5 \text{ Hz}$  electromagnetic transient
- Duration: 8-15 minutes

### Phase 2: Propagation (12:50-14:36 UTC)

- Mechanism: Rayleigh surface waves along ley lines
- Velocity: 1.26 km/s
- Detection: Ground current anomalies

### Phase 3: Global Maximum (14:36 UTC)

- Locations: All  $72^\circ$  antipodal nodes
- Signature: Simultaneous EM transients
- Duration: 8-15 minutes per node

## Monitoring Equipment Recommendations

For each site:

1. VLF receiver (0.1-100 Hz, tuned to 46.98 Hz)
2. Triaxial magnetometer (0.1 nT resolution)
3. Broadband seismometer
4. All-sky camera (IR/UV for plasma detection)
5. GPS receiver (ionospheric TEC)
6. GPS-disciplined clock (nanosecond timing)

## Priority Monitoring Sites

1. \*\*Giza- Initiation point
2. Angkor Wat - Leo Walton + Praveen Mohan field expedition with full EMF equipment
3. Stonehenge/Avebury - Michael line waveguide test
4. Mount Shasta, Sedona - Western hemisphere antipodal confirmation

## How to Contribute

### Replicate the Analysis

1. Clone this repository
2. Run the Python scripts in `analysis/`
3. Verify you get the same 117/120 matches

### Deploy Monitoring Equipment

1. Choose a site from the list above
2. Deploy equipment by February 15, 2026
3. Begin continuous recording March 19, 2026
4. Submit data to this repository after the event

### Report Your Results

After March 20, 2026, submit:

- Raw data files (VLF, magnetometer, seismometer)
- GPS timestamps
- Equipment specifications
- Site conditions

## ## Data Format for Submissions

Please format monitoring data as:

```
```csv
timestamp_utc,frequency_hz,amplitude_mv,site_name
2026-03-20T12:50:00Z,46.98,125.3,Giza
2026-03-20T12:50:01Z,46.97,126.1,Giza
...
````
```

## Falsification Criteria

This prediction can be proven wrong if:

1. \*\*No 46.98 Hz signal\*\* detected at any monitored nodes
2. \*\*Wrong timing\*\* (signals not within  $\pm 10$  min of predictions)

3. \*\*Random spatial pattern\*\* (signals don't follow 72° nodes)
4. \*\*Wrong propagation speed\*\* (delays don't match 1.26 km/s)

## Citations

If you use this data or methodology, please cite:

...

[EDDIB], C. (2026). Convergent Prediction of a Planetary-Scale Scalar Resonance Event on March 20, 2026: Bridging Modified Gravity, Harmonic Cycles, and Ancient Geodetic Encoding. arXiv:XXXX.XXXX

...

## Acknowledgments

- Nassim Haramein (International Space Federation): Holographic scaling laws, universal 1/2 boundary principle
- Malcolm Bendall: 864,000 harmonic cycle measurements
- Salah-Eddin Gherbi: E8 lattice validation, TeVeS refinements
- Leo Walton: Angkor Wat field monitoring coordination
- Phigrid researchers: Dual-curvature planetary grid validation

## Contact

For monitoring coordination or questions: [mysticbookreviews42@gmail.com](mailto:mysticbookreviews42@gmail.com)

GitHub: [monkeyboots584-a11y](#)

## License

Data and code: MIT License

Paper content: CC-BY 4.0

The prediction is made. The date is set. The measurements will tell the truth.