

RLML Derivation

January 7, 2025

- front-end model is to find the robot pose at current timestamp t
- back-end model is to optimize over all robot keyframe poses and all reflector positions

- Notation

- X_t denotes the robot pose at time t , which is (x, y, θ)
- u_t denotes the control input at time t , which is (v, ω) (linear speed, and angular speed)
- Z_t^R is the reflective marker measurement at time t , which is a vector of 2 dims, (x, y) , denotes the position of marker in the robot frame
- m^R denotes the reflective marker position in the map
- Z_t^s is the scan measurement at time t , which is a number p denotes the probability of the laser scan touched an object at its end
- m^s is the submap constructed from several previous scans

- Given

- X_{t-1} is the robot pose at time $t - 1$
- u_t can be obtained by robot odometry and imu
- Z_t^R can be obtained from measurement
- m^R can be obtained from reflector position in submap
- Z_t^s can be obtained from measurement
- m^s can be obtained from submap (submap is constructed from several previous scans)

$$\begin{cases} X_t = f(X_{t-1}, u_t) \\ Z_t^R = g(X_t, m^R) \\ Z_t^S = h(X_t, m^S) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

derive the loss

$$\begin{cases} e_o = X_t - f(X_{t-1}, u_t) \\ e_R = Z_t^R - g(X_t, m^R) \\ e_s = Z_t^S - h(X_t, m^S) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

we want to find the \hat{X}_t which minimize the following cost:

$$\arg \min_{X_t} (e_o^T \Sigma_o^{-1} e_o + e_R^T \Sigma_R^{-1} e_R + e_s^T \Sigma_s^{-1} e_s) \quad (3)$$

front-end model

next, we show how to propagate covariance Σ_o , first we can linearize the motion prediction equation at X_{t-1}^{\wedge} and $u_t = 0$

$$X_t = f(X_{t-1}^{\wedge}) + \frac{\delta f}{\delta X_{t-1}}(X_{t-1} - X_{t-1}^{\wedge}) + \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_t}u_t \quad (4)$$

let G_x denotes $\frac{\delta f}{\delta X_{t-1}}$ and G_u denotes $\frac{\delta f}{\delta u_t}$

$$\Sigma_o = G_x \Sigma_{X_{t-1}^{\wedge}} G_x^T + G_u \Sigma_u G_u^T \quad (5)$$

where $\Sigma_{X_{t-1}^{\wedge}}$ is the covariance of X_{t-1}^{\wedge} and Σ_u is the covariance of control input u_t , Σ_u can be empirically denoted as:

$$\Sigma_u = \begin{bmatrix} (a * v + b)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (c * \omega + d)^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

a, b, c, d are hyper parameters which can be experimentally measured and can also be tuned, it denotes how precise are the speed and angular speed

front-end model

next, we show the explicit function of motion prediction and calculate the derivative. $X_t = f(X_{t-1}, u_t)$ can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_t = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} v\Delta t \cos(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2) \\ v\Delta t \sin(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2) \\ \omega\Delta t \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

so G_x is:

$$G_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -v\Delta t \sin(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2) \\ 0 & 1 & v\Delta t \cos(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

and G_u is:

$$G_u = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta t \cos(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2) & \frac{-v(\Delta t)^2 \sin(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2)}{2} \\ \Delta t \sin(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2) & \frac{v(\Delta t)^2 \cos(\theta + \omega\Delta t/2)}{2} \\ 0 & \Delta t \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Σ_R and Σ_s are trivial and are all selected empirically, for example, reflective marker's observation are usually within 0.025m, so Σ_R can be

$$\begin{bmatrix} (0.025 * 0.025)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (0.025 * 0.025)^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

as we need to estimate the covariance at \hat{X}_t , so we can linearize all the equation at \hat{X}_t , and put into covariance formula of linear least square:

$$\Sigma_{\hat{X}_t} = (J_o^T \Sigma_o^{-1} J_o + J_R^T \Sigma_R^{-1} J_R + J_s^T \Sigma_s^{-1} J_s)^{-1} \quad (11)$$

where $J_o = \frac{\delta e_o}{\delta X_t}$, $J_R = \frac{\delta e_R}{\delta X_t}$ and $J_s = \frac{\delta e_s}{\delta X_t}$
this is similar to kalman filter, first use $\Sigma_{\hat{X}_{t-1}}$ propagate to Σ_o , and after optimization, we calculate covariance and get $\Sigma_{\hat{X}_t}$

- Notation

- X_i denotes the robot pose of keyframe i , which is (x, y, θ)
- ε is the union of all the keyframe poses
- Z_{ik}^R is the reflective marker measurement between keyframe i and reflector k
- $\Delta\varepsilon_{ij}^P$ is the relative pose constraint between keyframe i and keyframe j
- $\Delta\varepsilon_{ij}^r$ is the loop closure pose constraint between keyframe i and keyframe j

$$\begin{cases} e_{ij}^P = (X_i - X_j) - \Delta \varepsilon_{ij}^P \\ e_{ij}^r = (X_i - X_j) - \Delta \varepsilon_{ij}^r \\ e_{ik}^R = g(X_i, m_k^R) - Z_{ik}^R \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

we want to find the $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ and $m_k^R, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$ which minimize the following cost:

$$\arg \min (e_{ij}^{P^T} \Sigma_{ij}^{P-1} e_{ij}^P + e_{ij}^{r^T} \Sigma_{ij}^{r-1} e_{ij}^r + e_{ik}^{R^T} \Sigma_{ik}^{R-1} e_{ik}^R) \quad (13)$$

for $\Delta\varepsilon_{ij}^P = (\hat{X}_i - \hat{X}_j)$, and $\hat{X}_i \sim N(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$, this Σ_i is estimated through formula (11). so $\Delta\varepsilon_{ij}^P \sim N(\mu_i - \mu_j, \Sigma_i + \Sigma_j)$, and we can derive the covariance

$$\Sigma_{ij}^P = \Sigma_i + \Sigma_j \quad (14)$$

for Σ_{ij}^r , relocalization's covariance can be experimentally estimated. for Σ_{ik}^R , reflector measuring covariance is the same as Σ_R in front-end method.