## CSC411 A6

# Zhihong Wang 1002095207 2018-11

#### Part 1:

1. (similar to A4.Q2.d and A5.Q2.b)

Based on the MAP formulation and distributions, we can set two L functions:

$$\begin{split} & \text{Let } L(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} r_k^{(i)} log \pi_k - \lambda(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (a_k - 1) log \pi_k \\ & L(\theta) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} (x_j log \theta_{k,j} + (1 - x_j) log (1 - \theta_{k,j})) + (a - 1) log \theta_{k,j} + (b - 1) log (1 - \theta_{k,j}) \\ & \text{then } \frac{\partial L}{\partial \pi_k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k} - \lambda + \frac{a_k - 1}{\pi_k} \\ & \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} x_j^i}{\theta_{k,j}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} (1 - x_j^i)}{1 - \theta_{k,j}} + \frac{a - 1}{\theta_{k,j}} - \frac{b - 1}{1 - \theta_{k,j}} \end{split}$$

First, let 
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \pi_k} = 0$$
  
then  $\lambda = \frac{a_k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k}$   
then  $\pi_k = \frac{a_k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)}}{\lambda}$   
then  $\sum_{k'=1}^K \pi_{k'} = \sum_{k'=1}^K \frac{a_{k'} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_{k'}^{(i)}}{\lambda}$   
since  $\sum \pi_k = 1$   
then  $\sum_{k'=1}^K (a_{k'} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_{k'}^{(i)}) = \lambda = \frac{a_k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)}}{\pi_k}$   
then  $\pi_k = \frac{a_k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)}}{\sum_{k'=1}^K a_{k'}^{(i)} - 1 + \sum_{k'=1}^K r_k^{(i)}}$ 

So 
$$\pi_k \leftarrow \frac{a_k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)}}{\sum_{k'=1}^K (a_{k'} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_{k'}^{(i)})}$$

Second, let 
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

then 
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}r_{k}^{(i)}x_{j}^{(i)}+a-1}{\theta_{k,j}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}r_{k}^{(i)}(1-x_{j}^{(i)})+b-1}{1-\theta_{k,j}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}r_{k}^{(i)}-\sum_{i=1}^{N}r_{k}^{(i)}x_{j}^{(i)}+b-1}{1-\theta_{k,j}}$$

then 
$$(1-\theta_{k,j})(\sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)} + a - 1) = \theta_{k,j}(\sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)} + b - 1)$$

then 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)} + a - 1 = \theta_{k,j} (\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} + b + a - 2)$$

then 
$$\theta_{k,j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)} + a - 1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} + a + b - 2}$$

So 
$$\theta_{k,j} \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)} + a - 1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} + a + b - 2}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k \leftarrow \frac{a_k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)}}{\sum_{k'=1}^K (a_{k'} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N r_{k'}^{(i)})} \\ \theta_{k,j} \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)} x_j^{(i)} + a - 1}{\sum_{i=1}^N r_k^{(i)} + a + b - 2} \end{aligned}$$

9

pi[0] 0.085 pi[1] 0.13 theta[0, 239] 0.642710622711 theta[3, 298] 0.465736124958

### Part 2:

1. (similar to A4.Q2.a)

$$\begin{split} ⪻(z^i=k|x^i)\\ &=\frac{p(z^i=k)p(m^ix^i|z^i=k)}{\sum_{k'=1}^K p(z^i=k')p(m^ix^i|z^i=k')}\\ &=\frac{\pi_k\prod_{j=1}^D p(m^i_jx^i_j|z^i=k)}{\sum_{k'=1}^K \pi_{k'}\prod_{j'=1}^D p(m^i_{j'}x^i_{j'}|z^i=k')}\\ &=\frac{\pi_k\prod_{j=1}^D \theta_{k,j}^{m^i_jx^i_j}(1-\theta_{k,j})^{m^i_j(1-x^i_j)}}{\sum_{k'=1}^K \pi_{k'}\prod_{j'=1}^D \theta_{k',j'}^{j'}(1-\theta_{k',j'})^{m^i_{j'}(1-x^i_{j'})}}\\ &3. \end{split}$$

R[0, 2] 0.174889514921 R[1, 0] 0.688537676109 P[0, 183] 0.651615199813 P[2, 628] 0.474080172491

#### Part 3:

1.

Assume 
$$a=b=1$$
, we can get  $a-1=0, a+b-2=0$  then  $\theta_{k,j} \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)} x_j^i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_k^{(i)}}$  If a pixel (i.e.  $x$ ) is always 0 in training set, then the  $\theta$  is also 0.

However, the  $\theta$  represents the probability, which means it will assign 0 probability to the image in the test set.

Because part 2 model has more classes (100 classes) than part 1 (10 classes). Since part 2 can predict with more criteria, the result of part 2 is more accurate than part 1.

3.

No. Because 1 has a special and unique upper half compared with other numbers. However, 8 shares similar top half with 9. Also, the heads of 0, 2 and 3 are similar to 8 under some conditions. Therefore, the images of 1's are assigned higher log probability than 8's.