

### Numerical Optimization - Sheet 3

If you are a student in mathematics please solve the exercises with no tag and the ones with the tag **Mathematics**. If you are a data science student please solve the problems with no tag and those with the tag **Data Science**. Submissions with tags other than your subject count as bonus points. The tag **Programming** marks programming exercises.

#### Ex 1

(6 Points)

The Rosenbrock function is defined by

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = (1 - x_1)^2 + 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2.$$

Show that the point  $x = (1, 1)$  is a local minimum of  $f$  and that the Hessian of  $f$  is positive definite at that point.

#### Solution 1:

The derivative  $Df = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= -2(1 - x_1) - 400(x_2 - x_1^2)x_1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} &= 200(x_2 - x_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that the *first order necessary condition* for a local minimum

$$0 = Df((1, 1))$$

is fulfilled. The second derivative is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} &= 2 - 400x_2 + 1200x_1^2 = 2 - 400(x_2 - 3x_1^2) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 x_2} &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 x_1} = -400x_1 \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} &= 200. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that the *second order sufficient condition* for a local minimum is fulfilled at  $(1, 1)$  we compute the determinant of  $D^2f - \lambda I$ , which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (802 - \lambda)(200 - \lambda) - 160000 &= 160400 - 1002\lambda + \lambda^2 - 160000 \\ &= 400 - 1002\lambda + \lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Claiming the determinant to be equal to 0 yields the solutions

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \{501 \pm \sqrt{501^2 - 400}\}.$$

We find  $\sqrt{501^2 - 400} \approx 500,6$  which implies  $\lambda_{1,2} > 0$  and hence that  $D^2f((1, 1))$  is positive definite.

**Ex 2**

(4 Points)

Let  $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be symmetric,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  surjective, and  $m \leq n$ . In addition, there is an  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$(v, Hv)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \geq \alpha \|v\|^2, \quad \forall v \in \text{Kern } C$$

Show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$$

is invertible.

*Hint:* Note the surjectivity of  $C$  implies that  $\text{Kern}(C^T) = \{0\}$ .

Solution 2:

We know that for linear functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  injectivity, surjectivity and bijectivity are all equivalent.

It therefore suffices to show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

which then implies invertibility.

So let us assume that we are given  $\begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{1}$$

The second row in (1) says  $Cv = 0$  which implies  $v \in \text{Kern}(C)$ . If we multiply the first row by  $v$  from the left we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Hv + C^T w = 0 \quad (\in \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \Leftrightarrow & (v, Hv) + \underbrace{(v, C^T w)}_{=(Cv, w)=0} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & 0 = (v, Hv) \geq \underbrace{\alpha}_{>0} \|v\|^2 \Rightarrow \boxed{v = 0}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $v$  has to be the 0-vector. Due to  $\text{Im}(C)^\perp = \text{Ker}(C^T)$  the surjectivity of the linear function induced by  $C$  implies the injectivity of the linear function induced by  $C^T$ . However, as  $C^T$  induces an injective function we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{Hv}_{=0(v=0)} + C^T w = C^T w = 0 \\ & C \text{ injective} \Rightarrow \boxed{w = 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\begin{bmatrix} H & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is invertible.

**Ex 3 Data Science, Programming**

(6 Points)

- (i) Implement the Rosenbrock function (see Ex. 1), its exact gradient and its exact Hessian w.r.t to the standard Euclidean scalar product.
- (ii) Solve the optimization problem  $\min f(x)$  using the function `minimize` of the module `scipy.optimize` with starting values  $x_0 = (0, 0)$  and  $x_0 = (0.99, 0.99)$ .
- without any other parameters except from  $f$  and  $x_0$ .
  - using of the parameter `jac`.
  - using of the parameters `jac` and `hess` and `method="Newton-CG"`.

Print the solution of `minimize` into your iPython-Notebook.

**Ex 4 Mathematics**

(4 Points)

A mapping  $f \in C^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex, is called strongly convex, if

$$(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y) \geq m\|x - y\|^2 \quad (2)$$

for some  $m > 0$  and all  $x, y \in S$ . Show that  $f$  is strongly convex if, and only if

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + (\nabla f(x), y - x) + \frac{m}{2}\|x - y\|^2 \quad (3)$$

for  $m > 0$  and all  $x, y \in S$ .

Solution 4:

**(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)**

In order to obtain an assertion about differences of function values from an assertion about differences of slopes we need to integrate. We choose  $x, y \in S$  and define the suitable antiderivative  $\phi : t \mapsto f(z_t)$ ,  $z_t := y + t(x - y)$ , meaning the chain rule yields

$$\phi'(t) = (\nabla f(z_t), x - y).$$

The derivative of  $\phi$  allows to exploit (1) for all values  $t \in (0, 1)$

$$\phi'(t) - \phi'(0) = (\nabla f(z_t) - \nabla f(y), x - y) = \frac{1}{t}(\nabla f(z_t) - \nabla f(y), z_t - y) \geq \frac{1}{t}m\|z_t - y\|^2 = tm\|x - y\|^2$$

On top, integrating the differences of slopes for all values  $t \in (0, 1)$  exactly yields (2)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f(y) - (\nabla f(y), x - y) &= \int_0^1 (\nabla f(z_t) - \nabla f(y), x - y) dt \\ &= \phi(1) - \phi(0) - \phi'(0) = \int_0^1 \phi'(t) - \phi'(0) dt \\ &\geq m\|x - y\|^2 \int_0^1 t dt = \frac{m}{2}\|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

**(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)**

First of all we can rewrite (2) as  $(2) \Leftrightarrow (\nabla f(x), x - y) \geq f(x) - f(y) + \frac{m}{2}\|x - y\|^2$ .

Interchanging the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  the yields

$$(2) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{I) } (\nabla f(x), x - y) \geq f(x) - f(y) + \frac{m}{2}\|x - y\|^2 \\ \text{II) } (\nabla f(y), y - x) \geq f(y) - f(x) + \frac{m}{2}\|y - x\|^2 \end{cases}$$

From adding I) and II) we finally obtain

$$(\nabla f(x), x - y) + (\nabla f(y), y - x) = (\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y) \geq m\|x - y\|^2.$$