# MATH 240: Discrete Structures 1 Assignment #1

Monorina Mukhopadhyay (ID: 260364335)

September 28, 2017

### Problem 1

a Truth Table

			$\neg P \lor Q$	$P \implies Q$	$(P \implies Q) \iff (\neg P \lor Q)$
Т	Т	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	$\mid  ext{T} \mid$
F	T	$\mathbf{T}$	Т	$\mid$ T	$\mid$ T
F	F	T	T	$\mid$ T	$\mid$ T

This is a tautology

b Truth Table This is a tautology

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \land \neg Q$	$\neg(\neg P \land \neg Q)$	$P \lor Q$	$\neg(\neg P \land \neg Q) \iff P \lor Q$
Т	T	F	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mid \mathrm{T} \mid$	F	T	$\mid T \mid$	T
F	T	${ m T}$	F	$\mathbf{F}$	T	$\mid T \mid$	T
F	F	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	F	F	T

c Truth Table This is a tautology

Р	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$P \iff Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$\neg P \wedge \neg Q$	$((P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q))$	$(P \iff Q) \iff ((P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q))$
T	Т	F	F	Τ	Т	F	T	T
T	F	F	${ m T}$	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	F	$\Gamma$
F	F	T	Τ	T	F	${ m T}$	${f T}$	$\Gamma$

d This is a tautology

X	Y	$X \implies Y$	$\neg(X \implies Y)$	$Y \implies X$	$(\neg(X \implies Y)) \implies (Y \implies X)$
Т	Т	Τ	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	Т	T	F	F	T
F	F	${ m T}$	F	Т	T

e This is a contingency

Р	Q	R	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \land \neg Q$	$R \implies Q$	$(\neg P \land \neg Q) \implies (R \implies Q)$
Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	T	Т
T	Т	F	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	Τ	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	Τ	F	T	T
F	Т	Т	T	F	F	Т	T
F	T	F	$\mid T \mid$	F	F	${ m T}$	T
F	F	Т	Т	Τ	$\mid$ T	F	F
F	F	F	Т	Τ	$\mid$ T	T	T

#### Problem 2

- a The left side of the equation is:  $(X \Longrightarrow Y) \lor (X \Longrightarrow Z)$   $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y) \lor (\neg X \lor Z)$  Condition Identity  $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y \lor \neg X) \lor (\neg X \lor Y \lor Z)$  Associative Property  $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y) \lor (\neg X \lor Y \lor Z)$  Idempotent Identity  $\equiv (\neg X \neg X \lor Y \lor Y) \lor (Z)$  Associative Identity  $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y) \lor (Z)$  Idempotent Identity  $\equiv \neg X \lor (Y \lor Z)$  Associative Identity  $\equiv X \Longrightarrow (Y \lor Z)$  Conditional Identity
- b Starting from the left side again:  $(P \iff Q) \equiv (P \implies Q) \land (Q \implies P)$  Biconditional Identity  $\equiv (P \implies Q) \land (\neg Q \lor P)$  Conditional Identity  $\equiv (P \implies Q) \land (\neg (\neg P) \lor \neg Q)$  Commutative and Double Negative Properties  $\equiv (P \implies Q) \land (\neg P \implies \neg Q)$  Conditional Identity

#### Problem 3

- a In this case, let us assume P = False. Therefore, as shown in class,  $(P \Rightarrow Q)$  is always true. Similarly,  $P \implies (Q \Rightarrow R)$  is always True. However, we can find R such that  $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R$  is not true, that is, when R is False. So, for P = False, R = False, the two expressions are not the same and therefore the expressions are logically different.
- b To show that the two expressions:  $(X \wedge Y) \vee Z$  and  $X \wedge (Y \vee Z)$  are not equivalent, let X = False. Now, if Z is picked as True,  $(X \wedge Y)$  is False, and  $(X \wedge Y) \vee Z$  is True. On the other hand,  $X \wedge (Y \vee Z)$  is False since X is False. Therefore, the two sides are not equivalent here.

#### Problem 4

- $a D \Rightarrow H$
- b  $\neg P \wedge \neg J$
- $c \ \forall x(K(x) \land (x=k)) \implies S$
- $d B \Rightarrow Q$
- e A
- f (If you jump over buildings, then you must be a superhero)  $B \Rightarrow H$
- g (Rephrasing: if x can marry my daughter, then x must be a knight)  $\forall x M(x,d) \Rightarrow K(x)$
- h (There exists at least four distinct  $x_i$ 's, such that  $P(x_i)$  holds and the  $x_i$ 's are all distinct). This is written as:  $(\exists x_1 P(x_1)) \wedge (\exists x_2 P(x_2)) \wedge (\exists x_3 P(x_3)) \wedge (\exists x_4 P(x_4)) \wedge (x_1 \neq x_2 \neq x_3 \neq x_4)$
- i For this, let x be the squart root of 5. For a number to be irrational, it cannot be written as a fraction of two integers, ie, there are not both numbers m, n such that  $x \neq \frac{m}{n}$ This can be written as:  $\forall x(x \cdot x = 5) \implies \neg [\exists m I(m) \land \exists n I(n) \land (m = n \cdot x)]$

#### Problem 5

- a Converse: If Susan needs to take Math 240, then she is a sophomore. Contrapositive: If Susan is not a sophomore, then she does not need to take Math 240
- b Converse: If one keeps trying, one will succeed.

  Contrapositive: If one does not keep trying, then one will not succeed
- c Converse: If you've paid your library fines, then you can graduate Contrapositive: If you haven't paid your library fines, then you can't graduate.

#### Problem 6

```
Knights only tell the truth, and knaves only lie.
Let, P: A is a knight
Q: B is a knight
R: C is a knight
A says: B is a knave
                                (1)
B says: A and C are the same
Formulating (1) into a logical expression: P \iff \neg Q
(If and only if A is a knight, then A is telling the truth and B is a knave)
Q \iff ((P \land R) \lor (\neg P \land \neg R))
                                             (4)
If B is a knight, then either A and C are both knights, or A and C are both knaves
From (3): P \iff \neg Q \equiv (P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q)
                                                                (From the biconditional identity)
This means either P or Q is true, but not both.
From (4):
Q \iff ((P \land R) \lor (\neg P \land \neg R))
\equiv Q \wedge ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg Q \wedge \neg ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R))
                                                                                    (Using the Biconditional identity)
\equiv Q \wedge ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg Q \wedge ((P \vee R) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg R))
                                                                                   (By DeMorgan's laws)
\equiv Q \wedge ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg Q \wedge ((\neg P \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge \neg R))
                                                                                   (By distributive and complement and identity laws)
\equiv P \wedge ((Q \wedge R) \vee (\neg Q \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg P \wedge ((Q \wedge \neg R) \vee (\neg Q \wedge R))
                                                                                   (By the distributive and associative laws)
This means, that if P is true, then either Q and R are both true or Q and R are both false \Rightarrow B and C are the same type if
A is a knight \Rightarrow From (1) B must be a knave and so C must be a knave
Alternatively, if P is false, then either Q is true or R is true but not both \Rightarrow B and C are different types if A is a knave \Rightarrow
Q is true (from (3)) i.e., B is a knight and therefore, C must be a knave.
So, in either case, C is a knave
```

#### Problem 7

```
A \forall x (P(x) \land ((\exists y P(y)) \land (\exists y R(x,y)) \implies (\exists y P(y))))
    \equiv \forall x (P(x) \land ((\exists y \neg P(y)) \lor \forall y \neg R(x, y) \lor \exists y P(y)))
                                                                                      (By the deMorgan's law)
    \equiv \forall x (P(x) \land T)
                                   (By commutative and complement and dominant property)
    \equiv \forall x (P(x))
                              (By the dominant property again)
    Negating the statement gives: \neg(\forall x P(x)) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x) \text{ Match } (4)
B \forall x \forall y (\neg R(x,y) \vee \neg P(x))
    The negation is \exists x \exists y \neg (\neg R(x,y) \lor \neg P(x)) \equiv \exists x \exists y (R(x,y) \land P(x)), using first deMorgan's law, and then double negative
    rule.
    Match: (3)
C \ \forall x (P(x) \iff \exists y R(x,y)) \equiv \forall x ((P(x) \land \exists y R(x,y)) \lor (\neg P(x) \land \forall y \neg R(x,y)))
                                                                                                                             (From the biconditional rule).
    The negation is \exists x \neg ((P(x) \land \exists y R(x,y)) \lor (\neg P(x) \land \forall y \neg R(x,y)))
    \equiv \exists x (\neg (P(x) \land \exists y R(x,y)) \land \neg ((\neg P(x) \land \forall y \neg R(x,y))))
                                                                                              (By deMorgan's Thm.)
    \exists x ((\neg P(x) \lor \forall y \neg R(x,y)) \land (P(x) \lor \exists y R(x,y)))
                                                                                (By deMorgan's Thm.)
    (P(x) \vee \exists y R(x,y)) \wedge (\neg P(x) \vee \forall y \neg R(x,y))
                                                                            (By commutative property)
    Match(2)
D \forall x \forall y (P(x) \land R(x,y) \Rightarrow R(y,x))
    \equiv \forall x \forall y ((P(x) \land R(x,y)) \Rightarrow R(y,x))
                                                                  (By order of precedence of operations)
```

```
The negation is: \exists x \exists y \neg (\neg (P(x) \land R(x,y)) \lor R(y,x)) (By Conditional property) \equiv \exists x \exists y (P(x) \land R(x,y) \land \neg R(y,x)) (By deMorgan's thm) Match (1)
```

## Problem 8

```
From the truth table, the equation P * Q is the same as \neg (P \land Q) First, I will try to reduce the given expression
\neg(X \Rightarrow (\neg X \vee \neg Y)) into a simpler form.
\neg(X \Rightarrow (\neg X \lor \neg Y))
\equiv \neg(\neg X \lor (\neg X \lor \neg Y))
                                    By the conditional identity
\equiv \neg(\neg X \lor \neg Y)
                          By the idempotent identity
\equiv (X \wedge Y)
                    By DeMorgan's law
Therefore, we need to write X \wedge Y in terms of P * Q \equiv \neg (P \wedge Q)
X \wedge Y \equiv \neg(\neg(X \wedge Y))
                                   (Property of negation)
\equiv \neg((\neg(X \land Y)) \land (\neg(X \land Y)))
                                              (Idempotent property)
\equiv (\neg(X \land Y)) * (\neg(X \land Y))
                                          (From the definition of *)
\equiv (X * Y) * (X * Y) (From the definition of *)
```