MATH 240: Discrete Structures 1 Assignment #1

Monorina Mukhopadhyay (ID: 260364335)

September 27, 2017

Problem 1

a Truth Table

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg P \lor Q$	$P \implies Q$	$(P \implies Q) \iff (\neg P \lor Q)$
T	Т	F	T	T	T
T	F	\mathbf{F}	F	F	$\mid ext{T} \mid$
F	Γ	${ m T}$	Т	\mid T	\mid T
F	F	Τ	Т	T	T

This is a tautology

b Truth Table This is a tautology

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \land \neg Q$	$\neg(\neg P \land \neg Q)$	$P \lor Q$	$\neg(\neg P \land \neg Q) \iff P \lor Q$
T	T	F	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	\mathbf{F}	$\mid \mathrm{T} \mid$	F	T	$\mid T \mid$	T
F	T	${ m T}$	F	\mathbf{F}	T	$\mid T \mid$	T
F	F	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	F	F	T

c Truth Table This is a tautology

Р	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$P \iff Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$\neg P \land \neg Q$	$((P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q))$	$(P \iff Q) \iff ((P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q))$
T	Т	F	F	Τ	Т	F	T	T
T	F	F	Τ	F	F	F	F	${ m T}$
F	T	T	F	F	F	F	F	${ m T}$
F	F	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	F	Т	${f T}$	T

d This is a tautology

X	Y	$X \implies Y$	$\neg(X \implies Y)$	$Y \implies X$	$(\neg(X \Longrightarrow Y)) \Longrightarrow (Y \Longrightarrow X)$
Т	Т	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	T
F	F	Т	F	\mid T	T

e This is a contingency

Р	Q	R	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \land \neg Q$	$R \implies Q$	$(\neg P \land \neg Q) \implies (R \implies Q)$
Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	Т	T
T	Т	F	F	F	F	Т	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	\mathbf{T}	F	T	T
F	Т	T	T	F	F	Т	T
F	Т	F	T	F	F	Т	T
F	F	T	Т	T	\mid T	F	F
F	F	F	Т	T	\mid T	T	T

Problem 2

 $\equiv X \implies (Y \lor Z)$

a The left side of the equation is: $(X \Longrightarrow Y) \lor (X \Longrightarrow Z)$ $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y) \lor (\neg X \lor Z)$ Condition Identity $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y \lor \neg X) \lor (\neg X \lor Y \lor Z)$ Associative Property $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y) \lor (\neg X \lor Y \lor Z)$ Idempotent Identity $\equiv (\neg X \neg X \lor Y \lor Y) \lor (Z)$ Associative Identity $\equiv (\neg X \lor Y) \lor (Z)$ Idempotent Identity $\equiv \neg X \lor (Y \lor Z)$ Associative Identity

Conditional Identity

b Starting from the left side again: $(P \iff Q) \equiv (P \implies Q) \land (Q \implies P)$ Biconditional Identity $\equiv (P \implies Q) \land (\neg Q \lor P)$ Conditional Identity $\equiv (P \implies Q) \land (\neg (\neg P) \lor \neg Q)$ Commutative and Double Negative Properties $\equiv (P \implies Q) \land (\neg P \implies \neg Q)$ Conditional Identity

Problem 3

- a In this case, let us assume P = False. Therefore, as shown in class, $(P \Rightarrow Q)$ is always true. Similarly, $P \implies (Q \Rightarrow R)$ is always True. However, we can find R such that $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R$ is not true, that is, when R is False. So, for P = False, R = False, the two expressions are not the same and therefore the expressions are logically different.
- b To show that the two expressions: $(X \wedge Y) \vee Z$ and $X \wedge (Y \vee Z)$ are not equivalent, let X = False. Now, if Z is picked as True, $(X \wedge Y)$ is False, and $(X \wedge Y) \vee Z$ is True. On the other hand, $X \wedge (Y \vee Z)$ is False since X is False. Therefore, the two sides are not equivalent here.

Problem 4

- a $D \Rightarrow H$
- b $\neg P \wedge \neg J$
- $c \ \forall x(K(x) \land (x=k)) \implies S$
- $d B \Rightarrow Q$
- e A
- f (If you jump over buildings, then you must be a superhero) $B \Rightarrow H$
- g (Rephrasing: if x can marry my daughter, then x must be a knight) $\forall x M(x,d) \Rightarrow K(x)$
- h (There exists at least four distinct x_i 's, such that $P(x_i)$ holds and the x_i 's are all distinct). This is written as: $(\exists x_1 P(x_1)) \wedge (\exists x_2 P(x_2)) \wedge (\exists x_3 P(x_3)) \wedge (\exists x_4 P(x_4)) \wedge (x_1 \neq x_2 \neq x_3 \neq x_4)$
- i For this, let x be the squart root of 5. For a number to be irrational, it cannot be written as a fraction of two integers, ie, there are not both numbers m, n such that $x \neq fracmn$

This can be written as: $\forall x(x \cdot x = 5) \implies \neg [\exists m I(m) \land \exists n I(n) \land (m = n \cdot x)]$

Problem 5

- a Converse: If Susan needs to take Math 240, then she is a sophomore. Contrapositive: If Susan is not a sophomore, then she does not need to take Math 240
- b Converse: If one keeps trying, one will succeed.

 Contrapositive: If one does not keep trying, then one will not succeed
- c Converse: If you've paid your library fines, then you can graduate Contrapositive: If you haven't paid your library fines, then you can't graduate.

Problem 6

```
Knights only tell the truth, and knaves only lie.
Let, P: A is a knight
Q: B is a knight
R: C is a knight
A says: B is a knave
                                (1)
B says: A and C are the same
Formulating (1) into a logical expression: P \iff \neg Q
(If and only if A is a knight, then A is telling the truth and B is a knave)
Q \iff ((P \land R) \lor (\neg P \land \neg R))
                                             (4)
If B is a knight, then either A and C are both knights, or A and C are both knaves
From (3): P \iff \neg Q \equiv (P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q)
                                                                (From the biconditional identity)
This means either P or Q is true, but not both.
From (4):
Q \iff ((P \land R) \lor (\neg P \land \neg R))
\equiv Q \wedge ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg Q \wedge \neg ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R))
                                                                                    (Using the Biconditional identity)
\equiv Q \wedge ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg Q \wedge ((P \vee R) \wedge (\neg P \vee \neg R))
                                                                                   (By DeMorgan's laws)
\equiv Q \wedge ((P \wedge R) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg Q \wedge ((\neg P \wedge R) \vee (P \wedge \neg R))
                                                                                   (By distributive and complement and identity laws)
\equiv P \wedge ((Q \wedge R) \vee (\neg Q \wedge \neg R)) \vee \neg P \wedge ((Q \wedge \neg R) \vee (\neg Q \wedge R))
                                                                                   (By the distributive and associative laws)
This means, that if P is true, then either Q and R are both true or Q and R are both false \Rightarrow B and C are the same type if
A is a knight \Rightarrow From (1) B must be a knave and so C must be a knave
Alternatively, if P is false, then either Q is true or R is true but not both \Rightarrow B and C are different types if A is a knave \Rightarrow
Q is true (from (3)) i.e., B is a knight and therefore, C must be a knave.
So, in either case, C is a knave
```

Problem 7

```
A \forall x (P(x) \land ((\exists y P(y)) \land (\exists y R(x,y)) \implies (\exists y P(y))))
    \equiv \forall x (P(x) \land ((\exists y \neg P(y)) \lor \forall y \neg R(x, y) \lor \exists y P(y)))
                                                                                      (By the deMorgan's law)
    \equiv \forall x (P(x) \land T)
                                   (By commutative and complement and dominant property)
    \equiv \forall x (P(x))
                              (By the dominant property again)
    Negating the statement gives: \neg(\forall x P(x)) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x) \text{ Match } (4)
B \forall x \forall y (\neg R(x,y) \vee \neg P(x))
    The negation is \exists x \exists y \neg (\neg R(x,y) \lor \neg P(x)) \equiv \exists x \exists y (R(x,y) \land P(x)), using first deMorgan's law, and then double negative
    rule.
    Match: (3)
C \ \forall x (P(x) \iff \exists y R(x,y)) \equiv \forall x ((P(x) \land \exists y R(x,y)) \lor (\neg P(x) \land \forall y \neg R(x,y)))
                                                                                                                             (From the biconditional rule).
    The negation is \exists x \neg ((P(x) \land \exists y R(x,y)) \lor (\neg P(x) \land \forall y \neg R(x,y)))
    \equiv \exists x (\neg (P(x) \land \exists y R(x,y)) \land \neg ((\neg P(x) \land \forall y \neg R(x,y))))
                                                                                              (By deMorgan's Thm.)
    \exists x ((\neg P(x) \lor \forall y \neg R(x,y)) \land (P(x) \lor \exists y R(x,y)))
                                                                                (By deMorgan's Thm.)
    (P(x) \vee \exists y R(x,y)) \wedge (\neg P(x) \vee \forall y \neg R(x,y))
                                                                            (By commutative property)
    Match(2)
D \forall x \forall y (P(x) \land R(x,y) \Rightarrow R(y,x))
    \equiv \forall x \forall y ((P(x) \land R(x,y)) \Rightarrow R(y,x))
                                                                  (By order of precedence of operations)
```

```
The negation is: \exists x \exists y \neg (\neg (P(x) \land R(x,y)) \lor R(y,x)) (By Conditional property) \equiv \exists x \exists y (P(x) \land R(x,y) \land \neg R(y,x)) (By deMorgan's thm) Match (1)
```

Problem 8

```
From the truth table, the equation P * Q is the same as \neg (P \land Q) First, I will try to reduce the given expression
\neg(X \Rightarrow (\neg X \vee \neg Y)) into a simpler form.
\neg(X \Rightarrow (\neg X \lor \neg Y))
\equiv \neg(\neg X \lor (\neg X \lor \neg Y))
                                    By the conditional identity
\equiv \neg(\neg X \lor \neg Y)
                          By the idempotent identity
\equiv (X \wedge Y)
                    By DeMorgan's law
Therefore, we need to write X \wedge Y in terms of P * Q \equiv \neg (P \wedge Q)
X \wedge Y \equiv \neg(\neg(X \wedge Y))
                                   (Property of negation)
\equiv \neg((\neg(X \land Y)) \land (\neg(X \land Y)))
                                              (Idempotent property)
\equiv (\neg(X \land Y)) * (\neg(X \land Y))
                                          (From the definition of *)
\equiv (X * Y) * (X * Y) (From the definition of *)
```