## 1 Basic Statistical Concepts

Consider a poll with two answers, A and B, regarding political parties. Let:

- N: total number of voters,
- M: number of voters supporting A,
- n: size of the poll,
- $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ : responses,
- Each  $X_i \in \{0,1\}$  if  $X_i = 1$  supports A.

Additionally, assume:

- We select n individuals from N at random and record their truthful reply,
- Every person asked replies (no selection bias),
- People can be asked repeatedly.

The aim of the poll is to estimate the fraction of party A supporters, say  $\theta$ .

**Definition 1** (Estimator). An intuitive estimator is:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

This estimator will be analyzed in the following sections to determine whether it is unbiased, consistent, and optimal.

## 2 Statistical Models

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space, i.e., a set X with a sigma-algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ , in which our statistical observations take values.

**Definition 2** (Statistical Model). Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be some sample space. We call the parameter space  $\Theta$ . A statistical model is a family of probability measures  $\{P_{\theta}\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ .

**Remark 1.** Often  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a product space. For example, if  $X_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , each  $P_{\theta}$  is a product distribution, i.e.,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed (iid). Then we say  $\{P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$  is an iid statistical model.

**Remark 2.** If every person could only be asked once, we would have  $P_{\theta}$  as a hypergeometric distribution, which converges to the Bernoulli model as  $N, M \to \infty$ .

#### 3 Parameter Estimation

Assume  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_{\theta})$  is the setting of parametric statistics. Assume  $\Theta$  is measurable.

**Definition 3** (Estimator). An estimator for  $\theta$  is any measurable function  $\hat{\theta}: X \to \Theta$ , i.e., any function that, based on some data X, outputs a guess  $\hat{\theta}(X)$  for  $\theta$ .

#### 4 Unbiased and Consistent Estimators

#### 4.1 Unbiased Estimator

**Definition 4** (Unbiased Estimator). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_{\theta})$  be a measurable space. An estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is called unbiased if:

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] = \theta \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{P_{\theta}}$  denotes expectation under the law  $P_{\theta}$ . In more explicit terms, unbiasedness means no systematic error.

*Proof.* For the Bernoulli model, we compute:

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_n] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \theta = \theta$$

Thus,  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ .

## 4.2 Consistent Estimator

**Definition 5** (Consistent Estimator). Let  $\{P_{\theta,n} : n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of statistical models on the same parameter space. Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be a sequence of estimators. The sequence  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is called consistent if for every  $\theta \in \Theta$ :

$$\hat{\theta}_n \to \theta$$
 in probability as  $n \to \infty$ 

or equivalently:

$$P_{\theta} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\theta}_n = \theta \right) = 1$$

*Proof.* For the Bernoulli model:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

We know  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}_n] = \theta$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{n}$ . Using Chebyshev's inequality, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$P\left(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \epsilon\right) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n\epsilon^2}$$

As  $n \to \infty$ , this probability tends to 0, proving that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent.

# 5 Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

**Definition 6** (Maximum Likelihood Estimator). The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is the parameter that maximizes the likelihood function:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{\theta}(X_i)$$

#### 5.1 Proof: MLE for Bernoulli Model

*Proof.* For the Bernoulli model,  $P_{\theta}(X_i) = \theta^{X_i}(1-\theta)^{1-X_i}$ , so the likelihood function is:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1 - X_i} = \theta^{\sum X_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum X_i}$$

Taking the logarithm:

$$\log L(\theta) = \sum X_i \log \theta + (n - \sum X_i) \log(1 - \theta)$$

Setting the derivative with respect to  $\theta$  equal to 0 gives:

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\log L(\theta) = \frac{\sum X_i}{\theta} - \frac{n - \sum X_i}{1 - \theta} = 0$$

Solving for  $\theta$ , we get:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

which is the MLE.

# 6 Bayesian Methods

**Definition 7** (Posterior Distribution in Bayesian Inference). In Bayesian statistics, a key element is the prior distribution, denoted by  $\pi(\theta)$ , which reflects our beliefs about the parameter  $\theta$  before observing data. The posterior distribution is given by:

$$\pi(\theta|X) \propto P_{\theta}(X)\pi(\theta)$$

#### 6.1 Example: Posterior for Bernoulli Model

**Example 1.** Suppose we have a Beta prior for  $\theta$ ,  $\pi(\theta) \sim Beta(\alpha, \beta)$ , and observe  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  as Bernoulli trials. The likelihood is:

$$P(X|\theta) = \theta^{\sum X_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum X_i}$$

The posterior is proportional to the product of the prior and likelihood:

$$\pi(\theta|X) \propto \theta^{\sum X_i + \alpha - 1} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum X_i + \beta - 1}$$

Thus, 
$$\pi(\theta|X) \sim Beta(\sum X_i + \alpha, n - \sum X_i + \beta)$$
.

# Notes on Bayes and Posterior

 $\mathbf{Posterior} = \mathrm{prior} \times \mathrm{likelihood}$ 

**Normalizing Constant** 

$$\int Posterior \, dx = 1$$

So,

$$\int Posterior \, dx = 1$$

 $\mathbf{Prior} \to \mathbf{Posterior}$  via Bayes.

Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  and suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P_\theta)$  is a dominated statistical model with densities  $p(x|\theta)$ . Assume

$$x, \theta \in \Omega \implies p(x|\theta)$$

is jointly measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_0 \times \mathcal{F}_1$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a prior distribution on  $\Omega$  with density  $\pi(\theta)$  with respect to measure  $\nu$ . Define posterior density

$$\pi(\theta|x) = \frac{p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int p(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) d\theta}$$

The corresponding probability measure is called the **posterior distribution**.

Think of  $p(x|\theta)$  as a Lebesgue measure. Let  $\nu$  be a Lebesgue density.

**Exception**: If  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$ , then we take  $\nu$  to be the counting measure.

From the posterior, we can derive several estimators. For example,  $E[\theta|X=x]$  is convex:

$$\int \theta p(x|\theta) d\theta = E[\theta|X = x]$$

**Example:** Binomial model  $X|\theta \sim \text{Binomial}(n,\theta)$  with prior  $\theta \sim \text{Unif}(0,1)$ .

For a uniform prior, we know the MAP and MLE.

Posterior mean:

$$\theta_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{k+1}{n+2}$$

In the case of coin flips,  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ , where k is the number of heads, we conclude  $\theta | X \sim \text{Beta}(k+1, n-k+1)$ .

$$\theta | X \sim \text{Beta}(k+1, n-k+1)$$

Conjugate Bayes Models: Let  $P_{\theta} \in \mathcal{P}$  be a statistical model. Then some family of priors is called conjugate if

$$P_{\theta} \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \theta | X \in \mathcal{P}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is the sample space.

$$\theta | X \sim \text{Beta}(a, b), \quad X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$$

## Loss Functions and Risk

**Loss Function**: A function  $L: \Theta \times \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$  is a basis function if for every  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $L(\theta, \cdot)$  is measurable.

Given an estimator  $\delta$ , the expected loss is

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E_{\theta}[L(\theta, \delta)]$$

Mean Squared Error (MSE):

$$L(x,y) = (x-y)^2 \Rightarrow R(\theta,\delta) = E_{\theta}[(\delta-\theta)^2]$$

**Bias-Variance Decomposition:** 

$$L(x,y) = (x-y)^2$$

Proof: Let  $\delta(x) = E[\theta|X = x]$ .

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E_{\theta}[(\delta(X) - \theta)^2]$$

Bias-variance decomposition:

$$E[(\delta(X) - \theta)^2] = Var(\delta(X)) + (Bias)^2$$

# Minimax and Bayes Risk

**Minimax Risk**: Given an estimator  $\delta$  in a model  $P_{\theta} \in \mathcal{P}$ , the maximal risk of it is

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\theta, \delta)$$

The minimax of a model  $P_{\theta}$  is given as  $\inf_{\delta} \sup_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$ , where the inf is over all estimators. An estimator is called minimax if

$$\sup_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta) = \inf_{\delta} \sup_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$$

**Bayes Risk**: Given an estimator  $\delta$  and prior  $\pi$  on  $\Theta$ , the Bayes risk of  $\delta$  is defined as

$$R_{\pi}(\delta) = \int R(\theta, \delta) d\pi(\theta)$$

The posterior risk of an estimator  $\delta(X)$  is defined by

$$R(\delta|X=x) = E[L(\theta,\delta(X))|X=x]$$

Suppose  $\delta^*$  is an estimator that minimizes the posterior risk,  $\delta^*(x) = E[\theta|X=x]$ . Then it also minimizes the Bayes risk.

If  $L(x,y)=(x-y)^2$ , the Bayes optimal estimator  $\delta(x)$  is the posterior mean.

We want to construct C(x) s.t.  $P_{\theta}(\theta \in C(x)) \ge 1 - \alpha, \forall \theta \in [0, 1]$ 

$$x^{(1)}$$
 ( )  $C(x^{(1)})$ 

$$x^{(k)} \qquad (\quad) \quad C(x^{(k)})$$

 $\theta \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$  contains true param 3/4 times

# Example cont.:

Best guess:  $C(x) = \left[\frac{\bar{X}_n - a}{n}, \frac{\bar{X}_n + b}{n}\right]$ 

$$P_{\theta}^{n}(\theta \in C(x)) = P_{\theta}^{n} \left( \frac{\bar{X}_{n}}{n} - \theta \in [-b, a] \right)$$
$$= F_{\theta}^{n}(a) - F_{\theta}^{n}(-b) + \rho_{n}$$

where  $F_{\theta}^{n}: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1], F_{\theta}^{n}(t) = P_{\theta}^{n}\left(\frac{\bar{X}_{n}-\theta}{n} \leq t\right)$  is the CDF of  $\frac{\bar{X}_{n}-\theta}{n}$  under  $P_{\theta}$  and  $\rho_{n} = P_{\theta}^{n}\left(\frac{\bar{X}_{n}}{n} - \theta = -b\right)$ .

## How to choose a and b:

CDF CDF 
$$\leftarrow$$
  $-b$   $a \rightarrow t$ 

We'd like to choose  $a = (F_{\theta}^n)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$  and  $b = (F_{\theta}^n)^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$ , where

$$(F_{\theta}^n)^{-1}(p) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F_{\theta}^n(t) \ge x\}$$
 (Quantile Function)

Let's use a normal approximation, for  $\sigma^2 = \theta(1 - \theta)$ :

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n}{n} - \theta\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{X_k - \theta}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad [CLT]$$

 $X_k \sim \mathrm{Ber}(\theta)$ 

Then it follows that

$$F_{\theta}^{n}(a_{n}) = P_{\theta}^{n} \left( \frac{\bar{X}_{n}}{n} - \theta \leq a_{n} \right)$$

$$= P_{\theta}^{n} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \left( \frac{\bar{X}_{n} - \theta}{n} \right) \leq \sqrt{n} a_{n} \right)$$

$$= \Phi \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} a_{n} \right),$$

where the convergence is valid if  $a_n := \text{const.} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

Now, let us choose

$$a := \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

where  $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \Phi^{-1}\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$  is the  $1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$  quantile of  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and b=a. Then

$$C(x) = \left[ \frac{\bar{X}_n}{n} - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \frac{\bar{X}_n}{n} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right]$$

It follows

$$P_{\theta}^{n}(\theta \in C(x)) = F_{\theta}^{n}(a_{n}) - F_{\theta}^{n}(b) + \rho_{n} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} + o(1) + o(1)$$
$$= 1 - \alpha + o(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

⇒ Asymptotically valid confidence set

One more problem:  $\sigma$  depends on  $\theta$ 

- Upper bound:  $\sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \theta(1-\theta) = \frac{1}{4}$  (maximized at  $\theta = \frac{1}{2})$
- Empirical Variance:  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)^2$

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \stackrel{P_{\theta}}{\to} 1$$

# Slutsky's Theorem:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$
,  $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} \text{const.} \Rightarrow X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} CX$ 

Exercise: Use this to deduce that  $a_n = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  is also valid

#### Remark:

# Hypothesis Testing

**Definition:** Let  $(P_{\theta}: \theta \in \Theta)$  be a statistical model and let  $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$  be a partition. Then:

- A statistical test is a measurable function of the data  $\varphi: (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) \to [0, 1]$
- If  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \varphi(x) \in \{0,1\}$ , then  $\varphi$  is a non-randomized test
- Else  $\varphi$  is randomized

## **Definitions:**

- $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  is called the null hypothesis
- $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$  is called the alternative hypothesis
- The map  $\theta \to \beta_{\varphi}(\theta) = P_{\theta}[\varphi = 1]$  is called the power function of a test  $\varphi$

1 
$$\beta_{\varphi}(\theta)$$
 0  $\Theta_0$   $\Theta_1$   $\Theta$ 

- For  $\theta \in \Theta_0$ ,  $\beta_{\varphi}(\theta)$  is the type-I-error under  $\theta$  [Wrongly rejecting the null]
- For  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ ,  $1 \beta_{\varphi}(\theta)$  is the type-II-error

#### Note:

$$1 - P_{\theta}(\varphi = 1) = P_{\theta}(\varphi = 0) = P_{\theta}$$
 (wrongly accepting the null)

#### Definition: [Level]

$$\varphi: \mathcal{X} \to [0,1]$$
 has level  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  if

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta_{\varphi}(\theta) \le \alpha$$

#### Definition: [Uniformly most powerful test]

Given a level  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ ,  $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to [0,1]$  is called UMP if for every other test  $\varphi'$  of level  $\alpha$  and all  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ ,

$$\beta_{\varphi}(\theta) \ge \beta_{\varphi'}(\theta)$$

$$1 \quad \alpha \quad 0 \qquad \beta_{\varphi}(\theta) \qquad \beta_{\varphi'}(\theta) \qquad \Theta_0 \qquad \Theta_1$$

#### Remark:

In general, it is very hard to find UMP tests. But: for simple hypotheses, i.e.  $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}, \Theta_1 = \{\theta_1\}$ , it is possible. Here, likelihood ratio tests are UMP.

## Theorem: [Neyman-Pearson Lemma]

Let  $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}, \Theta_1 = \{\theta_1\}$  be simple:

1. **Existence:** There exists a test  $\varphi$  and a constant  $k \in [0, \infty)$ , s.t.  $P_{\theta_0}(\varphi = 1) = \alpha$ , of the form

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{p_{\theta_1}(x)}{p_{\theta_0}(x)} > k \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{p_{\theta_1}(x)}{p_{\theta_0}(x)} < k \end{cases} (*)$$

Here  $p_{\theta_1}, p_{\theta_0}$  are densities w.r.t. some dominated measure  $\mu$ , e.g.  $\mu = p_{\theta_0} + p_{\theta_1}$ . Finite  $\Theta$  implies measure is always dominated (likelihood always exists).

- 2. Sufficiency: If  $\varphi$  satisfies  $P_{\theta_0}(\varphi = 1) = \alpha$  and (\*) then  $\varphi$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test.
- 3. Necessity: If  $\varphi_k$  is UMP for level  $\alpha$ , then it must be of the form (\*), and it also satisfies  $P_{\theta_0}(\varphi_k = 1) = \alpha$ , or else it must satisfy  $P_{\theta_1}(\varphi_k = 1) = 1$ .

#### **Proof:**

1. Define  $r(x) = \frac{p_{\theta_1}(x)}{p_{\theta_0}(x)} \in [0, \infty) \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ . Let  $F_0$  be the CDF of r(x) under  $P_{\theta_0}$ .

$$F_0(t) = P_{\theta_0}(r(x) \le t)$$

Then define also  $\alpha(t) = 1 - F_0(t) = P_{\theta_0}(r(x) > t)$ 

•  $\alpha$  is right-continuous:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \alpha(t+\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} P_{\theta_0}(r(x) > t+\epsilon) = P_{\theta_0}(r(x) > t) = \alpha(t)$$

- $\alpha$  is non-increasing
- $\alpha$  has left limits

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \alpha(t - \epsilon) = P_{\theta_0}(r(x) > t - \epsilon) = \alpha(t^-)$$

 $\alpha$  is cadlag:

- Continuous from the right
- Limit from the left

There exists some  $k \in [0, \infty)$  s.t.  $\alpha \leq \alpha(k^-)$  and  $\alpha \geq \alpha(k)$ 

We define our test

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(x) > k \\ \gamma & \text{if } r(x) = k \\ 0 & \text{if } r(x) < k \end{cases} \text{ [reject null w.p. } \gamma \text{]}$$

We set

$$\gamma = \frac{\alpha - \alpha(k)}{\alpha(k^-) - \alpha(k)}$$

The level of  $\varphi$  is

$$E_{\theta_0}[\varphi(x)] = P_{\theta_0}(\varphi(x) = 1)$$

$$= P_{\theta_0}(r(x) > k) + P_{\theta_0}(r(x) = k) \cdot \gamma$$

$$= \alpha(k) + \left[\alpha(k^-) - \alpha(k)\right] \cdot \frac{\alpha - \alpha(k)}{\alpha(k^-) - \alpha(k)} = \alpha$$
(randomizing the test)

## Lecture 6

## Neyman-Pearson

Power of a test:

$$E_{\theta_1}[\varphi] = P_{\theta_1}(\varphi = 1)$$

Likelihood ratio test:

$$\frac{p_{\theta_1}(x)}{p_{\theta_0}(x)} = r(x)$$

LR test

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r(x) > k \\ \gamma & \text{if } r(x) = k \\ 0 & \text{if } r(x) < k \end{cases}$$

for some  $k \in [0, \infty), \gamma \in [0, 1]$ .

Note: LR tests are UMP for simple hypothesis testing:

- Given some  $\alpha$ , if LR satisfies  $E_{\theta_0}[\varphi] = \alpha$ , it represents a Type I error.
- $\varphi$  minimizes the Type II error

$$E_{\theta_1}[\varphi] \ge E_{\theta_1}[\varphi'] \quad \forall \varphi'$$

## Cont. of proof (part of UMP)

Let  $\varphi'$  be another level  $\alpha$  test,  $E_{\theta_0}[\varphi'] \leq \alpha$ .

Goal:  $E_{\theta_1}[\varphi] \geq E_{\theta_1}[\varphi']$ . Let  $\mu$  be the dominating measure.

Consider

$$\int (\varphi(x) - \varphi'(x))(p_{\theta_1}(x) - kp_{\theta_0}(x)) d\mu(x) = 0$$

Claim:  $p \geq 0$ .

Observe:

- If  $p_{\theta_1}(x) kp_{\theta_0}(x) > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{p_{\theta_1}(x)}{p_{\theta_0}(x)} > k \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = 1$ .
- If  $p_{\theta_1}(x) kp_{\theta_0}(x) < 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = 0$ .
- If  $p_{\theta_1}(x) kp_{\theta_0}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{integrand} = 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow p = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int (\varphi - \varphi') p_{\theta_1} d\mu = \int (\varphi - \varphi') p_{\theta_0} d\mu = k \left[ E_{\theta_0}[\varphi] - E_{\theta_0}[\varphi'] \right] \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\theta_1}[\varphi] \geq E_{\theta_1}[\varphi']$$

**Part (3) UMP**  $\Rightarrow$  (LR): Take  $\varphi^*$  a UMP test,  $E_{\theta_0}[\varphi^*] = \alpha$ , and let  $\varphi$  be the LR test with  $E_{\theta_0}[\varphi] = \alpha$  with (\*).

Goal:  $\varphi = \varphi^*$  a.e. except on  $\{r(x) = k\}$ .

Define

$$x^+ = \{x : \varphi(x) > \varphi^*(x)\}$$

$$x^- = \{x : \varphi(x) < \varphi^*(x)\}$$

$$x^0 = \{x : \varphi(x) = \varphi^*(x)\}\$$

$$\tilde{x} = (x^+ \cup x^-) \cap \{x : p_{\theta_1}(x) \neq kp_{\theta_0}(x)\}$$

It suffices to show  $\mu(\tilde{x}) = 0$ .

Like before, we have

$$(\varphi - \varphi^*)(p_{\theta_1} - kp_{\theta_0}) > 0 \text{ on } \tilde{x}$$

Thus if  $\mu(\tilde{x}) > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} (\varphi - \varphi^*) (p_{\theta_1} - k p_{\theta_0}) \, d\mu \ge 0$$
$$\int_{\tilde{z}} (\varphi - \varphi^*) (p_{\theta_1} - k p_{\theta_0}) \, d\mu \ge 0$$

But also

$$E_{\theta_1}[\varphi] - E_{\theta_1}[\varphi^*] > k \left[ E_{\theta_0}[\varphi] - E_{\theta_0}[\varphi^*] \right] \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cannot be } \varphi^* \text{ is UMP.}$$

### Example (Gaussian Location Model)

$$X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0, \quad H_1: \mu = \mu_1, \quad \mu_0 < \mu_1$$

Then:

$$\frac{p_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)}{p_0(X_1, \dots, X_n)} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_1^2 - \mu_0^2) - \frac{2(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} (\mu_1^2 - \mu_0^2) - \frac{2(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \ge K_\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \ge K_\alpha, \text{ some } K_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

To determine  $K_{\alpha}$ :

$$\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} X_i \overset{H_0}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \sigma^2/n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{L} = P_{H_0} \left( \bar{X}_n \ge K_\alpha \right) = 1 - P_{H_0} \left( \bar{X}_n < K_\alpha \right)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (K_\alpha - \mu_0) \right) \quad \text{(CDF for } \mathcal{N}(0, 1))$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{solving for } K_\alpha \text{ gives } K_\alpha = \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi^{-1} (1 - \alpha),$$

$$\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{X}_n \ge \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi^{-1} (1 - \alpha) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

#### Corollary

Consider simple hypothesis testing. Let  $\varphi$  be UMP, for level  $\alpha$ . Then,

$$\alpha = E_{H_0}[\varphi_0] = E_{\theta_0}[\varphi_0] \le E_{\theta_1}[\varphi]$$

Suppose  $E_{\theta_1}[\varphi] = E_{\theta_1}[\varphi_0]$  then  $\varphi_0$  is also UMP,  $\Rightarrow \varphi_0$  is an LR test.

$$\varphi_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{p_{\theta_1}}{p_{\theta_0}} \ge K & \text{a.s., some } K \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{p_{\theta_1}}{p_{\theta_0}} \end{cases}$$

Also since  $\varphi_0 \in \{\varphi, \beta\}$  we conclude that  $p_{\theta_1} = Kp_{\theta_0}$  a.s.

But

$$L = \int p_{\theta_0} d\mu = K \int p_{\theta_0} d\mu = 1 \Rightarrow K = 1$$

#### Correspondence theorem

Tests  $\longleftrightarrow$  Confidence regions C(x)

$$\Pr_{\theta}(\theta \in C(x)) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

If 
$$\Pr_{\theta}(\phi_{\theta}=1)=\alpha$$

**Theorem:** Let  $(P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta)$  be a statistical model,  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ .

(i) Let C = C(X) be a level- $\alpha$  confidence set, then

$$\phi_{\theta_0}(x) = 1 \left\{ \theta_0 \notin C(x) \right\}$$

is a level- $\alpha$  test of  $\theta = \theta_0$  vs.  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ .

(ii) Suppose  $\{\phi_{\theta_0}: \theta_0 \in \Theta\}$  is a family of level- $\alpha$  tests, then

$$C(X) = \{ \theta \in \Theta : \phi_{\theta}(X) = 0 \}$$

is a  $(1 - \alpha)$  confidence set.

#### **Proof:**

- (i)  $\operatorname{Pr}_{\theta_0}(\phi_{\theta_0} = 1) = \operatorname{Pr}_{\theta_0}(\theta_0 \notin C(X)) = \alpha$
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Pr}_{\theta}(\theta \notin C(X)) = \operatorname{Pr}_{\theta}(\theta \notin \{\tilde{\theta} \in \Theta : \phi_{\tilde{\theta}}(X) = 0\}) = \operatorname{Pr}_{\theta}(\phi_{\theta}(X) = 1) \le \alpha$

#### UMPT Tests in Models with Monotone Likelihoods

**Proposition:** Let  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Consider testing  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ , for some  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume there exists some test statistic  $T: X \to \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $h: \mathbb{R} \times \Theta \times \Theta$  such that

$$\frac{P_{\theta}(X)}{P_{\tilde{\theta}}(X)} = h(T(X), \theta, \tilde{\theta})$$

and for all  $\theta \geq \tilde{\theta}, t \mapsto h(t, \theta, \tilde{\theta})$  is monotone increasing.

The simplest model for the relationship between  $Y_i$  and  $X_i$  assumes a linear relationship:

$$Y_i = aX_i + b + \varepsilon_i$$

for i = 1, ..., n, where  $\varepsilon_i$  is centered, i.e.,  $E(\varepsilon) = 0$  and  $Var(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2$ . Suppose  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  with  $\sigma$  known.

The statistical model is given by

$$(\mathbb{R}, B(\mathbb{R}), (\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} N(ax_i + b, \sigma^2))_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2})$$

The likelihood within the statistical model is

$$L((a,b)|y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - ax_i - b)^2\right)$$

The MLE satisfies the optimization problem

$$(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) = \arg\min_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (ax_i + b))^2$$

Provided that  $x_i \neq x_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , the least squares problem has a solution with minimum given by (Gauss, 1801):

$$(\hat{a}, \hat{b}) = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}, \ \bar{y} - \hat{a}\bar{x}\right)$$

**Definition 8** (Linear Model). A random vector  $Y = (Y_1, ..., Y_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$  stems from a linear model if there exists a parameter vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , a matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , and a random vector  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

- 1. A linear model is called regular if
  - (a)  $p \le n$  (parameter size is smaller than sample size),
  - (b) X has full rank.  $rank(X) = p \le n$  (design with full rank)
  - (c)  $E(\varepsilon) = 0$  (noise is controlled)
  - (d) The covariance matrix is positive definate,  $\Sigma = (Cov(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i))_{i,i \in [n]}$
- 2. A linear model is called ordinary if  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 E_n$  (and is usually the noise is Gaussian)

#### Remark 3. 1. There are several synonyms

- (a) Y a dependent variable, response, regressand
- (b) X, a independent variable, predictor, design matrix, regressor
- (c)  $\varepsilon$  Error, perturbation, reression function
- 2. The matrix  $\Sigma$  is symmetric and diagonalizable, i.e.  $\Sigma = UDU^T$  for some diagonal matrix,  $D = diag(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
- 3. Positive semi-definate, i.e.  $\lambda_i \geq 0$

$$\langle \Sigma u, u \rangle = \langle E[(\varepsilon - E[\varepsilon])(\varepsilon - E[\varepsilon])^T]u, u \rangle$$
$$= E[(\varepsilon - E[\varepsilon])^2] \ge 0, u \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

item If  $\Sigma$  is positive definate  $(\lambda_i > 0)$  for i = 1, ..., n, then there exists the inverse  $\Sigma^{-1} = UD^{-1}U^T$  and  $\Sigma^{-1/2} = UD^{-1/2}U^T$ .

4. If X is not deterministic, we speak of random design.

In the regular linear model,  $\hat{\beta}$  is called weighted least squares estimate, (LSE). if

$$||\sigma^{-1/2}(Y - X\hat{\beta})||^2 = \inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||\sigma^{-1/2}(Y - X\beta)||^2 = \inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||\sigma^{-1/2}Y - X_{\Sigma}\beta||^2$$

where  $X_{\Sigma} = \Sigma^{-1/2} X$ .  $X_{\Sigma} \hat{\beta}$  is the point within the subspace,

$$U = \{X_{\Sigma}\beta \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

with the smallest distance to the vector  $\Sigma^{-1/2}Y$ . Thus,  $X_{\Sigma}\hat{\beta} = \Pi_U(\Sigma^{-1/2}Y)$  where  $\Pi_U$  is the orthogonal projection onto U.  $\Pi_U u = u$  for all  $u \in U$   $\langle \Pi_U v - v, u \rangle = 0$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Provided that  $(X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma})^{-1}$  exists, we can confirm by direct computation that the projection satisfies

$$\Pi_U = X_{\Sigma} (X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma})^{-1} X_{\Sigma}^T$$

For  $u = X_{\Sigma}\beta$  we have,

$$X_{\Sigma}(X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma})^{-1} X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma} \beta = X_{\Sigma} \beta = u$$

By symmetry,

$$\langle \Pi_U v - v, u \rangle = \langle v, \Pi_U u \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle = 0$$

for all  $u \in U$ .

**Lemma 1.** Representation for the LSE Consider a regular linear model, then the LSE exists uniquely, and is given by

$$\hat{\beta} = (X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma})^{-1} X_{\Sigma}^T \Sigma^{-1/2} Y = X_{\Sigma}^+ \Sigma^{-1/2} Y$$

*Proof.*  $\ker(X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma})$  is invertible. Suppose that  $X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma} v = 0$   $(v \in \ker(X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma}))$ 

$$0 = v^T X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma} v = (X_{\Sigma}^T v)^T X_{\Sigma} v = \langle X_{\Sigma} v, X_{\Sigma} v \rangle = ||X_{\Sigma} v||^2 = ||\Sigma^{-1/2} X v||^2 \implies ||X v||^2 = 0 \implies v = 0$$

So then

$$X_{\Sigma}\hat{\beta} = \Pi_{u}\Sigma^{-1/2}Y = X_{\Sigma}(X_{\Sigma}^{T}X_{\Sigma})^{-1}X_{\Sigma}^{T}\Sigma^{-1/2}Y$$
$$X_{\Sigma}^{T}X_{\Sigma}\hat{\beta} = X_{\Sigma}^{T}X_{\Sigma}(X_{\Sigma}^{T}X_{\Sigma})^{-1}X_{\Sigma}^{T}\Sigma^{-1/2}Y$$
$$\implies \hat{\beta} = (X_{\Sigma}^{T}X_{\Sigma})^{-1}X_{\Sigma}^{T}\Sigma^{-1/2}Y$$

**Remark 4.** 1. If p > n, then  $(X_{\Sigma}^T X_{\Sigma})^{-1}$  does not exist and the LSE is not unique.

$$\left\{\beta \cdot ||\Sigma^{-1/2}Y - X_{\Sigma}\beta||^2 = 0\right\}$$

is a p-n dim subspace and each solution interpolates the data

**Theorem 1.** Optimality of the LSE, Gauss-Markov Theorem Consider an ordinary linear model for  $\sigma > 0$ , then

- 1. The least squares estimator  $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$  is linear and the unbiased parameter for the parameter  $\beta$ .
- 2. For the desired parameter  $\alpha = \langle \beta, v \rangle$  for  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ , the estimator  $\hat{\alpha} = \langle \hat{\beta}, v \rangle$  is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE), meaning that  $\hat{\alpha}$  has the optimal value within the class of linear unbiased estimators for  $\alpha$
- 3.  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{||Y X\hat{\beta}||^2}{n-p}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$

Proof.

$$\hat{\beta}(y+\tilde{y}) = \hat{\beta}(y) + \hat{\beta}(\tilde{y})$$
 for  $y, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$E[\hat{\beta}] = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[Y] \tag{1}$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[X\beta + \varepsilon] \tag{2}$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T X)\beta \tag{3}$$

$$=\beta$$
 (4)

Suppose that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is some other linear unbiased estimator of  $\alpha$ . Since the estimator is linear, there exists some element w such that  $\tilde{\alpha} = \langle y, w \rangle$ 

$$\langle \beta, v \rangle = \alpha = E[\tilde{\alpha}] = E[\langle y, w \rangle] = \langle X\beta, w \rangle = \langle \beta, X^T w \rangle$$

This implies that  $v = X^T w$ , therefore we have,

$$Var = Var(\langle x\beta, w \rangle + \langle \varepsilon, w \rangle)$$
 (5)

$$= \operatorname{Var}(\langle \varepsilon, w \rangle) + E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon w\right)^{2}\right]$$
 (6)

$$= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^p w_i^2 = \sigma^2 ||w||^2 \tag{7}$$

$$Var(\hat{\alpha}) = E[\langle \hat{\beta} - \beta, v \rangle^2]$$
(8)

$$= E[\langle (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \beta + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \varepsilon - \beta, v \rangle^2]$$
(9)

$$= E[\langle (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \varepsilon, v \rangle^2] \tag{10}$$

$$= \sigma^2 ||X(X^T X)^{-1} v||^2 = \sigma^2 ||X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T w||^2$$
(11)

$$= \sigma^2 ||\Pi_u w||^2 \tag{12}$$

Thus,  $Var(\hat{\alpha}) \leq Var\tilde{\alpha}$