Homework: 4.2

Monroe Stephenson Math 202: Vector Calculus

Due September 22nd, 2020

### 4.2.2

#### a.

We can simply evaluate and see that since  $\varphi(x) = |x|^e \implies |\varphi_e(h)| = ||h|^e| \le ||c^{\frac{1}{e}}|^e| = c$  (since c > 0). Thus by definition, for all c > 0,  $|\varphi(h)| \le c$  for all small enough h, or  $\varphi_e$  is o(1).

### b.

 $\varphi_1 \implies \varphi(x) = |x|$ . Thus we see,  $|\varphi(h)| = |h| \le c|h|$ , where  $c \ge 1$ . Hence, this satisfies the condition for  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ 

### c.

 $\varphi_{e-1}$  is o(1), and  $\varphi_1$  is  $\mathcal{O}(h)$  and thus by the product property for Landau functions we see that  $\varphi_e = \varphi_{e-1}\varphi_1$  is o(h).  $\varphi_{e-1}\varphi_1 = |x|^{e-1}|x| = |x|^e$ . Thus we have shown  $\varphi_e$ , such that e > 1, is o(h).

### d.

This problem has shown that  $\varphi_e$  is  $\mathcal{O}(h)$  when e = 1 and is o(h) when e > 1. We also showed that  $\varphi$  is o(1). Thus, since  $o(h) \subset \mathcal{O}(h) \subset o(1)$ , we see,  $\varphi$  is o(1) if e > 0 (as we showed all e for this are o(1)),  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{O}(h)$  if  $e \ge 1$  (as we showed 1 to be  $\mathcal{O}(h)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(h) \subset o(h)$ ), and finally  $\varphi$  is o(h) if e > 1 (which we showed in part c.)

## 4.2.4

First note  $\varphi$  is o(h) if and only if  $|\varphi|$  is. Let  $\varphi$  have components  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_m$ . For all h and each  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , by the size bounds,

$$|\varphi_j(h)| \le |\varphi(h)| \le \sum_{i=1}^m |\varphi_i(h)|.$$

Using the dominance principal of Landau spaces with the left hand side of size bounds for the implication  $(|\varphi_j(h)| \leq |\varphi(h)|)$ , we see that if  $|\varphi|$  is o(h) then each component,  $|\varphi_i|$  is o(h). For the implied by, using the dominance principal with the vector space properties with the right hand side

of the size bounds ( $|\varphi(h)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\varphi_i(h)|$  and o(h) + o(h) = o(h)), we see that if each component  $|\varphi_i|$  is o(h) then so is  $|\varphi|$ . In total we get,

$$|\varphi|$$
 is  $o(h) \implies \text{each } |\varphi_j|$  is  $o(h) \implies \sum_{j=1}^m$  is  $o(h) \implies |\varphi|$  is  $o(h)$ .

Thus  $|\varphi|$  is o(h) if and only if each  $|\varphi_i|$  is. As we noted above, we can drop the absolute values and we have the desired componentwise nature of o(h)

# 4.2.5

We will prove  $\mathcal{O}(o(h)) = o(h)$ . Suppose  $\varphi : B(\mathbf{0}_n, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is o(h) and  $\psi : B(\mathbf{0}_m, \rho) \to \mathbb{R}^l$  is  $\mathcal{O}(k)$ . Then,

for all 
$$c > 0$$
,  $|\varphi(h)| \le c|h|$  for all small enough h

Thus if h is small then so is  $\varphi(h)$ , we see

for some 
$$d > 0$$
,  $|\psi(\varphi(h))| \le d|\varphi(h)| \le cd|h|$  for all small enough h

Since c can be any positive number, and d can be some positive number, then cd can be any positive number. Thus multiplying the two to creates a new positive number that can be any number, let it be e. Thus, combining we see

for all 
$$e > 0$$
,  $|(\psi \circ \varphi)(h)| \le e|h|$  for all small enough h

Thus  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is o(h).