

# Lines in hyperbolic geometry

Here we examine “lines” in hyperbolic geometry.

## Hyperbolic coordinates, a shortest path from the North Pole

We next will figure out what is the shortest path you can take between two points in **HG**. Again we will do our calculation using only  $(x, y, z)$ -coordinates (since, as we have seen we don't have  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$ -coordinates). The  $(x, y, z)$ -coordinates for **SG**, namely

$$\begin{aligned}x(\sigma, \tau) &= R \cdot \sin \sigma \cdot \cos \tau \\y(\sigma, \tau) &= R \cdot \sin \sigma \cdot \sin \tau \\z(\sigma, \tau) &= \cos \sigma\end{aligned}$$

won't work this time because they involve  $R$  which has gone off to infinity. Fortunately there are hyperbolic coordinates

$$\left( \cosh \sigma = \frac{e^\sigma + e^{-\sigma}}{2}, \sinh \sigma = \frac{e^\sigma - e^{-\sigma}}{2} \right)$$

that parametrize the ‘unit’ hyperbola just like  $(\cos \sigma, \sin \sigma)$  parametrize the unit circle. So we define

$$\begin{aligned}x(\sigma, \tau) &= |K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \sigma \cdot \cos \tau \\y(\sigma, \tau) &= |K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \sigma \cdot \sin \tau \\z(\sigma, \tau) &= \cosh \sigma\end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 1** Show that these hyperbolic coordinates do actually parametrize the  $K$ -geometry, that is, that

$$K \left( x(\sigma, \tau)^2 + y(\sigma, \tau)^2 \right) + z(\sigma, \tau)^2 \equiv 1$$

for all  $(\sigma, \tau)$ .

Again notice that you can write a path on the  $R$ -sphere by giving a path  $(\sigma(t), \tau(t))$  in the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -plane. In fact, you can use  $\sigma$  as the parameter  $t$  and just write

$$(\sigma, \tau(\sigma))$$

---

Learning outcomes:  
Author(s):

where  $\tau$  is a function of  $\sigma$ . To write a path that starts at the North Pole, just write

$$(\sigma, \tau(\sigma)), \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq \varepsilon$$

and demand that

$$\tau(0) = 0.$$

If you want the path to end on the plane  $y = 0$ , demand additionally that

$$\tau(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

But if we are going to describe paths on **HG** by paths in the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -plane we are going to need to figure out the  $K$ -dot product in  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -coordinates so that we can compute the lengths of paths in these coordinates.

## Exercise 2

- (a) Compute the  $2 \times 3$  matrix  $D_{hyp}$  such that

$$\left( \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt}, \frac{dz}{dt} \right) = \left( \frac{d\sigma}{dt}, \frac{d\tau}{dt} \right) \cdot D_{hyp}$$

when a path in  $K$ -geometry is given by a path in the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -plane.

Hint: By the Chain Rule from several variable calculus

$$D_{hyp} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{dx}{d\sigma} & \frac{dy}{d\sigma} & \frac{dz}{d\sigma} \\ \frac{dx}{d\tau} & \frac{dy}{d\tau} & \frac{dz}{d\tau} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) Use a) to compute the  $K$ -dot product in  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -coordinates, namely compute the matrix  $P_{hyp}$  in the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{d\sigma_1}{dt}, \frac{d\tau_1}{dt} \right) \bullet_{hyp} \left( \frac{d\sigma_2}{dt}, \frac{d\tau_2}{dt} \right) &= \left( \frac{dx_1}{dt}, \frac{dy_1}{dt}, \frac{dz_1}{dt} \right) \bullet_K \left( \frac{dx_2}{dt}, \frac{dy_2}{dt}, \frac{dz_2}{dt} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{dx_1}{dt}, \frac{dy_1}{dt}, \frac{dz_1}{dt} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left( \frac{dx_2}{dt}, \frac{dy_2}{dt}, \frac{dz_2}{dt} \right)^t \\ &= \left( \frac{d\sigma_1}{dt}, \frac{d\tau_1}{dt} \right) \cdot D_{hyp} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot D_{hyp}^t \cdot \left( \frac{d\sigma_2}{dt}, \frac{d\tau_2}{dt} \right)^t \\ &= \left( \frac{d\sigma_1}{dt}, \frac{d\tau_1}{dt} \right) \cdot P_{hyp} \cdot \left( \frac{d\sigma_2}{dt}, \frac{d\tau_2}{dt} \right)^t. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 3** Show that the length  $L$  of any path in our  $K$ -geometry is given by

$$(\sigma, \tau(\sigma)), \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq \varepsilon$$

with

$$\tau(0) = 0.$$

and

$$\tau(\varepsilon) = 0$$

is given by the formula

$$L = |K|^{-1/2} \int_0^\varepsilon \sqrt{\left(1, \frac{d\tau}{d\sigma}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh^2 \sigma \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(1, \frac{d\tau}{d\sigma}\right)^t} d\sigma.$$

This last formula for  $L$  lets us figure out the shortest path from

$$N = (\sinh 0 \cdot \cos 0, R \cdot \sinh 0 \cdot \sin 0, \cosh 0)$$

to

$$\left(|K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \varepsilon, 0, \cosh \varepsilon\right) = \left(|K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \varepsilon \cdot \cos 0, |K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh 0 \cdot \sin 0, \cosh \varepsilon\right).$$

Since

$$L = |K|^{-1/2} \cdot \int_0^\varepsilon \sqrt{1 + \sinh^2 \sigma \cdot \left(\frac{d\tau}{d\sigma}\right)^2} d\sigma$$

and  $\sinh^2 \sigma$  is positive for almost all  $\sigma \in [0, \varepsilon]$ ,  $L$  is minimal only when  $\frac{d\tau}{d\sigma}$  is identically 0. But this means that  $\tau(\sigma)$  is a constant function. Since  $\tau(0) = 0$ , this means that  $\tau(\sigma)$  is identically 0. So we have shown the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *The shortest path in  $K$ -geometry from the North Pole to a point  $(x, y, z) = \left(|K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \varepsilon, 0, \cosh \varepsilon\right)$  is the path lying in the plane  $y = 0$ . The  $K$ -length of that shortest path is*

$$|K|^{-1/2} \cdot \varepsilon.$$

## Shortest path between any two points

**Theorem 2.** *Given any two points  $X_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$  and  $X_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$  in  $K$ -geometry, the shortest path between the two points is the path cut out by the two equations*

$$K(x^2 + y^2) + z^2 = 1$$

and the plane

$$\left| \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right| = 0, \quad (1)$$

that is, the plane containing  $(0,0,0)$  and  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

**Proof** Let  $V_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$  be the tangent vector at  $X_1$  of  $K$ -length 1 that is tangent to the path cut out by the plane given by equation (??). Then  $(x, y, z) = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$  also satisfies equation (??) and so the equation for that plane can also be written

$$\left| \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \end{pmatrix} \right| = 0. \quad (2)$$

By a previous exercise, there is a  $K$ -rigid motion  $M$  that takes  $X_1$  to the North Pole  $N$  and  $V_1$  to  $(1, 0, 0)$ . So  $M$  takes the plane (??) to the plane given by the equation

$$\left| \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right| = 0,$$

namely the plane.

$$y = 0.$$

So  $X_2 \cdot M$  must also lie in this plane since  $X_2$  lies in the plane (??). So

$$X_2 \cdot M = \left( |K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \varepsilon, 0, \cosh \varepsilon \right)$$

for some  $\varepsilon$  since all points in  $K$ -geometry with  $y = 0$  can be written as  $\left( |K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \varepsilon, 0, \cosh \varepsilon \right)$  for some  $\varepsilon$ . Since  $M$  is a  $K$ -rigid motion it must take the shortest path from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  to the shortest path from  $X_1 \cdot M = N$  to  $X_2 \cdot M = \left( |K|^{-1/2} \cdot \sinh \varepsilon, 0, \cosh \varepsilon \right)$ . But we already know that the shortest path from  $X_1 \cdot M$  to  $X_2 \cdot M$  is the one cut out by the plane  $y = 0$ . But that path comes from the path cut out by the plane given by equation (??), or, what is the same thing, the plane given by the equation (??). This path is called the *great hyperbolic arc* between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . ■

**Definition 1.** A **line** in **HG** will be a curve that extends infinitely in each direction and has the property that, given any two points  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  on the path, the shortest path between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  lies along that curve. Lines in **HG** are the intersections of the  $K$ -geometry with planes through  $(0,0,0)$ . The length of the shortest path between two points in  $K$ -geometry will be called the  $K$ -distance.