

## Answers to Questions 1-5:

### ASSEMBLY CODE GENERATED ON A WINDOWS MACHINE

(Kenjie)

1. Yes,  $x$  multiply by 19 can be implemented by using shifts and adds only. Notice how  $x * 19$  is equivalent to  $(x * 16) + (x * 2) + x$ . Subsequently, multiplying by 16 is equivalent to shifting to the left by 4 and multiplying  $x$  by 2 is equivalent to shifting to the left by 1. From this, the code implementation can be as follows:

```
int dummy(int x){
    int ret = (x << 4) + (x << 1) + x;
    return ret;
}
```

(Czy)

2. For the case of  $x * 19$ ,  $x$  is shifted to the left by three (equivalent to  $x * 8$ ). Subsequently, the output from that is added to itself— $(x * 8) + (x * 8)$ —equivalent to  $x * 16$ . Lastly,  $x$  is added to the previous output, so now we have  $x * 17$ .

```
pushq %rbp
.seh_pushreg %rbp
movq %rsp, %rbp
.seh_setframe %rbp, 0
.seh_endprologue
movl %ecx, 16(%rbp)
movl 16(%rbp), %edx
movl %edx, %eax
sall $3, %eax
addl %edx, %eax
addl %eax, %eax
addl %edx, %eax
popq %rbp
ret
```

(Gab)

3. For the case of  $x * 45$ , a single multiplication is performed ( $x * 45$ ).

```
pushq %rbp
.seh_pushreg %rbp
movq %rsp, %rbp
.seh_setframe %rbp, 0
.seh_endprologue
movl %ecx, 16(%rbp)
movl 16(%rbp), %eax
imull $45, %eax, %eax
popq %rbp
ret
```

(Kenjie)

4. For the case of  $x * (-2)$ , it negates the  $x$  by subtracting it from 0 ( $x \rightarrow -x$ ). Afterward, it is added to itself ( $-x * 2$ ).

```
pushq %rbp
.seh_pushreg %rbp
movq %rsp, %rbp
.seh_setframe %rbp, 0
.seh_endprologue
movl %ecx, 16(%rbp)
movl 16(%rbp), %edx
movl $0, %eax
subl %edx, %eax
addl %eax, %eax
popq %rbp
ret
```

(Czy)

5. For the case of  $x * 0$ , it simply returns 0.

```
pushq %rbp
.seh_pushreg %rbp
movq %rsp, %rbp
.seh_setframe %rbp, 0
.seh_endprologue
movl %ecx, 16(%rbp)
movl $0, %eax
popq %rbp
ret
```