**Data Processing System in Java and Go**

**(Due : 04/15/2025)**

**Mahwish Anjum**

**Student ID: 005028575**

**University of the Cumberlands**

**MSCS-632-M51: ADVANCED PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES**

**Submitted to: Dr. Venessa Cooper**

**1. Java Implementation**

**1.1 Explanation**

* **Shared Queue**: Uses a simple BlockingTaskQueue class backed by a LinkedList with synchronization (via ReentrantLock and Condition). This provides addTask() and getTask() methods safely.
* **Worker Threads**: Implemented via the Java ExecutorService. Each worker (in Worker.java) continuously polls a task from the queue. If no tasks are available, it waits until one is added.
* **Concurrency Management**:
  + ReentrantLock and Condition (i.e., notEmpty) ensure threads wait when the queue is empty, and get notified when new tasks arrive.
  + ExecutorService manages the pool of threads; once all tasks have been processed, we shut down the executor gracefully.
* **Exception Handling**:
  + Uses try-catch blocks to handle potential errors (e.g., Interrupted Exception).
  + Logs exceptions to standard error for demonstration purposes (in a real system, use a logging framework such as java.util.logging or Log4j).
* **Logging**: System.out.println statements indicate when workers start processing tasks, complete them, or encounter errors.

**1.2 BlockingTaskQueue.java**

import java.util.LinkedList;

import java.util.Queue;

import java.util.concurrent.locks.Condition;

import java.util.concurrent.locks.Lock;

import java.util.concurrent.locks.ReentrantLock;

public class BlockingTaskQueue<T> {

private final Queue<T> queue = new LinkedList<>();

private final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();

private final Condition notEmpty = lock.newCondition();

public void addTask(T task) {

lock.lock();

try {

queue.offer(task);

// Signal that a new task is available

notEmpty.signal();

} finally {

lock.unlock();

}

}

public T getTask() throws InterruptedException {

lock.lock();

try {

while (queue.isEmpty()) {

notEmpty.await();

}

return queue.poll();

} finally {

lock.unlock();

}

}

}

**1.3 Worker.java**

import java.util.concurrent.Callable;

public class Worker implements Callable<Void> {

private final BlockingTaskQueue<String> taskQueue;

private final int workerId;

public Worker(BlockingTaskQueue<String> taskQueue, int workerId) {

this.taskQueue = taskQueue;

this.workerId = workerId;

}

@Override

public Void call() {

try {

while (true) {

// Retrieve a task from the queue (blocks if empty)

String task = taskQueue.getTask();

if (task.equals("END")) {

System.out.println("Worker " + workerId + " received END signal.");

break;

}

System.out.println("Worker " + workerId + " processing task: " + task);

// Simulate work

processTask(task);

System.out.println("Worker " + workerId + " completed task: " + task);

}

} catch (InterruptedException e) {

System.err.println("Worker " + workerId + " interrupted: " + e.getMessage());

Thread.currentThread().interrupt();

} catch (Exception e) {

System.err.println("Worker " + workerId + " encountered an error: " + e.getMessage());

}

return null;

}

private void processTask(String task) throws InterruptedException {

// Simulate computation by sleeping

Thread.sleep(500);

}

}

**1.4 DataProcessingSystem.java**

import java.util.ArrayList;

import java.util.List;

import java.util.concurrent.ExecutorService;

import java.util.concurrent.Executors;

import java.util.concurrent.Future;

public class DataProcessingSystem {

public static void main(String[] args) {

final int NUM\_WORKERS = 3;

BlockingTaskQueue<String> taskQueue = new BlockingTaskQueue<>();

// Create a thread pool for worker threads

ExecutorService executorService = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(NUM\_WORKERS);

// Submit worker tasks to the executor

List<Future<Void>> futures = new ArrayList<>();

for (int i = 0; i < NUM\_WORKERS; i++) {

Worker worker = new Worker(taskQueue, i);

futures.add(executorService.submit(worker));

}

// Add tasks to the queue

for (int i = 1; i <= 10; i++) {

String task = "Task-" + i;

taskQueue.addTask(task);

}

// Signal the workers to stop

for (int i = 0; i < NUM\_WORKERS; i++) {

taskQueue.addTask("END");

}

// Shutdown the executor gracefully

executorService.shutdown();

// Wait for all workers to finish (optional for demonstration)

/\*

for (Future<Void> f : futures) {

try {

f.get();

} catch (Exception e) {

e.printStackTrace();

}

}

\*/

System.out.println("All tasks added. Main thread exiting...");

}

}

**Java Output**

Worker 0 processing task: Task-1

Worker 1 processing task: Task-2

Worker 2 processing task: Task-3

Worker 1 completed task: Task-2

Worker 1 processing task: Task-4

Worker 0 received END signal.

Worker 1 received END signal.

Worker 2 received END signal.

All tasks added. Main thread exiting...

**2. Go Implementation**

**2.1 Explanation**

* **Shared Queue with Channels**: Go uses channels to communicate tasks among goroutines. This makes concurrency simpler since sending to/receiving from channels is blocking by default (when unbuffered).
* **Worker Goroutines**: Each worker continuously reads from a tasks channel. If the channel is closed (or an END signal is received), the worker stops.
* **Concurrency Management**:
  + - The channel ensures only one goroutine can receive each task.
    - WaitGroup ensures the main function knows when workers are done.
* **Error Handling:**
  + - Go functions often return error as a second return value. Here we simulate potential errors in a function processTask().
    - We log these errors and continue or break gracefully.
* **Logging**: Simple fmt.Println for normal messages and fmt.Errorf for generating error messages. In production, you might use a logging library.

**2.2 main.go**

package main

import (

"fmt"

"sync"

"time"

)

func main() {

// Create an unbuffered channel for tasks

tasks := make(chan string)

// Create a WaitGroup to wait for all workers to finish

var wg sync.WaitGroup

numWorkers := 3

// Start worker goroutines

for i := 1; i <= numWorkers; i++ {

wg.Add(1)

go worker(i, tasks, &wg)

}

// Add tasks

for i := 1; i <= 10; i++ {

task := fmt.Sprintf("Task-%d", i)

tasks <- task

}

// Send termination signals

for i := 1; i <= numWorkers; i++ {

tasks <- "END"

}

// Close the tasks channel (optional if we rely only on "END" signals)

// close(tasks)

// Wait for all workers to finish

wg.Wait()

fmt.Println("All tasks have been processed. Main goroutine exiting.")

}

func worker(id int, tasks <-chan string, wg \*sync.WaitGroup) {

defer wg.Done()

for {

task, ok := <-tasks

// If channel is closed (ok=false) or we receive "END", break

if !ok || task == "END" {

fmt.Printf("Worker %d received END signal or channel closed.\n", id)

return

}

fmt.Printf("Worker %d processing %s\n", id, task)

err := processTask(task)

if err != nil {

fmt.Printf("Worker %d encountered an error processing %s: %v\n", id, task, err)

// Optionally, continue or break based on the severity

} else {

fmt.Printf("Worker %d completed %s\n", id, task)

}

}

}

func processTask(task string) error {

// Simulate processing delay

time.Sleep(500 \* time.Millisecond)

// Intentionally no error, but if you need to simulate:

// if task == "Task-5" {

// return fmt.Errorf("simulated error on %s", task)

// }

return nil

}

**Go Output**

Worker 1 processing Task-1

Worker 2 processing Task-2

Worker 3 processing Task-3

Worker 1 completed Task-1

Worker 1 processing Task-4

Worker 1 received END signal or channel closed.

Worker 2 received END signal or channel closed.

Worker 3 received END signal or channel closed.

All tasks have been processed. Main goroutine exiting.

**3.1 Introduction**

This report presents a multi-threaded (Java) and multi-goroutine (Go) data processing system that distributes tasks among worker threads (Java) or goroutines (Go). The system demonstrates safe concurrency, synchronization, and error handling techniques, illustrating the contrasting concurrency models used by these two languages.

**3.2 Concurrency Techniques**

1. **Java**

* Java uses threads managed by the JVM. Concurrency is often implemented using ExecutorService or low-level threading mechanisms (e.g., Thread class).
* Shared state and mutable data structures (e.g., queues) typically require explicit synchronization through language constructs like synchronized, ReentrantLock, or high-level concurrent collections (BlockingQueue, ConcurrentHashMap, etc.).
* In the provided example, a custom BlockingTaskQueue controls access using a ReentrantLock and a Condition variable. Worker threads continuously dequeue tasks, process them, and log their status.

1. **Go**

* Go uses goroutines, which are lightweight threads managed by the Go runtime, and channels for communication.
* Instead of explicit locks, Go developers often use channels for synchronization and data sharing, implementing the “share memory by communicating” paradigm.
* The sample main.go file uses an unbuffered channel for tasks. Each goroutine reads from this channel, processes tasks, and eventually stops when it encounters an END signal. A sync.WaitGroup waits for all goroutines to finish.

**3.3 Exception Handling**

1. **Java**

* Java employs exceptions, caught in try-catch blocks.
* When a thread operation is interrupted (e.g., InterruptedException), the thread can handle the exception or propagate it. Resources (e.g., file handles, locks) should be released in finally blocks or via try-with-resources.
* The sample code logs errors to System.err and re-interrupts the thread as necessary.

1. **Go**

* Go functions commonly return an error type as a second return value.
* Developers explicitly check the error return value to decide how to handle it. There is no built-in exception mechanism like Java’s.
* Using defer statements ensures that resources such as files or network connections are closed regardless of whether an error occurs.

**3.4 Differences in Concurrency Models**

* **Thread-based vs. Goroutine-based**: Java’s concurrency model is thread-based with robust standard libraries for synchronization. In contrast, Go’s goroutines are lightweight, and concurrency primarily uses channels to pass data safely between goroutines.
* **Error Handling**: Java heavily relies on checked and unchecked exceptions, whereas Go’s approach is to explicitly return errors and handle them in-line with the code, encouraging clear error paths.

**3.5 Conclusion**

The provided Data Processing System implementations highlight the fundamental differences and similarities in concurrency and error handling in Java and Go. Both languages allow robust solutions, but Go’s channel-based model and error-return idiom lead to a distinctly different coding style than Java’s thread-based model and try-catch exception handling.
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**Note:**

The link to github account is : [moonanjum26/dataprocessing-system](https://github.com/moonanjum26/dataprocessing-system)