Retrospective reflection

What went well?
The distribution of tasks went well last sprint. What also went well was the exchange of feedback on work and cooperatives of working together.

What could've gone better?
The front and backend department's communication could have been better. We had a slight problem during the sprint with which department was working on what.

What do we want to try next?
Design responsive web pages, connect front and back ends. Another thing we want to try next is to get everyone **on time** for the meetings.

What puzzles us?
How to develop proper planning technique, and make it comfortable for the front and back end departments to meet in the middle.

Tuckman team performance

Our team realizes that there is no huge progress of the team performance, but there are also no major dropdowns. Our team is according to the Tuckman model still in the forming stage. Which means that we are still in the initial stage of the team formed. Our team is steadily working

towards success and will keep trying to improve the team's work/performance in the upcoming sprint.

Burndown charts results

In sprint 2 we could see that most of the story points got finished in the last days of the sprint. This was partly due to a big story which most of the sprint was about which had to be finished before the sprint review. For next sprints it would be better if we have a more equal distribution of story points during the sprint.

Changes group contract

We didn't change our group contract since we didn't receive any feedback which could lead to considering any changes or improvements. We also discussed with the team our conflict resolutions roles and came up to the point that everyone agrees on the contract we have now.

BuddyCheck results

Week 3

Summary

Student	Avg ?	Factor ?
Ayolt ten Have	4.1	0.99
Dani Mahaini	4.23	1.02
Katy Radzkova	4.33	1.05
Mark Zhitchenko	4.3	1.04
Thijs Frauenfelder	4.33	1.05
Victor Zugravu	3.57	0.86

Week 5

Summary

Student	Avg ?	Factor ?
Ayolt ten Have	4.4	1.05
Dani Mahaini	4.17	0.99
Katy Radzkova	4.57	1.09
Mark Zhitchenko	4.4	1.05
Thijs Frauenfelder	4.47	1.06
Victor Zugravu	3.2	0.76