Discrete Math And Algorithms Review

Muyao Xiao

Nov. 2024

Abstract

Discrete Math is a topic containing too many things, I would say it contains basic logic, number theory, probability theory, linear programming, set theory, graph theory, etc.

Considering the comprehensive nature of discrete math, I will pick topics that I don't have deep understanding or those I hope to review.

This note will basically be a speed run for now, but I expect to add more contents in the future if I have time to dive deep into certain topics.

Some contents will heavily depend on MIT 18.310 and book K. H. Rosen and K. Krithivasan, Discrete mathematics and its applications, 7. ed., Global ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 2013.

Contents

1	Logic and Proofs 1.1 First-order logic	2 2
2	Number Theory and Cryptography	3
3	Counting	4
4	Discrete Probability	5
5	Graphs	6
6	Linear Programming6.1 Politics Problem6.2 Standard Form of LP	
7	Fourier Transform	10
8	Information Theory	11

Logic and Proofs

1.1 First-order logic

First-order logic – also called **predicate logic**, **predicate calculus**, **quantificational logic** (wikipedia).

Definition 1.1.1 (Proposition). A **proposition** is a declarative sentence (that is, a sentence that declares a fact) that is either true or false, but not both.

Example. Examples of proposition:

- Washington, D.C., is the capital of the United States of America.
- Toronto is the capital of Canada.
- 1+1=2.
- 2+2=3.

Propositional logic cannot adequately express the meaning of all statements in mathematics and in natural language.

Example. Suppose we know:

"Every computer connected to the university network is functioning properly".

No rules of propositional logic allow us to conclude the truth of the statement:

"MATH3 is functioning properly".

where MATH3 is one of the computers connected to the university network.

Number Theory and Cryptography

Counting

Discrete Probability

Graphs

Linear Programming

Note. The content of this chapter is based on MIT 6.046J Design And Analysis Of Algorithms. Here is the video and note.

6.1 Politics Problem

Example. The example is about how to use the minimum money to win an election (win all the demographics).

The table is about 4 issues and 3 demographics, the number means how many voters can be obtained (bought) per dollar spent advertising the support of an issue:

Policy	Demographic		
	Urban	Suburban	Rural
Building roads	-2	5	3
Gun Control	8	2	-5
Farm Subsidies	0	0	10
Gasoline Tax	10	0	2
Population	100,000	200,000	50,000

Table 6.1: Votes per dollar spent on advertising, and population

6.1.1 Representation of the problem

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 denote the spent on each of the issues.

Rephrase our problem:

Minimize:

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$$

Subject to:

$$-2x_1 + 8x_2 + 0x_3 + 10x_4 \ge 50,000 \qquad \qquad \text{(Urban Majority (1))}$$

$$5x_1 + 2x_2 + 0x_3 + 0x_4 \ge 100,000 \qquad \qquad \text{(Suburban Majority (2))}$$

$$3x_1 - 5x_2 + 10x_3 + 2x_4 \ge 25,000 \qquad \qquad \text{(Rural Majority (3))}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \ge 0 \qquad \qquad \text{(Can't unadvertise)}$$

The optimal solution is:

$$x_1 = \frac{2050000}{111} \tag{6.1}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{2050000}{111}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{425000}{111}$$
(6.1)

$$x_3 = 0 (6.3)$$

$$x_4 = \frac{625000}{111} \tag{6.4}$$

$$x_4 = \frac{625000}{111}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = \frac{3100000}{111}$$

$$(6.4)$$

Certificate to this optimal solution:

Multiply the constraints inequalities with some magic numbers, and we have:

$$\frac{25}{222}(1) + \frac{46}{222}(2) + \frac{14}{222}(3) = x_1 + x_2 + \frac{140}{222}x_3 + x_4 \ge \frac{3100000}{111}$$

Considering that $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \ge x_1 + x_2 + \frac{140}{222}x_3 + x_4$, we know the number is already minimum. But how can we come to the solution and the verifying constants?

6.2Standard Form of LP

Definition 6.2.1 (Linear Programming). Minimize or maximum linear objective function subject to linear inequalities (or equations).

Variables:

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Objective functions: $\vec{c} \cdot \vec{x}$ Inequalities: $A\vec{x} \leq \vec{b}$

We want to maximize $\vec{c}\vec{x}$ and $\vec{x} \geq 0$

Remark. Any LP can be transformed into the standard form.

Definition 6.2.2 (Duality). In mathematical optimization theory, duality or the duality principle is the principle that optimization problems may be viewed from either of two perspectives, the primal problem or the dual problem. If the primal is a minimization problem then the dual is a maximization problem (and vice versa). Wikipedia: Duality

Definition 6.2.3 (Duality Form). There are 2 equivalent problems:

Primal Form:

- Maximize $\vec{c} \cdot \vec{x}$
- Subject to $A\vec{x} \leq \vec{b}, \vec{x} \geq 0$

Dual Form:

- Minimize $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{y}$
- Subject to $A^T \vec{y} \ge \vec{c}, \vec{y} \ge 0$

Remark. Notice that the exchange of \vec{b} and \vec{c} . Also notice that both forms have their variables greater or equal to 0.

6.2.1 Transformation To Standard Form

Example. Minimize $-2x_1 + 3x_2$

Remark. The standard form is to maximize.

Transformation. Negate to $2x_1 - 3x_2$ and maximize

Example. Suppose x_j does NOT have a non-negativity constraint.

Remark. The standard form each x should be greater or equal to 0.

Transformation. Replace x_j with $x_j^{'} - x_j^{''}$ and $x_j^{'}, x_j^{''} \geq 0$

Example. Equality constraint $x_1 + x_2 = 7$

Remark. We need less or equal to.

Transformation. $x_1 + x_2 \le 7, -x_1 - x_2 \le -7$

By using the above techniques, we can always transform our LP problems into the standard form. Then we can use LP solvers to solve the problem.

Fourier Transform

Information Theory