# LECTURE NOTES ON ALGEBRAIC LOGIC

#### T. MORASCHINI

Lecture notes for the Algebraic Logic course of the Master in Pure and Applied Logic of the University of Barcelona, 2021

### 1. ALGEBRAS AND EQUATIONS

We begin by reviewing some fundamentals of general algebraic systems.

## Definition 1.1.

- (i) A *type* is a map  $\rho \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of function symbols. In this case,  $\rho(f)$  is said to be the *arity* of the function symbol f, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Function symbols of arity zero are called *constants*.
- (ii) An *algebra* of type  $\rho$  is a pair  $A = \langle A; F \rangle$  where A is a nonempty set and  $F = \{f^A : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a set of operations on A whose arity is determined by  $\rho$ , in the sense that each  $f^A$  has arity  $\rho(f)$ . The set A is called the *universe* of A.

When  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ , we shall write  $\langle A; f_1^A, \dots, f_n^A \rangle$  instead of  $\langle A; F \rangle$ . In this case, we often drop the superscripts, and write simply  $\langle A; f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ .

Classical examples of algebras are groups and rings. For instance, the type of groups  $\rho_G$  consists of a binary symbol +, a unary symbol -, and a constant symbol 0. Then a group is an algebra  $\langle G; +, -, 0 \rangle$  of type  $\rho_G$  in which + is associative, 0 is a neutral element for +, and - produces inverses.

Lattices, Heyting algebras, and modal algebras are also algebras in the above sense. For instance, the type of lattices  $\rho_L$  consists of two binary symbols  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  and a lattice is an algebra  $\langle A; \wedge, \vee \rangle$  of type  $\rho_L$  that satisfies the idempotent, commutative, associative, and absorption laws. Similarly, the type of Heyting algebras  $\rho_H$  consists of three binary operations symbols  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ , and  $\rightarrow$  and of two constant symbols 0 and 1. Then a Heyting algebra is an algebra  $\langle A; \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$  such that  $\langle A; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a bounded lattice and, for every  $a, b, c \in A$ ,

$$a \land b \leqslant c \iff a \leqslant b \rightarrow c.$$
 (residuation law)

Boolean algebras can be viewed as the Heyting algebras that satisfy the following equational version of the *excluded middle law*:

$$x \lor (x \to 0) \approx 1$$
.

In this case, the complement operation  $\neg x$  can be defined as  $x \to 0$ .

Perhaps less obviously, even algebraic structures whose operations are apparently *external* can be viewed as algebras in the sense of the above definition. For instance, modules over a ring R can be viewed as algebras whose type  $\rho_R$  extends that of groups with the unary symbols  $\{\lambda_r : r \in R\}$ . From this point of view, a module over R is an

Date: February 8, 2021.

algebra  $\langle G; +, -, 0, \{\lambda_r : r \in R\} \rangle$  of type  $\rho_R$  such that  $\langle G; +, -, 0 \rangle$  is an abelian group and, for every  $r, s \in R$  and  $a, c \in G$ ,

$$\lambda_r(a+c) = \lambda_r(a) + \lambda_r(c)$$
$$\lambda_{r+s}(a) = \lambda_r(a) + \lambda_s(a)$$
$$\lambda_r(\lambda_s(a)) = \lambda_{r\cdot s}(a)$$
$$\lambda_1(a) = a.$$

Given a type  $\rho \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{N}$  and a set of variables X disjoint from  $\mathcal{F}$ , the set of *terms of type*  $\rho$  *over* X is the least set  $T_{\rho}(X)$  such that

- (i)  $X \subseteq T_{\rho}(X)$ ;
- (ii) if  $c \in \mathcal{F}$  is a constant, then  $c \in T_o(X)$ ; and
- (iii) if  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{\rho(f)} \in T_{\rho}(X)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $f \varphi_1 \ldots \varphi_{\rho(f)} \in T_{\rho}(X)$ .

For the sake of readability, we shall often write  $f(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{\rho(f)})$  instead of  $f\varphi_1 \ldots \varphi_{\rho(f)}$ . Similarly, if f is a binary operation +, we often write  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  instead of  $f(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ .

Given a term  $\varphi \in T_{\rho}(X)$ , we write  $\varphi(x_1, ..., x_n)$  to indicate that the variables occurring in  $\varphi$  are among  $x_1, ..., x_n$ . Furthermore, given an algebra A of type  $\rho$  and elements  $a_1, ..., a_n \in A$ , we define an element

$$\varphi^A(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$$

of *A*, by recursion on the construction of  $\varphi$ , as follows:

- (i) if  $\varphi$  is a variable  $x_i$ , then  $\varphi^A(a_1, \ldots, a_n) := a_i$ ;
- (ii) if  $\varphi$  is a constant c, then  $c^A$  is the interpretation of c in A;
- (iii) if  $\varphi = f(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)$ , then

$$\varphi^{A}(a_1,\ldots,a_n) := f^{A}(\psi_1^{A}(a_1,\ldots,a_n),\ldots,\psi_m^{A}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)).$$

An *equation of type*  $\rho$  *over* X is an expression of the form  $\varphi \approx \psi$ , where  $\varphi, \psi \in T_{\rho}(X)$ . Such an equation  $\varphi \approx \psi$  is *valid* in an algebra A of type  $\rho$ , if

$$\varphi^{A}(a_{1},...,a_{n}) = \psi^{A}(a_{1},...,a_{n})$$
, for every  $a_{1},...,a_{n} \in A$ ,

in which case we say that *A satisfies*  $\varphi \approx \psi$ .

For instance, groups are precisely the algebras of type  $\rho_G$  that satisfy the equations

$$x + (y + z) \approx (x + y) + z$$
  $x + 0 \approx x$   $0 + x \approx x$   $x + -x \approx 0$   $-x + x \approx 0$ .

Similarly, lattices are the algebras of type  $\rho_L$  that satisfy the equations

$$x \wedge x \approx x$$
  $x \vee x \approx x$  (idempotent laws)  
 $x \wedge y \approx y \wedge x$   $x \vee y \approx y \vee x$  (commutative laws)  
 $x \wedge (y \wedge z) \approx (x \wedge y) \wedge z$   $x \vee (y \vee z) \approx (x \vee y) \vee z$  (associative laws)  
 $x \wedge (y \vee x) \approx x$   $x \vee (y \wedge x) \approx x$ . (absorption laws)

## 2. Basic constructions

Algebras of the same type are called *similar* and can be compared by means of maps that preserve their structure.

**Definition 2.1.** Given two similar algebras A and B, a *homomorphism* from A to B is a map  $f: A \to B$  such that, for every n-ary operation g of the common type and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ ,

$$f(g^{A}(a_{1},...,a_{n})) = g^{B}(f(a_{1}),...,f(a_{n})).$$

An injective homomorphism is called an *embedding* and, if there exists an embedding from A to B, we say that A *embeds* into B. Lastly, a surjective embedding is called an *isomorphism*. Accordingly, A and B are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism between them, in which case we write  $A \cong B$ .

A simple induction on the construction of terms shows that, for every pair of algebras A and B of type  $\rho$  and every term  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  of  $\rho$ , if f is a homomorphism from A to B, then

$$f(\varphi^{\mathbf{A}}(a_1,\ldots,a_n))=\varphi^{\mathbf{B}}(f(a_1),\ldots,f(a_n)),$$

for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ . Therefore homomorphisms preserve not only basic operations, but also arbitrary terms.

In the particular case where A and B are lattices, a homomorphism from A to B is a map  $f: A \to B$  such that, for every  $a, c \in A$ ,

$$f(a \wedge^A c) = f(a) \wedge^B f(c)$$
 and  $f(a \vee^A c) = f(a) \vee^B f(c)$ .

For instance, the inclusion map from the lattice  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \leqslant \rangle$  into the lattice  $\langle \mathbb{Z}; \leqslant \rangle$  is an injective homomorphism, that is, an embedding. Similarly, given two sets  $Y \subseteq X$ , the inclusion map from the powerset lattice  $\langle \mathcal{P}(Y); \subseteq \rangle$  to the powerset lattice  $\langle \mathcal{P}(X); \subseteq \rangle$  is also an embedding. On the other hand, if  $Y \subsetneq X$ , the map

$$(-)\cap Y\colon \mathcal{P}(X)\to \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

that sends every  $Z \subseteq X$  to  $Z \cap Y$  is a noninjective homomorphism from  $\langle \mathcal{P}(X); \subseteq \rangle$  to  $\langle \mathcal{P}(Y); \subseteq \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let A and B be algebras of the same type  $\rho \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{N}$ . Then A is said to be a *subalgebra* of B if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $f^A$  is the restriction of  $f^B$  to A, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . In this case, we write  $A \leqslant B$ .

Given a class of algebras K, let

$$\mathbb{I}(\mathsf{K}) := \{ A : A \cong B \text{ for some } B \in \mathsf{K} \}$$
$$\mathbb{S}(\mathsf{K}) := \{ A : A \leqslant B \text{ for some } B \in \mathsf{K} \}.$$

When  $K = \{A\}$ , we write  $\mathbb{I}(A)$  and  $\mathbb{S}(A)$  as a shorthand for  $\mathbb{I}(\{A\})$  and  $\mathbb{S}(\{A\})$ , respectively. The following observation is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let A and B be algebras of the same type. Then  $A \in \mathbb{IS}(B)$  if and only if there exists an embedding  $f: A \to B$ . In this case, A is isomorphic to the unique subalgebra of B with universe f[A].

As we mentioned, homomorphisms can be used to compare similar algebras.

**Definition 2.4.** Given two similar algebras A and B, we say that A is a *homomorphic image* of B if there exists a surjective homomorphism  $f: B \to A$ .

Accordingly, given a class of algebras K, we set

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathsf{K}) \coloneqq \{A : A \text{ is a homomorphic image of some } B \in \mathsf{K}\}.$$

As usual, when  $K = \{A\}$ , we write  $\mathbb{H}(A)$  as a shorthand for  $\mathbb{H}(\{A\})$ .

Observe that every (not necessarily surjective) homomorphism  $f: A \to B$  induces a homomorphic image of A.

**Proposition 2.5.** *If*  $f: A \to B$  *is a homomorphism, then* f[A] *is the universe of a subalgebra of* B *that, moreover, is a homomorphic image of* A.

*Proof.* Observe that f[A] is nonempty, because A is. Then consider an n-ary function symbol g of the common type of A and B and  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in f[A]$ . Clearly, there are  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$  such that  $f(a_i) = b_i$ , for every  $i \leq n$ . Since f is a homomorphism from A to B, we obtain

$$g^{B}(b_{1},...,b_{n})=g^{B}(f(a_{1}),...,g(a_{n}))=f(g^{A}(a_{1},...,a_{n}))\in f[A].$$

Hence, we conclude that f[A] is the universe of a subalgebra f[A] of B.

Furthermore,  $f: A \to f[A]$  is a homomorphism, because for every basic n-ary function symbol g of the common type and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ ,

$$f(g^{A}(a_1,...,a_n)) = g^{B}(f(a_1),...,f(a_n)) = g^{f[A]}(f(a_1),...,f(a_n)),$$

where the first equality follows from the assumption that  $f: A \to B$  is a homomorphism. Since the map  $f: A \to f[A]$  is surjective, we conclude that  $f[A] \in \mathbb{H}(A)$ .

In view of the above result, when  $f: A \to B$  is a homomorphism, we denote by f[A] the unique subalgebra of B with universe f[A].

For instance, let  $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the absolute value map, that is, the function defined by the rule

$$f(n) :=$$
 the absolute value of  $n$ .

Observe that f is a nonsurjective homomorphism from the lattice of integers to that of reals. Furthermore, the homomorphic image  $f[\langle \mathbb{Z}; \leqslant \rangle]$  of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}; \leqslant \rangle$  is the lattice of natural numbers  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \leqslant \rangle$ , which, in turn, is a subalgebra of lattice of reals.

Notably, the homomorphic images of an algebra A can be "internalized" as special equivalence relations on A as follows.

**Definition 2.6.** A *congruence* of an algebra A is an equivalence relation  $\theta$  on A such that, for every basic n-ary operation f of A and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n \in A$ ,

if 
$$\langle a_1, c_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle a_n, c_n \rangle \in \theta$$
, then  $\langle f^A(a_1, \dots, a_n), f^A(c_1, \dots, c_n) \rangle \in \theta$ . (1)

In this case, we often write  $a \equiv_{\theta} c$  as a shorthand for  $\langle a, c \rangle \in \theta$ . The poset of congruences of A ordered under the inclusion relation will be denoted by Con(A).

A simple induction on the construction of terms shows that, for every congruence  $\theta$  of A and every term  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ ,

if 
$$\langle a_1, c_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle a_n, c_n \rangle \in \theta$$
, then  $\langle \varphi^A(a_1, \ldots, a_n), \varphi^A(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \rangle \in \theta$ ,

for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ . Therefore, congruences preserve not only basic operations, but also arbitrary terms. Furthermore, a simple argument shows that Con(A) is an inductive closure system and, therefore, an algebraic lattice whose maximum is the total relation  $A \times A$  and whose minimum is the identity relation  $Iold_A := \{\langle a, a \rangle : a \in A\}$ .

**Example 2.7** (Boolean algebras). Recall that a *filter* of a Boolean algebra A is a nonempty upset  $F \subseteq A$  closed under binary meets. We denote by  $\mathsf{Fi}(A)$  the poset of filters of A ordered under the inclusion relation. It is easy to see  $\mathsf{Fi}(A)$  is an inductive closure system and, therefore, an algebraic lattice. Furthermore, the lattices  $\mathsf{Fi}(A)$  and  $\mathsf{Con}(A)$  are isomorphic via the inverse isomorphisms

$$\Omega^A(-) \colon \mathsf{Fi}(A) o \mathsf{Con}(A) \ \ \mathsf{and} \ \ \tau(-) \colon \mathsf{Con}(A) o \mathsf{Fi}(A)$$

defined by the rules

$$\Omega^{A}(F) := \{ \langle a, c \rangle \in A \times A : a \to c, c \to a \in F \}$$
  
$$\tau(\theta) := \{ a \in A : \langle a, 1 \rangle \in \theta \}.$$

Because of this, every congruence  $\theta$  of a Boolean algebra A is induced by some filter F, in the sense that  $\theta = \Omega^A F$ . This correspondence between filters and congruences generalizes straightforwardly to all Heyting algebras.

**Example 2.8** (Modal algebras). A *modal algebra* is an algebra  $A = \langle A; \land, \lor, \neg, \Box, 0, 1 \rangle$  such that  $\langle A; \land, \lor, \neg, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a Boolean algebra and  $\Box$  is a unary operation such that

$$\Box(a \land c) = \Box a \land \Box c$$
 and  $\Box 1 = 1$ ,

for every  $a, c \in A$ . An *open filter* of a modal algebra A is a filter of the Boolean reduct of A that, moreover, is closed under the operation  $\square$ . The poset of open filters of A ordered under the inclusion relation will be denoted by  $\operatorname{Op}(A)$ . It forms an inductive closure system and, therefore, an algebraic lattice. Furthermore, the lattices  $\operatorname{Op}(A)$  and  $\operatorname{Con}(A)$  are isomorphic via the inverse isomorphisms described in Example 2.7. Because of this, every congruence of a modal algebra A has the form  $\theta = \Omega^A F$ , for some open filter F.  $\boxtimes$ 

**Example 2.9** (Groups). Similarly, it is well known that the lattice of congruences of a group is isomorphic to that of its normal subgroups. Because of this, every congruence of a group is induced by some normal subgroup.

As we mentioned, there is a tight correspondence between the homomorphic images and the congruences of an algebra A. On the one hand, every congruence  $\theta$  of A gives rise to a homomorphic image  $A/\theta$  of A. Let  $\mathcal F$  be the set of function symbols of A. Given  $\theta \in \mathsf{Con}(A)$  and a basic n-ary function symbol  $f \in \mathcal F$ , let  $f^{A/\theta}$  be the n-ary operation on  $A/\theta$  defined by the rule

$$f^{A/\theta}(a_1/\theta,\ldots,a_n/\theta) := f^A(a_1,\ldots,a_n)/\theta.$$

Notice that  $f^{A/\theta}$  is well-defined, by condition (1). As a consequence, the structure

$$A/\theta := \langle A/\theta; \{f^{A/\theta} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}\rangle$$

is a well-defined algebra of the type as A. Furthermore,  $A/\theta \in \mathbb{H}(A)$ , because the map  $\pi_{\theta} \colon A \to A/\theta$ , defined, for every  $a \in A$ , as  $\pi_{\theta}(a) := a/\theta$ , is a surjective homomorphism from A to  $A/\theta$ . To prove this, consider  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ . We have

$$\pi_{\theta}(f^{A}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n})) = f^{A}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n})/\theta$$

$$= f^{A/\theta}(a_{1}/\theta,\ldots,a_{n}/\theta)$$

$$= f^{A/\theta}(\pi_{\theta}(a_{1}),\ldots,\pi_{\theta}(a_{n})),$$

where the second equality follows from the definition of the operation  $f^{A/\theta}$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** If  $\theta$  is a congruence of an algebra A, then  $A/\theta$  is a well-defined homomorphic image of A.

In view of the above result, every congruence  $\theta$  of an algebra A induces a homomorphic image of A, namely  $A/\theta$ . The converse is also true, as we proceed to explain.

**Definition 2.11.** The *kernel* of a homomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is the binary relation

$$\mathsf{Ker}(f) := \{ \langle a, c \rangle \in A \times A : f(a) = f(c) \}.$$

**Proposition 2.12.** *The kernel of a homomorphism*  $f: A \rightarrow B$  *is a congruence of A.* 

*Proof.* It is obvious that Ker(f) is an equivalence relation on A. Therefore, to prove that Ker(f) is a congruence of A, it suffices to show that it preserves the basic operations of A. Consider a basic n-ary operation g of A and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n \in A$  such that  $\langle a_1, c_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle a_n, c_n \rangle \in Ker(f)$ . By the definition of Ker(f),

$$f(a_i) = f(c_i)$$
, for every  $i \leq n$ .

It follows that  $g^{B}(f(a_1),...,f(a_n)) = g^{B}(f(c_1),...,f(c_n))$ . Since  $f: A \to B$  is a homomorphism, this yields

$$f(g^A(a_1,\ldots,a_n))=g^B(f(a_1),\ldots,f(a_n))=g^B(f(c_1),\ldots,f(c_n))=f(g^A(c_1,\ldots,c_n)).$$
  
Hence, we conclude that  $\langle g^A(a_1,\ldots,a_n),g^A(c_1,\ldots,c_n)\rangle\in \mathsf{Ker}(f)$ , as desired.

The behaviour of kernels is governed by the next principle.

**Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem 2.13.** *If*  $f: A \to B$  *is a homomorphism with kernel*  $\theta$ , *then there exists a unique embedding*  $g: A/\theta \to B$  *such that*  $f = g \circ \pi_{\theta}$ .

*Proof.* We begin by proving the existence of g. Let  $g: A/\theta \to B$  be the map defined as  $g(a/\theta) := f(a)$ , for every  $a \in A$ . To show that g is well-defined, consider  $a, c \in A$  such that  $a/\theta = c/\theta$ . Since  $\theta = \operatorname{Ker}(f)$ , this means that f(a) = f(c), as desired. Furthermore, the definition of g guarantees that  $f = g \circ \pi_{\theta}$ .

Now, observe g is injective, because, for every  $a, c \in A$  such that  $g(a/\theta) = g(c/\theta)$ , we have f(a) = f(c), that is,  $\langle a, c \rangle \in \text{Ker}(f) = \theta$  and, therefore,  $a/\theta = c/\theta$ . Moreover, for every basic n-ary operation p of A and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ , we have

$$g(p^{A/\theta}(a_1/\theta,\ldots,a_n/\theta)) = g(p^A(a_1,\ldots,a_n)/\theta)$$

$$= f(p^A(a_1,\ldots,a_n))$$

$$= p^B(f(a_1),\ldots,f(a_n))$$

$$= p^B(g(a_1/\theta),\ldots,g(a_n/\theta)).$$

The first equality above follows from the definition of  $A/\theta$ , the second and the last from the definition of g, and the third from the assumption that  $f: A \to B$  is a homomorphism. Hence, we conclude that  $g: A/\theta \to B$  is a homomorphism and, therefore, an embedding, as desired.

The uniqueness of g follows from the fact that, if a map  $g^*$  satisfies the condition in the statement of the theorem, then, for every  $a \in A$ ,

$$f(a) = g^* \circ \pi_{\theta}(a) = g^*(a/\theta),$$

 $\boxtimes$ 

that is,  $g^*$  coincides with g.

**Corollary 2.14.** *If*  $f: A \to B$  *is a homomorphism, then*  $f[A] \cong A/\text{Ker}(f)$ *. In particular, if* f *is surjective,*  $B \cong A/\text{Ker}(f)$ .

*Proof.* In the proof of the Fundamental Homomorphism Theorem we showed that the map  $g: A/\operatorname{Ker}(f) \to B$ , defined by the rule  $g(a/\operatorname{Ker}(f)) := f(a)$ , is an embedding of  $A/\operatorname{Ker}(f)$  into B. As g can be viewed as a surjective embedding of  $A/\operatorname{Ker}(f)$  into f[A], we conclude that  $f[A] \cong A/\operatorname{Ker}(f)$ .

At this stage, it should be clear that if  $\theta$  is a congruence on an algebra A, then  $\pi_{\theta} \colon A \to A/\theta$  is a surjective homomorphism whose kernel is  $\theta$ . Similarly, if  $f \colon A \to B$  is a surjective homomorphism, then  $A/\operatorname{Ker}(f) \cong B$ , by Corollary 2.14. As a consequence, for every class of algebras K,

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathsf{K}) = \mathbb{I}\{A/\theta : A \in \mathsf{K} \text{ and } \theta \in \mathsf{Con}(A)\}. \tag{2}$$

Now, recall that the Cartesian product of a family of sets  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is the set

$$\prod_{i\in I} A_i := \{f \colon I \to \bigcup_{i\in I} A_i \colon f(i) \in A_i, \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

In particular, if *I* is empty, then  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is the singleton containing only the empty map.

**Definition 2.15.** The *direct product* of a family of similar algebras  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is the unique algebra of the common type whose universe is the Cartesian product  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  and such that, for every basic n-ary operation symbol f and every  $\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_n \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ ,

$$f^{\prod_{i \in I} A_i}(\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n)(i) = f^{A_i}(\vec{a}_1(i), \dots, \vec{a}_n(i)), \text{ for every } i \in I.$$

We denote this algebra by  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ .

In this case, for every  $j \in I$ , the projection map on the j-th component  $p_j \colon \prod_{i \in I} A_i \to A_j$ , defined by the rule  $p_j(\vec{a}) := \vec{a}(j)$ , is a surjective homomorphism from  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  to  $A_j$ . Given a class of similar algebras K, we set

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{K}) := \{A : A \text{ is a direct product of a family } \{B_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathsf{K}\}.$$

As usual, when  $K = \{A\}$ , we write  $\mathbb{P}(A)$  as a shorthand for  $\mathbb{P}(\{A\})$ .

Notice that up to isomorphism, there exists a unique one-element algebra of a given type. Because of this, one-element algebras are called *trivial*. Accordingly, when the set of indexes I is empty, the direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is the trivial algebra of the given type. It follows that  $\mathbb{P}(K)$  contains always a trivial algebra, for every class of similar algebras K.

**Example 2.16** (Powerset algebras). Boolean algebras of the form  $\langle \mathcal{P}(X); \cap, \cup, -, \emptyset, X \rangle$  are called *powerset Boolean algebras*. Let  $\boldsymbol{B}$  be the two-element Boolean algebra and observe that  $\mathbb{IP}(\boldsymbol{B})$  is the class of algebras isomorphic to some powerset Boolean algebra. To prove this, observe that every powerset Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is isomorphic to a direct product of  $\boldsymbol{B}$  via the *characteristic function*  $f_X \colon \mathcal{P}(X) \to \prod_{x \in X} \boldsymbol{B}_x$ , defined by the rule

$$f(Y)(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in Y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin Y, \end{cases}$$

where  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $x \in X$ . By the same token, every direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{B}_i$  of  $\mathbf{B}$  is isomorphic to the powerset Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(I)$  via the isomorphism  $f_I$ .

We close this section by reviewing the subdirect product construction.

**Definition 2.17.** A subalgebra B of a direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is said to be a *subdirect product* of  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  if the projection map  $p_i$  is surjective, for every  $i \in I$ . Similarly, an embedding  $f : B \to \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  is said to be *subdirect* when f[B] is a subdirect product of the family  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ .

Given a class of similar algebras K, we set

$$\mathbb{P}_{SD}(\mathsf{K}) \coloneqq \{A : A \text{ is a subdirect direct product of a family } \{B_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathsf{K}\}.$$

As usual, when  $K = \{A\}$ , we write  $\mathbb{P}_{SD}(A)$  as a shorthand for  $\mathbb{P}_{SD}(\{A\})$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{P}_{SD}(K) \subseteq \mathbb{SP}(K)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbb{P}_{SD}(K)$  contains always a trivial algebra.

**Example 2.18** (Distributive lattices). Let DL be the class of distributive lattices and B be the two-element distributive lattice. Birkhoff's Representation Theorem states that  $DL = \mathbb{IP}_{SD}(B)$ . The inclusion  $\mathbb{IP}_{SD}(B) \subseteq DL$  follows from the fact that DL is closed under  $\mathbb{I}$ ,  $\mathbb{S}$ , and  $\mathbb{P}$ . For the other inclusion, consider a distributive lattice A and let I be the set of its prime filters. By Birkhoff's Representation Theorem, the map

$$\gamma\colon A\to\prod_{F\in I}B_F$$
,

defined, for every  $a \in A$  and  $F \in I$ , by the rule

$$\gamma(a)(F) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in F \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin F, \end{cases}$$

is a well-defined subdirect embedding.

**Example 2.19** (Boolean algebras). Similarly, Stone's Representation Theorem states that the class of Boolean algebras coincides with  $\mathbb{IP}_{SD}(B)$ , where B the two-element Boolean algebra.

 $\boxtimes$ 

The next result provides a general recipe to construct subdirect products.

**Proposition 2.20.** *Let* A *be an algebra and*  $\{\theta_i : i \in I\} \subseteq Con(A)$ *. Then the map* 

$$f \colon A / \bigcap_{i \in I} \theta_i o \prod_{i \in I} A / \theta_i$$
,

*defined, for every a*  $\in$  *A and j*  $\in$  *I, as* 

$$f(a/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i)(j):=a/\theta_j,$$

is a subdirect embedding.

*Proof.* For the sake of readability, set  $\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{A} / \bigcap_{i \in I} \theta_i$ . To prove that f is injective, consider  $a, c \in A$  such that  $\langle a, c \rangle \notin \bigcap_{i \in I} \theta_i$ . Then there exists  $j \in I$  such that  $\langle a, c \rangle \notin \theta_j$  and, therefore,

$$f(a/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i)(j):=a/\theta_j\neq c/\theta_j=f(c/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i)(j).$$

It follows that  $f(a/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i)\neq f(c/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i)$ . Thus, f is injective. Moreover, by the definition of f, the composition  $p_i\circ f\colon B\to A/\theta_i$  is surjective, for every  $i\in I$ .

It only remains to prove that f is a homomorphism. Consider an n-ary basic operation g and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ . For every  $j \in I$ , we have

$$f(g^{B}(a_{1}/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_{i},\ldots,a_{n}/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_{i}))(j) = f(g^{A}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n})/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_{i})(j)$$

$$= g^{A}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n})/\theta_{j}$$

$$= g^{A/\theta_{j}}(a_{1}/\theta_{j},\ldots,a_{n}/\theta_{j})$$

$$= g^{A/\theta_{j}}(f(a_{1}/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_{i})(j),\ldots,f(a_{n}/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_{i})(j))$$

$$= g^{\prod_{i\in I}A/\theta_{i}}(f(a_{1}/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_{i}),\ldots,f(a_{n}/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_{i}))(j).$$

It follows that

$$f(g^{\mathbf{B}}(a_1/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i,\ldots,a_n/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i))=g^{\prod_{i\in I}A/\theta_i}(f(a_1/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i),\ldots,f(a_n/\bigcap_{i\in I}\theta_i)).$$

#### 3 PREVARIETIES

**Definition 3.1.** A class of similar algebras closed  $\mathbb{I}$ ,  $\mathbb{S}$ , and  $\mathbb{P}$  is a said to be a *prevariety*.

Given a class of similar algebras K, the least prevariety extending K is  $\mathbb{SP}(K)$  and is called the prevariety *generated* by K. Our aim will be to prove that prevarieties are precisely the classes of algebras axiomatized by a certain kind of infinitary formulas.

When no confusion shall arise, given a sequence  $\vec{a}$  and a set A, we write  $\vec{a} \in A$  to indicate that the elements of the sequence  $\vec{a}$  belong to A.

**Definition 3.2.** A *generalized quasi-equation* of type  $\rho$  is an expression  $\Phi$  of the form

$$\left( \underbrace{\mathcal{E}}_{i \in I} \varphi_i(\vec{x}) \approx \psi_i(\vec{x}) \right) \Longrightarrow \varepsilon(\vec{x}) \approx \delta(\vec{x}),$$

where  $\{\varphi_i \approx \psi_i : i \in I\} \cup \{\varepsilon \approx \delta\}$  is a set of equations of type  $\rho$ . Then  $\Phi$  is *valid* in an algebra A of type  $\rho$  when so is its universal closure, that is, for every  $\vec{a} \in A$ ,

if 
$$(\varphi_i^A(\vec{a}) = \psi_i^A(\vec{a})$$
, for all  $i \in I$ ), then  $\varepsilon^A(\vec{a}) = \delta^A(\vec{a})$ .

In this case, we often say that *A satisfies*  $\Phi$ .

Notice that, in the above definition, the set of indexes I can be arbitrarily large and that the same applies to the sequence of variables  $\vec{x}$  that appear in the equations of  $\Phi$ . This motivates the following.

**Definition 3.3.** A generalized quasi-equation is said to be

- (i) a *quasi-equation* when the index set *I* is finite; and
- (ii) an *equation* when the index set *I* is empty.

Remark 3.4. It might seem that we are using the term *equations* to refer to two distinct kinds of expressions, namely those of the form  $\varepsilon \approx \delta$  and  $\emptyset \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \approx \delta$ . This is not a problem, however, because these expressions are synonyms, in the sense that an algebra satisfies  $\varepsilon \approx \delta$  if and only if it satisfies  $\emptyset \Longrightarrow \varepsilon \approx \delta$ . Because of this, we will continue to denote equations by  $\varepsilon \approx \delta$ , while keeping in mind that they are special instances of generalized quasi-equations.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\rho: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a type and X a set of variables disjoint from  $\mathcal{F}$ . The *term algebra*  $T_{\rho}(X)$  of type  $\rho$  over X is the unique algebra of type  $\rho$  whose universe is  $T_{\rho}(X)$  and with basic n-ary operations f defined, for every  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \in T_{\rho}(X)$ , as

$$f^{\mathbf{T}_{\rho}(X)}(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n) := f(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n).$$

Term algebras have the following fundamental property.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let A be an algebra of type  $\rho$  and X a set of variables. Every function  $f: X \to A$  extends uniquely to a homomorphism  $f^*: T_{\rho}(X) \to A$ .

*Proof.* The unique extension  $f^*$  is defined, for every  $\varphi(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots x_{\alpha_n}) \in T_{\rho}(X)$ , as

$$f^*(\varphi) = \varphi^A(f(x_{\alpha_1}), \dots, f(x_{\alpha_n})).$$

 $\boxtimes$ 

*Exercise* 3.7. Prove the above proposition.

**Theorem 3.8.** A class of similar algebras is a prevariety if and only if it can be axiomatized by a class of generalized quasi-equations.

*Proof.* The "if" part follows from the fact that the validity of generalized quasi-equations persists under the formation of isomorphic copies, subalgebras, and direct product. To prove the converse, consider a prevariety K and let  $\Sigma$  be the proper class of generalized quasi-equations valid in it. Let K<sup>+</sup> be the class of algebras in which the generalized quasi-equations in  $\Sigma$  are valid. Clearly, K  $\subseteq$  K<sup>+</sup>. To prove the other inclusion, consider an algebra  $A \in K^+$ . Let also X be a set of variables for which there exists a surjective map  $f \colon X \to A$ . By Proposition 3.6, f extends to a surjective homomorphism  $f^* \colon T_\rho(X) \to A$ . Together with Corollary 2.14, this yields

$$A \cong T_{\rho}(X)/\operatorname{Ker}(f^*). \tag{3}$$

Now, consider an arbitrary pair  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in (T_{\rho}(X) \times T_{\rho}(X)) \setminus \text{Ker}(f^*)$ . Notice that the elements of  $T_{\rho}(X) \times T_{\rho}(X)$  are ordered pairs of terms and, therefore, can be viewed as equations under the identification of  $\langle \varepsilon, \delta \rangle$  with  $\varepsilon \approx \delta$ . In this way,  $\text{Ker}(f^*)$  becomes a set of equations in variables X. Bearing this in mind, consider the generalized quasi-equation

$$\Phi \coloneqq \Big( \: \mbox{\ensuremath{\&}} {\rm Ker}(f^*) \Big) \Longrightarrow \varphi \approx \psi.$$

We will prove that  $\Phi$  fails in A. For the sake of readability we will denote by  $\vec{x}$  the sequence of all variables in X. Observe that every element  $\varepsilon \in T_{\rho}(X)$  is of the form  $\varepsilon(\vec{x})$ . Then consider the assignment  $f \colon X \to A$ . We will denote by  $f(\vec{x})$  the sequence obtained by applying f component-wise to  $\vec{x}$ . For every pair  $\langle \varepsilon, \delta \rangle \in \text{Ker}(f^*)$ , we have

$$\varepsilon^A(f(\vec{x})) = \varepsilon^A(f^*(\vec{x})) = f^*(\varepsilon(\vec{x})) = f^*(\delta(\vec{x})) = \delta^A(f^*(\vec{x})) = \delta^A(f(\vec{x})).$$

The equalities above can be justified as follows. The first and the last holds because  $f^*$  extends f, the second and the fourth because  $f^*$ :  $T_\rho(X) \to A$  is a homomorphism, and the third because  $\langle \varepsilon, \delta \rangle \in \operatorname{Ker}(f^*)$ . On the other hand, since  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \notin \operatorname{Ker}(f^*)$ , a similar argument shows

$$\varphi^{A}(f(\vec{x})) \neq \psi^{A}(f(\vec{x})).$$

Thus, A refutes  $\Phi$ , as desired.

Since  $A \in K^+$ , this implies that there exists some algebra  $C_{\varphi,\psi} \in K$  and an assignment  $g_{\varphi,\psi} \colon X \to C_{\varphi,\psi}$  such that

$$\varepsilon^{C_{\varphi,\psi}}(g_{\varphi,\psi}(\vec{x})) = \delta^{C_{\varphi,\psi}}(g_{\varphi,\psi}(\vec{x})), \text{ for all } \langle \varepsilon, \delta \rangle \in \mathsf{Ker}(f^*), \text{ and } \varphi^{C_{\varphi,\psi}}(g_{\varphi,\psi}(\vec{x})) \neq \psi^{C_{\varphi,\psi}}(g_{\varphi,\psi}(\vec{x})).$$

Recall that  $g_{\varphi,\psi}$  extends uniquely to a homomorphism  $g_{\varphi,\psi}^* \colon T_{\rho}(X) \to C_{\varphi,\psi}$ . Moreover, from the above display it follows

$$g_{\varphi,\psi}^*(\varepsilon) = g_{\varphi,\psi}^*(\delta)$$
, for all  $\langle \varepsilon, \delta \rangle \in \mathsf{Ker}(f^*)$ , and  $g_{\varphi,\psi}^*(\varphi) \neq g_{\varphi,\psi}^*(\psi)$ .

Consequently,

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Ker}}(f^*) \subseteq \operatorname{\mathsf{Ker}}(g_{\varphi,\psi}^*) \text{ and } \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \notin \operatorname{\mathsf{Ker}}(g_{\varphi,\psi}^*).$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Ker}}(f^*) = \bigcap \{\operatorname{\mathsf{Ker}}(g_{\varphi,\psi}^*) : \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in (T_\rho(X) \times T_\rho(X)) \smallsetminus \operatorname{\mathsf{Ker}}(f^*)\}.$$

By Proposition 2.20, this yields

$$T_{\rho}(X)/\mathsf{Ker}(f^*) \in \mathbb{IP}_{\mathrm{SD}}(\{T_{\rho}(X)/\mathsf{Ker}(g^*_{\varrho,\psi}): \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in (T_{\rho}(X) \times T_{\rho}(X)) \setminus \mathsf{Ker}(f^*)\}).$$
 (4)

Moreover, from Corollary 2.14 and the fact that K is closed under  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $\mathbb{S}$  it follows that

$$T_{\rho}(X)/\mathsf{Ker}(g_{\sigma,\psi}^*) \in \mathbb{IS}(C_{\varphi,\psi}) \subseteq \mathsf{K},$$

for every  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in (T_{\rho}(X) \times T_{\rho}(X)) \setminus \text{Ker}(f^*)$ . Consequently, (4) simplifies to

$$T_{\rho}(X)/\mathsf{Ker}(f^*) \in \mathbb{IP}_{SD}(\mathsf{K}) \subseteq \mathsf{K}$$
,

where the last inclusion follows from the fact that K is a prevariety. Together with (3), this yields  $A \in \mathbb{I}(K) \subseteq K$ .

Remark 3.9. In view of Theorem 3.8, prevarieties are classes of algebras axiomatized by classes of generalized quasi-equations. It is therefore natural to wonder whether there exists a prevariety that cannot be axiomatized by a set (as opposed to proper class) of generalized quasi-equations. It turns out that the answer to this question depends on the set theory we live in, as the nonexistence of such a prevariety is equivalent to Vopěnka's Principle.

Nonetheless, prevarieties axiomatizable by a set of generalized quasi-equations admit a relatively transparent description, as we proceed to explain. Given an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  and a class of algebras K, let

$$\mathbb{U}_{\kappa}(\mathsf{K}) := \{A : B \in \mathsf{K}, \text{ for all } \kappa\text{-generated } B \leqslant A\}.$$

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A  $\kappa$ -generalized quasi-variety is a prevariety closed under  $\mathbb{U}_{\kappa}$ .

When  $\kappa = \aleph_0$ , we often say that K is simply a *generalized quasi-variety*. Given a class of similar algebras K, the least  $\kappa$ -generalized quasi-variety extending K is  $\mathbb{U}_{\kappa} \mathbb{ISP}(\mathsf{K})$  and is called the  $\kappa$ -generalized quasi-variety *generated* by K.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A class of similar algebras is a  $\kappa$ -generalized quasivariety if and only if it can be axiomatized by a set of generalized quasi-equations in which at most  $\kappa$  variables occur.

*Proof.* The "if" part follows from the fact that the validity of generalized quasi-equations in  $\leq \kappa$  variables persist under the  $\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{S}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U}_{\kappa}$ . To prove the converse, consider a  $\kappa$ -generalized quasi-variety K. Then let X be a set of variables of cardinality  $\kappa$  and  $\Sigma$  the class of generalized quasi-equations written with variables in X. Since X is a set, so is  $\Sigma$ . It only remains to prove that K coincides with the class  $K^+$  of algebras satisfying the generalized quasi-equations in  $\Sigma$ . Clearly,  $K \subseteq K^+$ . To prove the other inclusion, consider an algebra  $A \in K^+$ . We need to prove that  $A \in K$ . Since K is closed under  $\mathbb{U}_{\kappa}$ , it suffices to show that all the  $\kappa$ -generated subalgebras of A belong to K.

Accordingly, let B be a  $\kappa$ -generated subalgebra of A and  $Y \subseteq B$  a set of generators for B of size  $\leq \kappa$ . There exists a surjective map  $f \colon X \to Y$ . By Proposition 3.6, f extends to a surjective homomorphism  $f^* \colon T_\rho(X) \to B$ . Now, we repeat the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.8, obtaining  $B \in K$ , as desired.

**Corollary 3.12.** A prevariety can be axiomatized by a set of generalized quasi-equations if and only if it is a  $\kappa$ -generalized quasi-variety, for some infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ .

*Exercise*\* 3.13. Let K be a class of similar algebras and  $\kappa$  an infinite cardinal. Prove that the prevariety and the  $\kappa$ -generalized quasi-variety generated by K are, respectively,  $\mathbb{ISP}(K)$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{\kappa}\mathbb{ISP}(K)$ .

Department of Philosophy, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Barcelona, Carrer de Montalegre 6, 08001, Barcelona, Spain

Email address: tommaso.moraschini@ub.edu