Chapter 20: Homogeneous and Homothetic Functions

Definition and Examples

<u>Definition</u>: For any scalar k, a real-valued function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is **homogeneous of degree** k if:

$$f(tx_1,\ldots,tx_n)=t^kf(x_1,\ldots,x_n),\quad \forall x_1,\ldots,x_n;\ \forall t>0$$
 (1)

- Examples:
- 1. $x_1^2x_2 + 3x_1x_2^2 + x_2^3$ is homogeneous of degree three, since each term is homogeneous of degree three.
 - Replacing x_1, x_2, x_3 by tx_1, tx_2, tx_3 :

$$(tx_1)^2(tx_2) + 3(tx_1)(tx_2)^2 + (tx_2)^3 = t^2x_1^2tx_2 + 3tx_1t^2x_2^2 + t^3x_2^3$$

= $t^3(x_1^2x_2 + 3x_1x_2^2 + x_2^3)$

- 2. $x_1^7x_2x_3^2 + 5x_1^6 x_2^5x_3^5$ is homogeneous of degree ten, since each term is homogeneous of degree ten.
 - Replacing x_1, x_2, x_3 by tx_1, tx_2, tx_3 :

$$egin{aligned} (tx_1)^7(tx_2)(tx_3)^2 + (tx_1)^6(tx_2)^4 + (tx_2)^5(tx_3)^5 \ &= t^{10}ig(x_1^7x_2x_3^2 + 5x_1^6 - x_2^5x_3^5ig) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

- 3. $4x_1^2 5x_1x_2^2$ is *not* homogeneous since the first term has degree five and the second has degree three.
- 4. A linear function $z=\sum_i a_i x_i$ is homogeneous of degree one.
- 5. A quadratic form $z=\sum_{i,j}a_{ij}x_ix_j$ is homogeneous of degree two.
- 6. The function $f_1(x_1,x_2)=30x_1^{1/2}x_2^{3/2}-2x_1^3x_2^{-1}$ is homogeneous of degree two.
- 7. The function $f_2(x_1,x_2)=x_1^{1/2}x_2^{1/4}+x_1^2x_2^{-5/4}$ is homogeneous of degree three-quarters.
- 8. The function $f_3(x_1,x_2)=rac{x_1^7-3x_1^2x_2^5}{x_1^4+2x_1^2x_2^2+x_2^4}$ is homogeneous of degree three.
- However, the only homogeneous functions of one variable are the functions of the form $z=ax^k,\ \forall k\in\mathbb{R}.$
 - \circ To prove this statement, let z=f(x) be an arbitrary homogeneous function of one variable.
 - Let $a \equiv f(1)$ and let x be arbitrary.
 - Then, $f(x) = f(x \cdot 1) = x^k f(1) = ax^k$.

Homogeneous Functions in Economics

Economists often find it convenient to work with homogeneous functions as production functions.

• For example, if $q = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is production function which is homogeneous fo degree one, then:

$$f(tx_1,\ldots,tx_n)=tf(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \quad (2)$$

for all input bundles (x_1, \ldots, x_n) and all t > 0.

- Taking t = 2, eq. (2) says that if the firm doubles all inputs, it doubles its output too.
 - For t=3, if it triples each input, it triples the corresponding output.
 - Such a firm is said to exhibit **constant returns to scale**.
- Suppose, on the other hand, the production function is homogeneous of degree k > 1.
 - If such a firm were to double the amount of each input, its output would rise by a factor of 2^k .
 - Since k > 1, its output would more than double.
 - Such a firm is said to exhibit **increasing returns to scale**.
- Finally, a firm which has a production function that is homogeneous of degree k < 1, will have its output increase by a factor less than two when it doubles all its inputs.
 - Such a firm exhibits decreasing returns to scale.

A specific homogeneous functional form which economists frequently use as a production or utility function is the **Cobb-Douglas function**:

$$q = Ax_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}\cdots x_n^{a_n}, \quad {f (3)}$$

a monomial with exponents a_1, \ldots, a_n that are usually positive fractions.

- Economists interested in estimating the production function of a specific firm or industry
 will often try to find the Cobb-Douglas production function which best fits the firm's inputoutput data.
 - They can often use linear ordinary least squares techniques since by taking the logarithm of both sides of function (3), they can work with the log of the output as linear function of the logs of the inputs: $\log q = \log A + a_1 \log x_1 + \cdots + a_n \log x_n$.
- Notice that a Cobb Douglas production function exhibits decreasing, constant, or increasing returns to scale according to whether the sum of its exponents is less than, equal to, or greater than 1.
 - Economists have usually found in their empirical studies that this sum is very close to 1.

While production functions are often homogeneous *by assumption*, demand functions are homogeneous *by nature* (at least if we ignore the "money illusion").

- Recall that a demand function $\mathbf{x} = D(\mathbf{p}, I)$ associates to each price vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and income level I, an individual's most-preferred consumption bundle \mathbf{x} at those prices and income.
 - It is the solution of the basic consumer maximization problem: $\mathbf{x} = D(\mathbf{p}, I)$ maximizes $U(\mathbf{x})$ subject to the constraints $x_i \geq 0, \ \forall i \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq I \ \mathbf{(4)}.$
 - Notice that if all the prices and the consumer's income tripled, constraint (4) would not change.
 - We could just divide the new inequality through by 3 to return to the original inequality.
- In terms of demand function: $D(t\mathbf{p}, tI) = D(\mathbf{p}, I), \ \forall \mathbf{p}, I \ \mathbf{(5)}.$
 - Since $t^0 = 1$, eq. (5) states that demand is homogeneous of degree 0 in **p** and *I*.
 - Since each individual demand function is homogeneous of degree zero, the sum of these individual demands, aggregate demand, is also homogeneous of degree zero.

Finally, a similar, straightforward calculation shows that for a firm in a competitive market, the (minimal) cost function is a homogeneous function of input prices and the optimal function is a homogeneous function of output price.