



FSA
ELA & Mathematics
Assessments

Grade 7
FSA ELA Writing
Practice Test

The purpose of these practice test materials is to orient teachers and students to the types of passages and prompts on FSA ELA Writing tests. Each spring, students in grades 4–10 are administered one text-based writing prompt for the FSA English Language Arts test. Students will respond to either an informative/explanatory prompt or to an opinion/argumentation prompt. An example of a text-based writing prompt for each grade is available for practice. To familiarize students with the response formats, teachers may encourage students to practice with each type of prompt within a grade band.

The following FSA ELA Writing Practice Tests are available on the Florida Statewide Assessments Portal as shown below:

Elementary Grade Band

Grade 4 - Informative/Explanatory

Grade 5 - Opinion

Middle Grade Band

Grade 6 - Informative/Explanatory

Grade 7 - Argumentation

Grade 8 - Informative/Explanatory

High School Grade Band

Grade 9 - Argumentation

Grade 10 - Informative/Explanatory

The practice test is not intended to guide classroom instruction.

Read the “Goofs and Great Inventions” passage set.

Goofs and Great Inventions

Lost Cities, Lost Treasure

- 1 In 1871, an adventurer named Heinrich Schliemann started digging in the ground of a Turkish city, seeking the lost land of Troy. Schliemann, a businessman and scholar, was born in Germany in 1822. As a young man he dreamed of discovering the treasures of the ancient world, and even made a plan for it when he was nine years old.
- 2 His youthful sense of adventure eventually brought him to California, where he made a fortune in the gold rush. With his profits, he began his second career in archaeology.
- 3 Archaeology was still a young science in the 1800s. In fact, it was hardly a science at all. The promise of treasure and adventure in foreign lands attracted people like Schliemann. Like a lot of treasure hunters, Schliemann was smart, curious—and hungry for gold or fame. On the other hand, he loved ancient cultures, especially Greek culture. He loved learning and traveling. By the end of his life, he spoke 13 languages, including his native German. He loved Greek history and culture so much that he and his wife Sophia named their children Agamemnon and Andromache.
- 4 There was another, less likeable side to Schliemann. He has been described as a trickster who didn’t always tell the truth. He was known for changing or making up details in his stories of discovery. He wrote a thrilling account of his experience in the San Francisco fire of 1849—even though he was nowhere near San Francisco at the time. And as much as he loved antiquities and learning, his love of attention and money were equally strong. They may have been too strong in the end.
- 5 In 1868 he had been seeking the lost city of Troy for many years. He found out that a British archaeologist named Frank Calvert owned part of a site in Turkey. It was near the modern town of Canakkale. Calvert believed that ancient Troy was founded at this site. He did not have the funds to dig or discover for himself. Schliemann agreed to fund and share in the work.
- 6 Calvert was very different from Schliemann. He was self-taught, modest, and liked to keep his discoveries quiet. He was serious about protecting the artifacts he found. He did not have enough money of

his own to carry out his work. He had to rely on Schliemann's funds. This proved disastrous for him, and perhaps even worse for the remains of Troy.

- 7 By 1871, Schliemann had started digging up Troy his way. He was convinced he knew exactly how far down to dig, and how to get there. He had workers open up huge trenches in the earth, shoveling out layers of debris and artifacts that had lain undisturbed for centuries. Although he and Calvert both discovered treasure, the damage done to the site was profound. Today, archaeologists believe that the historic Troy that the Greek poet Homer described was in a layer much higher up. We will never know for sure.
- 8 Schliemann's careless actions erased important clues to Troy's past. Schliemann took the credit for what was found, and Calvert's contribution was almost forgotten. Calvert's family is still fighting to give him full credit for finding ancient Troy. Schliemann is remembered not only as a great explorer but also for being dishonest. Yet both men discovered great things at the site: ancient axes, household items, and jewels. Together, they did prove there had been an ancient city called Troy. The gold and other precious items they found are now in the Pushkin Museum in Moscow, Russia. Was the find worth the damage it caused to the site?

"Lost Cities, Lost Treasure." Written for educational purposes.

How a Melted Bar of Chocolate Changed Our Kitchens

- 9 Percy Spencer never set out to help you cook your dinner in only 5 minutes. All he did was carry a bar of chocolate in his pocket onto the factory floor. What he discovered that day was more than how to get chocolate stains out of your clothes. He discovered a completely new way to cook.
- 10 The events leading up to the most powerful melted candy in the world gives us a clue to the kind of person Percy Spencer was. He was born in the town of Howland, Maine in 1894. As a boy, he liked to tinker and discover how things worked. His uncle was handy with machines, and taught Spencer a lot about them. When a log hauler broke down outside their house, the young boy had fun watching and helping while his uncle worked to fix the truck.

- 11 Percy went to work quite early to help support his family. By the time he was 16, he was working full-time in a machine shop. He volunteered to be one of three men who helped the machine shop convert to electrical power in 1910. He had no real training at the time, but he decided to try. That experience taught him everything he needed to be an electrician.
- 12 Imagine for a moment what that was like for young Percy Spencer. Today, every year, computers are becoming faster and smaller. Touch-screen technology is still very new. We have hardly begun to understand what else we can discover. Imagine you are Percy Spencer in the brand-new world of electrical engineering. So much to discover! And so many mistakes to make!
- 13 In everything he did from then on, Percy Spencer seemed to run toward discovery and take the chance of making mistakes. He joined the navy to become a telegraph operator. Once again, he did not know much about this type of work. He taught himself what he needed at night, while he was standing watch on the ship.
- 14 By the time he was in his early 20s, Percy Spencer had taken another big leap. He was only the fifth employee of the new company Raytheon. He continued inventing and learning. The company grew, and his knowledge grew with it. Soon he was an equal with the smartest and best educated people in the United States.
- 15 Before Percy Spencer's lucky microwave discovery, Raytheon was most famous for making a device that helped shrink down the radio to a modest size and cost. That helped put radios in many American households, making it one of the first devices for broad, shared communication. Raytheon also manufactured magnetic devices used for tracking moving vehicles and other moving objects on Earth or in space. One of Raytheon's experiments was the Magnetron. The Magnetron generated microwaves, which are exactly what they sound like: small waves that are shorter in length than a regular radio wave. Scientists at Raytheon discovered that the Magnetron gave off a lot of heat. No one made a connection between this high heat and any possibilities for progress until Percy Spencer.
- 16 Standing near the Magnetron one day while it was on, Spencer noticed that the bar of chocolate in his pocket melted. He had a moment of realization. He asked for popcorn kernels, and put them near the heat. Minutes later, the man we can thank for microwave popcorn had a discovery on his hands.

- 17 It took more than 20 years, a lot of patience, and many mistakes before Raytheon perfected a microwave oven that people could use and could afford. In 1967, the Amana Radarange made its debut. Movies on demand at home were still decades away, but at least now Americans were ready with the popcorn. All thanks to Percy Spencer and his mistaken snack.

"How a Melted Bar of Chocolate Changed Our Kitchens." Written for educational purposes.

In Praise of Careful Science

- 18 Don't be fooled: Accidents usually do not lead to great discoveries. Although it is fun to think about how a bump on the head from an apple changed our understanding of gravity, the reality is less fun. Most of the time, scientists work for decades and make very few mistakes. When they do, most mistakes cause problems, not progress.
- 19 Scientist John Denker says that many "big discoveries" were actually invented, step by step. Scientists made small discoveries over time. A lot of these small discoveries were predicted, and then proven, with no mistakes. Denker describes how magnetrons and radar were discovered. They were researched for years. The work was kept secret. The scientists worked to avoid mistakes. When they were announced, the public did not imagine the years that went into that work. Then, Percy Spencer accidentally discovered the magnetron's property for heating food. That one moment overshadowed many years of careful science.
- 20 It also took more than 20 years after the "melted chocolate moment" to bring a commercial microwave to the public. The equally important process after discovery is also ignored. During that long process after discovery, scientists need to test their ideas with care and make as few mistakes as possible. When Pierre and Marie Curie discovered radium, they spent years after the 1898 discovery studying it. They were still studying it when they shared the Nobel Prize for Science with Henri Becquerel in 1903.
- 21 As John Denker says, "I am reminded of the rock star who said it took him 15 years to become an overnight sensation."
- 22 It is too easy to say, "Without mistakes, no discoveries can be made." Most mistakes do not lead directly to discoveries. Thomas Edison said that inventing was 1 percent inspiration and 99 percent perspiration. The typical role of a mistake in science is not to lead to

a brilliant invention, but to teach a scientist how to do better next time. As space physicist Carl Sagan once said, "Science is a self-correcting process."

"In Praise of Careful Science." Written for educational purposes.

A Series of Quotations about Error and Discovery

- 23 "We learn wisdom from failure much more than from success. We often discover what will do by finding out what will not do; and probably he who never made a mistake never made a discovery."—19th century Scottish author Samuel Smiles
- 24 "Error is a hardy plant; it flourisheth in every soil."—19th century English writer Martin Farquhar Tupper
- 25 "Love truth, but pardon error."—18th century author and philosopher Francois Marie Arouet Voltaire
- 26 "The aim of science is to seek the simplest explanations of complex facts. We are apt to fall into the error of thinking that the facts are simple because simplicity is the goal of our quest. The guiding motto in the life of every natural philosopher should be, Seek simplicity and distrust it."—20th century philosopher Alfred North Whitehead

"A Series of Quotations about Error and Discovery." Written for educational purposes.

Writing Prompt

It's no secret that sometimes great discoveries come as a result of really big mistakes. But are they always worth the problems they cause? Sometimes the mistakes lead to greatness, and sometimes they lead to disaster. Are mistakes key to making discoveries?

Write an essay in which you take a position on whether or not mistakes are a key part of discovery. Use the information presented in the passages to support your points. Make sure to include information from all the passages in your essay.

Manage your time carefully so that you can

- read the passages;
- plan your essay;
- write your essay; and
- revise and edit your essay.

Be sure to

- include a claim;
- address counterclaims;
- use evidence from multiple sources; and
- avoid overly relying on one source.

Your response should be in the form of a multiparagraph essay. Write your answer in the space provided.

A large rectangular area with horizontal lines for writing.

A large rectangular area with horizontal lines, intended for writing or drawing.

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.



FSA
ELA & Mathematics
Assessments

Grade 7
FSA ELA Writing
Rubric

The FSA ELA Writing Rubric is a scoring tool that describes the characteristics of a written response for each score point within each domain. The rubric may assist educators with evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of student responses based on the text-based writing prompt/task included in the practice test as well as responses based on other text-based writing prompts/tasks educators choose to use in a classroom setting.

The FSA ELA Writing tests for Grades 7–10 will be administered online. Grades 4–6 will be paper-based assessments for all students and for students in Grades 7–10 with an IEP or 504 plan that specifies a paper-based accommodation. (Paper-based and online FSA ELA Practice Writing tests are available on the FSA portal so that students have an opportunity to practice with both administration formats.)

The following FSA ELA Writing Practice Tests are available on the Florida Statewide Assessments Portal:

Elementary Grade Band

Grade 4 - Informative/Explanatory

Grade 5 - Opinion

Middle Grade Band

Grade 6 - Informative/Explanatory

Grade 7 - Argumentation

Grade 8 - Informative/Explanatory

High School Grade Band

Grade 9 - Argumentation

Grade 10 - Informative/Explanatory

Grade 7 Argumentation Text-based Writing Rubric (Score points within each domain include most of the characteristics below.)			
Score	Purpose, Focus, and Organization (4-point Rubric)	Evidence and Elaboration (4-point Rubric)	Conventions of Standard English (2-point Rubric begins at score point 2)
4	<p>The response is fully sustained and consistently focused within the purpose, audience, and task; and it has a clear claim and effective organizational structure creating coherence and completeness. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly maintained claim with little or no loosely related material Clearly addressed alternate or opposing claims* Skillful use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas Logical progression of ideas from beginning to end with a satisfying introduction and conclusion Appropriate style and tone established and maintained 	<p>The response provides thorough, convincing, and credible support, citing evidence for the writer's claim that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Smoothly integrated, thorough, and relevant evidence, including precise references to sources Effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques to support the claim, demonstrating an understanding of the topic and text Clear and effective expression of ideas, using precise language Academic and domain-specific vocabulary clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose Varied sentence structure, demonstrating language facility 	
3	<p>The response is adequately sustained and generally focused within the purpose, audience, and task; and it has a clear claim and evident organizational structure with a sense of completeness. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintained claim, though some loosely related material may be present Alternate or opposing claims included but may not be completely addressed* Adequate use of a variety of transitional strategies to clarify the relationships between and among ideas Adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end with a sufficient introduction and conclusion Appropriate style and tone established 	<p>The response provides adequate support, citing evidence for the writer's claim that includes the use of sources, facts, and details. The response includes most of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generally integrated and relevant evidence from sources, though references may be general or imprecise Adequate use of some elaborative techniques Adequate expression of ideas, employing a mix of precise and general language Domain-specific vocabulary generally appropriate for the audience and purpose Some variation in sentence structure 	
<i>Continued on the following page</i>			

Score	Purpose, Focus, and Organization (4-point Rubric)	Evidence and Elaboration (4-point Rubric)	Conventions of Standard English (2-point Rubric)
2	<p>The response is somewhat sustained within the purpose, audience, and task but may include loosely related or extraneous material; and it may have a claim with an inconsistent organizational structure. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focused claim but insufficiently sustained or unclear • Insufficiently addressed alternate or opposing claims* • Inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety • Uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end with an inadequate introduction or conclusion 	<p>The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the writer's claim that includes partial use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weakly integrated evidence from sources; erratic or irrelevant references or citations • Repetitive or ineffective use of elaborative techniques • Imprecise or simplistic expression of ideas • Some use of inappropriate domain-specific vocabulary • Most sentences limited to simple constructions 	<p>The response demonstrates an adequate command of basic conventions. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some minor errors in usage but no patterns of errors • Adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
1	<p>The response is related to the topic but may demonstrate little or no awareness of the purpose, audience, and task; and it may have no discernible claim and little or no discernible organizational structure. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Absent, confusing, or ambiguous claim • Missing alternate or opposing claims* • Few or no transitional strategies • Frequent extraneous ideas that impede understanding • Too brief to demonstrate knowledge of focus or organization 	<p>The response provides minimal support/evidence for the writer's claim, including little if any use of sources, facts, and details. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal, absent, erroneous, or irrelevant evidence or citations from the source material • Expression of ideas that is vague, unclear, or confusing • Limited and often inappropriate language or domain-specific vocabulary • Sentences limited to simple constructions 	<p>The response demonstrates a partial command of basic conventions. The response may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Various errors in usage • Inconsistent use of correct punctuation, capitalization, sentence formation, and spelling
0			<p>The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions, with frequent and severe errors often obscuring meaning.</p>

*Not applicable at grade 6