

## 9.1 Tight binding and variational models

Slides: Video 9.1.3 The variational method

Text reference: Quantum Mechanics for Scientists and Engineers

Section 6.6





# Tight binding and variational models



## The variational method

Quantum mechanics for scientists and engineers

David Miller

# Variational method

Consider an arbitrary quantum mechanical state  $|\phi\rangle$   
of some system

The Hamiltonian of the system is  $\hat{H}$   
and we want the expectation value of the energy  $\langle E \rangle$

Since the Hamiltonian is a Hermitian operator  
it has some complete set of eigenfunctions  $|\psi_n\rangle$   
with associated eigenenergies  $E_n$

We may not know what they are

but we do know that they exist

(Here, we assume the eigenvalues are not degenerate)

# Variational method

We can certainly expand any arbitrary state in the  $|\psi_n\rangle$   
so we can write as usual, for expansion coefficients  $a_i$

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_i a_i |\psi_i\rangle$$

We presume this is normalized, so  $\sum_i |a_i|^2 = 1$

Hence, the expectation value of the energy becomes

$$\langle E \rangle = \langle \phi | \hat{H} | \phi \rangle = \sum_i |a_i|^2 E_i$$

We also presume we have ordered the eigenfunctions  
in order of the eigenvalues, starting with the smallest,  $E_1$

# Variational method

From  $\langle E \rangle = \langle \phi | \hat{H} | \phi \rangle = \sum_i |a_i|^2 E_i$

the smallest possible expectation value of the energy  
that we can have for any state is  $E_1$

with  $a_1 = 1$  and all the other  $a_i$  zero

If we made another expansion coefficient  $a_j$  finite

then, using the normalization sum  $\sum_i |a_i|^2 = 1$

the energy expectation value has to increase

$$\langle E \rangle = |a_1|^2 E_1 + |a_j|^2 E_j = \left(1 - |a_j|^2\right) E_1 + |a_j|^2 E_j = E_1 + |a_j|^2 (E_j - E_1) > E_1$$

# Example of the variational method

We use our example problem of an electron in an infinitely deep potential well with applied field

We use as our trial function

an unknown linear combination of the first two states of the infinitely deep quantum well

though variational calculations more commonly choose some function unrelated to exact eigenfunctions of any problem

# Example of the variational method

Hence, our trial function is

$$\phi_{trial}(\xi, a_{\text{var}}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1 + a_{\text{var}}^2}} (\sin \pi \xi + a_{\text{var}} \sin 2\pi \xi)$$

where  $a_{\text{var}}$  is the parameter we vary to  
minimize the energy expectation value

Note that we have normalized this wavefunction  
by dividing by  $\sqrt{1 + a_{\text{var}}^2}$

We must normalize wavefunctions here because  
we use them to calculate expectation values

# Example of the variational method

The expectation value of the energy then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E(a_{\text{var}}) \rangle = & \frac{1}{1 + a_{\text{var}}^2} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \sqrt{2} \sin \pi \xi + a_{\text{var}} \sqrt{2} \sin 2\pi \xi \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \left( -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + f(\xi - 1/2) \right) \left( \sqrt{2} \sin \pi \xi + a_{\text{var}} \sqrt{2} \sin 2\pi \xi \right) d\xi \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite this using  $\int_0^1 \sin \pi \xi (\xi - 1/2) \sin 2\pi \xi d\xi = -\frac{8}{9\pi^2}$

the known unperturbed eigenenergies  
and the orthogonality of the sine functions



# Example of the variational method

We obtain  $\langle E(a_{\text{var}}) \rangle = \frac{1}{1+a_{\text{var}}^2} \left[ \varepsilon_1 (1+4a_{\text{var}}^2) - \frac{32a_{\text{var}}f}{9\pi^2} \right]$

To find the minimum

we take the derivative with respect to  $a_{\text{var}}$

$$\frac{d\langle E(a_{\text{var}}) \rangle}{da_{\text{var}}} = \frac{2}{9\pi^2} \frac{16fa_{\text{var}}^2 + 27\pi^2 a_{\text{var}} - 16f}{(1+a_{\text{var}}^2)^2}$$

which is 0 at the roots of the quadratic in the numerator

The root that gives the lowest value of  $\langle E(a_{\text{var}}) \rangle$  is

$$a_{\text{var min}} = \left[ -27\pi^2 + \sqrt{(27\pi^2)^2 + 1024f^2} \right] / 32f$$

# Example of the variational method

For  $f = 3$  in our example, we find  $a_{\text{var min}} \simeq 0.175$

which compares with

0.174 from the finite basis subset method and  
0.180 from the perturbation calculation

The corresponding energy expectation value

substituting the value of  $a_{\text{var min}}$  back into  $\langle E(a_{\text{var}}) \rangle$

is  $\langle E(0.175) \rangle \simeq 0.906$

which compares with

0.904 from the finite basis subset method and  
0.9025 from the perturbation calculation

