

# Path Tracing Review

Morgan McGuire  
CS888 Fall '19  
University of Waterloo



# Which is Real?



Left image is a photograph, right image is rendered by path tracing. This famous ground-truth test of a renderer is the origin of the “cornell box” 3D models—there’s a real box at Cornell.

Of course, modern renderers with good input can simulate *non-realistic scenes*:



Big Hero 6

In a way that still has realistic lighting, or fantastical geometry as if it was real...




I can't tell what is captured by a camera and what is rendered anymore in movies...



In this scene from Deadpool, you probably expect there to be some computer graphics...






But did you expect *the entire scene* to be CGI?  
Action movies are increasingly like this...











<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OnBC5bwV5y0>

And pretty much every car commercial and car scene in a film is now virtual, thanks to The Mill, one of my favorite VFX studios.

This is terrific stuff. Let's review the math that you studied previously in other graphics courses that lets us create algorithms for rendering images like these.

# Measurements

Quantity	Symb.	SI Unit	1 $\approx$
<b>Distance</b>	$x$	meters ( <b>m</b> )	Counter height
<b>Area</b>	$A$	Square meters ( <b>m<sup>2</sup></b> )	Desk top
<b>Angle</b>	$\theta$	radians ( <b>rad</b> )	57 degrees Northermost latitude in ON
<b>Solid Angle</b>	$\Gamma$	steradians ( <b>sr</b> )	Dodecahedron face The Americas
<b>Power</b> (energy/time)	$\Phi$	Watts ( <b>W</b> )	Cell phone flashlight
<b>Radiance</b>	$L$	<b>W/(m<sup>2</sup> sr)</b>	A bright indoor ray
<b>"Biradiance"</b>	$\beta$	<b>W/m<sup>2</sup></b>	Cell flashlight at arm's length

A tall person has a height of 2m and surface area of 2m<sup>2</sup>.

# Data Structures

```
class Vector3 {  
public: float x, y, z;  
};
```

```
typedef Vector3 Point3;
```

```
class Light {  
public:  
    Power3 power;  
    Point3 position;  
};
```

```
class Ray {  
public:  
    Point3 origin;  
    Vector3 direction;  
};
```

```
class Image {  
public:  
    Array<Color3> pixel;  
    int width, height;  
};
```

```
class Color3 {  
public: float r, g, b;  
};
```

```
typedef Color3 Radiance3;  
typedef Color3 Power3;
```

```
class Surface {  
public:  
    Array<Point3> position;  
    Array<int> index;  
};
```

Stop for questions on what we covered last time.

# Transformations

$$\mathbf{R}_{(x,y,z),\theta} = \mathbf{I} + \sin\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -z & y \\ z & 0 & -x \\ -y & x & 0 \end{bmatrix} + (1 - \cos\theta) \begin{bmatrix} -y^2 - z^2 & xy & zx \\ xy & -z^2 - x^2 & yz \\ zx & yz & -x^2 - y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Roll: } \mathbf{R}_{\hat{z},\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Yaw: } \mathbf{R}_{\hat{y},\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & 0 & \sin\theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta & 0 & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{x,y,z} = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{(x,y,z)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Pitch: } \mathbf{R}_{\hat{x},\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$



# Primary Rays

**Screen space:**

$x, y, w, h$

**World space:**


$z_p = -1$

$H = -2 \tan(\theta_y / 2) z_p$


$W = H * w / h$


$y_p = -(y / h - 1/2) * H$

$x_p = (x / w - 1/2) * W$



(You don't have to do this in this week's project)

















**Motion Blur**



**Defocus Blur ("Depth of Field")**



# The Rendering Equation



# The Rendering Equation

Outgoing direction

Incoming direction

$$L_o(X, \hat{\omega}_o) = L_e(X, \hat{\omega}_o) + \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}| d\hat{\omega}_i$$

A point in the scene

All incoming directions  
(a sphere)

$$L_o(X, \hat{\omega}_o) = L_e(X, \hat{\omega}_o) + \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}| d\hat{\omega}_i$$



# The Rendering Equation

$$L_o(X, \hat{\omega}_o) = L_e(X, \hat{\omega}_o) + \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}| d\hat{\omega}_i$$

Outgoing light

Emitted light

Incoming light

Material

$$L_o(X, \hat{\omega}_o) = L_e(X, \hat{\omega}_o) + \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}| d\hat{\omega}_i$$

# Monte Carlo Integration

Integrand

$$\int_{A \subset M} \underbrace{g(m \in M)}_{\mathbf{G}} \underbrace{dm}_{\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{M}} \approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\underbrace{g(m_j) \in \mathbf{G}}_{\text{Probability density function for choosing } m}}{\underbrace{p(m_j) \in \mathbf{M}^{-1}}_{\text{Probability density function for choosing } m}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{N}}_{\text{Number of samples}}$$

The integral we're estimating

Probability density function for choosing  $m$

Number of samples

# Limiting Case

$$\int_A g(m) \, dm \approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{g(m_j)}{p(m_j)} \frac{1}{N}$$

Let  $N = 1$

$$\approx \frac{g(m)}{p(m)}$$

$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2}$   
 $L_{\mathrm{i}}(X, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}) \sim f_X(\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}})$ ,  
 $\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{o}} \sim |\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}| \cdot$   
 $\hat{n} \sim \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}$

# Pure Path Tracing

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}| d\hat{\omega}_i \approx \frac{g(m)}{p(m)}$$

Let  $m = \hat{\omega}_i$

$$g(m) = L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|$$

$$A = \mathbf{S}^2$$

$$p(m) = \frac{1}{4\pi \text{ sr}}$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}| d\hat{\omega}_i \approx \frac{L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|}{4\pi \text{ sr}}$$

$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2}$

$L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|$

$\approx \frac{L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|}{4\pi \text{ sr}}$

$L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) = L_o(Y, -\hat{\omega}_i)$

$L_o(X, \hat{\omega}_i) = L_e(X, \hat{\omega}_i) + \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}| d\hat{\omega}_i$

Let the pdf be uniform on the sphere. How do I choose an incoming vector uniformly at random on the sphere? Easy: choose a uniform point in the  $[-1, 1]^3$  cube. Throw it away if it is outside of the sphere and try again.

Points in the unit radius ball map equally to the sphere, so if you just normalize the resulting point, it will be a uniformly distributed vector

# Pure Path Tracing

Discover  $Y$  by ray casting

Choose  $\hat{\omega}_i$  uniformly on  $\mathbf{S}^2$

$$L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) = L_o(Y, -\hat{\omega}_i)$$

$$L_o(X, \hat{\omega}_o) = L_e(X, \hat{\omega}_i) + \frac{L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|}{4\pi \text{ sr}}$$

$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2}$

$L_{\mathrm{i}}(X, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}) \sim f_X(\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{o}}) |\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \hat{n}|$

$\approx \frac{L_{\mathrm{i}}(X, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}) \sim f_X(\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{o}}) |\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \hat{n}|}{4\pi \text{ sr}}$

$L_{\mathrm{i}}(X, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}) = L_{\mathrm{o}}(Y, -\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}})$

$L_{\mathrm{o}}(X, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{o}}) = L_{\mathrm{e}}(X, \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}) + \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \dots d\hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{i}}$

Let the pdf be uniform on the sphere. How do I choose an incoming vector uniformly at random on the sphere? Easy: choose a uniform point in the  $[-1, 1]^3$  cube. Throw it away if it is outside of the sphere and try again.

Points in the unit radius ball map equally to the sphere, so if you just normalize the resulting point, it will be a uniformly distributed vector

And you just run a SECOND Monte Carlo integration by running this at each pixel



many times and averaging the results.

That's it. No shadow rays, no direct illumination, no biradiance.


# Core Implementation

```
1 def L_in(X, w_in, pathDepth, triangleArray):
2     if pathDepth > maxScatteringEvents: return ambientRadiance
3
4     (Y, n, f, L_emitted) = findFirstIntersection(X, w_in, triangleArray)
5     return L_out(Y, -w_in, n, f, L_emitted, pathDepth, triangleArray)
6
7 def L_out(X, w_out, n, f, L_emitted, pathDepth, triangleArray):
8     if X == null: return backgroundRadiance(w_out)
9
10    # Uniformly sample the sphere
11    w_in = sphereRandom(); p = 1 / (4 * pi)
12
13    # Bump the origin
14    X += n * epsilon * sign(w_in.dot(n))
15
16    # 1-sample Monte Carlo integration of the rendering equation
17    return (L_emitted + L_in(X, w_in, pathDepth + 1, triangleArray) *
18           f(w_in, w_out) * abs(w_in.dot(n)) / p)
```

This is really the only light transport code that you need to turn any mesh with non-impulse materials...



Like this, into this...



And to kick it off, you just need a main loop that iterates over pixels...

# Pixel Integrator

```
1 ambientRadiance = Radiance3(0.5, 0.5, 0.5)
2 maxScatteringEvents = 5
3 # Color gradient for the background
4 def backgroundRadiance(w):
5     r = max(w.y, 0.6) * (1 - 0.5 * max(w.y, 0))
6     return Radiance3(r, r, 1)
7
8 def traceImage(width, height, triangleArray, camera):
9     radianceImage = Image()
10
11     # For each pixel
12     for y in range(0, height):
13         for x in range(0, width):
14             L = 0
15             for r in range(0, raysPerPixel)
16                 # Generate a primary ray
17                 (X, w_in) = camera.worldRay(x + random(), y + random(), width, height)
18                 L += L_in(X, w_in, 0, triangleArray) / raysPerPixel
19
20     radianceImage.set(x, y, L)
```

Note that this casts multiple rays at each pixel and averages their results. That's a Monte Carlo Integrator applied to the pixel values instead of the rendering equation.

There are only two problems with this elegant solution:

# Remaining Problems

1. Increase convergence rate
2. Handle impulses in  $L_i$  and  $f_X$

1. It converges really slowly. You'd need millions of rays per pixel to get glossy surfaces to look good
2. It can't handle impulses in  $L_{in}$  (Point lights!) or in  $f$  (mirrors and refraction!)

We can fix both with an algorithmic optimization called importance sampling...





## Choose $p(m) \propto g(m)$

$$\int_A g(m) \, dm \approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{g(m_j)}{p(m_j)} \frac{1}{N}$$

- $O(N)$  evaluations of  $g$  and  $p$
- Small  $g(m)$  values are inefficient: integral value per compute time is small
- Ideal: choose  $p(m) = g(m) / c$

$$\approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{c}{N}$$

“Pure” path tracing doesn’t work for point lights. There’s zero probability that a ray hits a point light, and if it did, the radiance would be infinite. So, we have to put direct illumination, shadow rays, and biradiance back in to make point lights (vs. area lights) work. Sorry. We only use path tracing for indirect light. BUT: The direct illumination code is a lot faster than hoping a random ray will hit the light source, and you already wrote and debugged it anyway.

# Sampling Lights

- Monte Carlo integration of direct illumination
- Compute radiance  $\beta_j$  for a set of points on lights
  - (assume no shadows)
- Choose light point  $j$  with probability  $p = \beta_j / \sum \beta_k$
- Only cast a shadow ray to light  $j$
- Compute direct illumination as  $L = \frac{\beta_j |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|}{p}$

*Tip: implement direct illumination from all lights at first with a loop. This is an optimization.*

# Sampling Scattering

$$\approx \frac{L_i(X, \hat{\omega}_i) f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|}{p(\hat{\omega}_i)}$$

How do we choose  $p$  proportional to the integrand we don't yet know?

"BSDF importance sampling" assumes  $L_i$  is constant and chooses

$$p(\hat{\omega}_i) \propto f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|$$

Monte Carlo integration tells us that it would be optimal to make the denominator probability density function  $p()$  proportional to the integrand in the numerator. But the whole point was that we don't yet know the value of the numerator. What do we do?

One solution is that assume that light comes into this point  $X$  equally from all directions (it doesn't matter for correctness if this assumption is wrong, and it is often pretty reasonable). In that case, the hard value to compute: the incoming light, goes away and we are just sampling from a probability distribution that is proportional to the cosine-weighted material.

# Sampling Scattering

$$\omega_i, \text{ weight} = \text{scatter}(X, n, \omega_o)$$

Where:

$$\text{weight} = \frac{f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|}{p(\hat{\omega}_i)}$$

This also solves the problem of impulses (infinite values with zero range) in  $f()$  [and less importantly, in  $L$ ]. We just build a function...or more likely, call into a library routine...that gives us the *ratio* of  $f \cdot \cos / p()$  after sampling (called a weight), where it will handle the infinities and make them “cancel” by taking a limit as the value approaches the impulse.

This also solves the problem of how we can choose a *single* ray when we have different values of  $f$  for different frequencies (colors) of light: the weight is spectral, and varies depending on the ratio of  $f(\text{lambda})$  to the monochrome  $p(w)$ .

## Three Separate Monte Carlo Integrators in Basic Path Tracing

### 1. Pixel Area:

- large  $N$
- $p$  = uniform on square

### 2. Direct Illumination:

- $N = 1$
- $p$  = relative radiance

### 3. Indirect Illumination:

- $N = 1$
- $p \propto f_X(\hat{\omega}_i, \hat{\omega}_o) |\hat{\omega}_i \cdot \hat{n}|$

# Summary

- The **Rendering Equation** describes steady-state recursive light flow
- **Monte Carlo integration**: add random samples weighted by the probability of choosing them
- Pure **path tracing** is amazingly simple: MC integrate the Rendering Equation
- **Importance sampling** makes path tracing more efficient and elegantly handles impulses [e.g., perfect mirrors]

*For full details, see the Graphics Codex 2.17 chapters on Materials, Numerical Calculus, and Path Tracing*