



Influencer Marketing: How Message Value and Credibility Affect Consumer Trust of Branded Content on Social Media

Chen Lou & Shupeí Yuan

To cite this article: Chen Lou & Shupeí Yuan (2019) Influencer Marketing: How Message Value and Credibility Affect Consumer Trust of Branded Content on Social Media, Journal of Interactive Advertising, 19:1, 58-73, DOI: [10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501](https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501>



Published online: 12 Feb 2019.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 174122



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 735 View citing articles [↗](#)



Influencer Marketing: How Message Value and Credibility Affect Consumer Trust of Branded Content on Social Media

Chen Lou^a and Shupey Yuan^b

^aNanyang Technological University, Singapore; ^bNorthern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois, USA

ABSTRACT

In the past few years, expenditure on influencer marketing has grown exponentially. The present study involves preliminary research to understand the mechanism by which influencer marketing affects consumers via social media. It proposes an integrated model—the social media influencer value model—to account for the roles of advertising value and source credibility. To test this model, we administered an online survey among social media users who followed at least one influencer. Partial least squares (PLS) path modeling results show that the informative value of influencer-generated content, influencer's trustworthiness, attractiveness, and similarity to the followers positively affect followers' trust in influencers' branded posts, which subsequently influence brand awareness and purchase intentions. Theoretical and practical implications are discussed.

KEYWORDS



Influencer marketing;
advertising value; source
credibility; brand awareness;
PLS path modeling

Present-day social media and social networking sites (SNSs) have dramatically affected how people receive information and news. A recent report from the Pew Research Center revealed that the majority of U.S. adults rely heavily on social media for news, and the number has been consistently growing over the past five years (Gottfried and Shearer 2016). These new sources of information also mean that individuals now encounter thousands of commercials on a daily basis, most of which come from SNSs (Ganguly 2015). Social media use has become habitual among some age groups—especially among millennials and younger generations (Gottfried and Shearer 2016). A consequence of this is that their need to seek information from social media and from fellow consumers has become more pressing than ever before. Recent data from Twitter and Annalect revealed that nearly 40% of surveyed Twitter users have purchased something because of an influencer's tweet (Karp 2016).

Social media influencers are online personalities with large numbers of followers, across one or more social media platforms (e.g., YouTube, Instagram, Snapchat, or personal blogs), who have an influence

on their followers (Agrawal 2016; Varsamis 2018). Contrary to celebrities or public figures who are well-known via traditional media, social media influencers are “regular people” who have become “online celebrities” by creating and posting content on social media. They generally have some expertise in specific areas, such as healthy living, travel, food, lifestyle, beauty, or fashion. A recent Twitter study suggested that consumers may accord social media influencers a similar level of trust as they hold for their friends (Swant 2016).

Consequently, influencer marketing refers to a form of marketing where marketers and brands invest in selected influencers to create and/or promote their branded content to both the influencers' own followers and to the brands' target consumers (Yodel 2017). Influencer-produced branded content is considered to have more organic, authentic, and direct contact with potential consumers than brand-generated ads (Talavera 2015). The popularity of influencer marketing has been growing exponentially. A recent report stated that, in 2018, 39% of marketers had plans to increase their budget for influencer marketing, and 19% of marketers intended to spend more

CONTACT Chen Lou  chenlou@ntu.edu.sg  Wee Kim Wee School of Communication and Information, Nanyang Technological University, 31 Nanyang Link, Room 03-13, Singapore 637718.

Chen Lou (PhD, Michigan State University) is an assistant professor of integrated marketing communication, Wee Kim Wee School of Communication and Information, Nanyang Technological University.

Shupey Yuan (PhD, Michigan State University) is an assistant professor of public relations, Department of Communication, Northern Illinois University.

© 2019 American Academy of Advertising

than \$100,000 per campaign (Bevilacqua and Del Giudice 2018).

Despite the existence of many studies that have investigated the effects of celebrity endorsers on advertising (e.g., Amos, Holmes, and Strutton 2008), this body of literature does not closely consider the uniqueness of social media influencers—in other words, content generators with “celebrity” status. Moreover, although there has been some recent research on influencer advertising (e.g., De Veirman, Cauberghe, and Hudders 2017; Djafarova and Rushworth 2017; Evans et al. 2017; Johansen and Guldvik 2017), none of this research has focused directly on the fundamental mechanisms of what makes influencer marketing effective. Neither has it empirically tested any comprehensive theoretical model (e.g., Djafarova and Rushworth 2017).

The current study aligns itself with McGuire’s (2001) communication-persuasion matrix, which argues that various input components in persuasive communication—such as source, message, channel, receiver, and destination—determine its effectiveness. Within the scope of this study, we focus on the effects of factors pertaining to source and message in influencer marketing.

After identifying the key constructs and examining the relationships among them, this study presents an integrated social media influencer value (SMIV) model to account for the effects of influencer marketing on SNSs. Compared with previous studies that have applied advertising value models (e.g., Dao et al. 2014; Dehghani et al. 2016; Lee et al. 2016; Zha, Li, and Yan 2015), this SMIV model extends its theoretical arguments further to account not only for the roles advertising content factors play (i.e., advertising value) but also for messenger features (i.e., source credibility); both of these factors are relevant to the influencer marketing phenomenon. The SMIV model identifies and highlights a pivotal factor: consumers’ trust in influencer branded content. It also extends the concept of source credibility by adding the component of similarity and builds an integrated model to understand this phenomenon better. The findings of this study broaden theory building concerned with the advertising value model and the influencer marketing phenomenon. They also inform three important entities involved in influencer marketing: brands, consumers, and influencers.

Influencer Marketing

Influencer marketing is a marketing strategy that uses the influence of key individuals or opinion leaders to

drive consumers’ brand awareness and/or their purchasing decisions (e.g., Brown and Hayes 2008; Scott 2015). The influencer’s inherent characteristics play a vital role in enticing brands and marketers to pursue them closely. An advantage is that brands can opt for more affordable influencers compared with the exorbitant fees required to sign one or more renowned celebrity endorsers (Hall 2015). In addition, social media influencers have usually already established themselves by specializing in specific areas. This means that consumers are more likely to accept or trust influencers’ opinions when those influencers collaborate with brands that correspond well to their personal areas of expertise (Hall 2016). A recent report on social media trends stated that 94% of marketers who have used influencer marketing campaigns found them effective (Ahmad 2018). The same article also mentioned that influencer marketing yielded 11 times the return on investment (ROI) of traditional advertising.

In today’s media landscape, mass communication channels, such as TV stations, radios, and newspapers, are no longer the dominant sources of information for consumers. Instead, consumers often use social media channels or virtual communities for information exchange and relationship building (Hair, Clark, and Shapiro 2010). Social media influencers use these same channels, offering unique value to both users and advertisers. Freberg et al. (2011) described social media influencers as “a new type of independent third party endorser who shape audience attitudes through blogs, tweets, and the use of other social media” (p. 90). Another term that has been used to describe them is “endorser,” defined as “any individual who enjoys public recognition and who uses this recognition on behalf of a consumer good by appearing with it in an advertisement” (McCracken 1989, p. 310). Unlike traditional endorsers, who are usually celebrities or public figures who have gained their fame or popularity via traditional media, social media influencers are normally “grassroots” individuals who have created likeable online personalities and who have achieved high visibility among their followers by creating viral content on social media (Garcia 2017). Given these additional factors, a more precise definition of social media influencers could be as follows:

A social media influencer is first and foremost a content generator: one who has a status of expertise in a specific area, who has cultivated a sizable number of captive followers—who are of marketing value to brands—by regularly producing valuable content via social media.

Traditional celebrities can also develop some influencer status, but only after they have become regular content creators. In line with the perspective of industry insights, this study focuses on bottom-up grassroots social media influencers who have gained fame as content generators. Previous researchers have investigated factors that contributed to the effectiveness of influencer marketing across various contexts (e.g., Colliander and Dahlén 2011; De Veirman, Cauberghe, and Hudders 2017; Djafarova and Rushworth 2017; Johansen and Guldvik 2017; Lu, Chang, and Chang 2014; Woods 2016). They suggested that some of the key factors were the parasocial relationship between consumers and influencers, influencer credibility, and trust in the influencer, among others. In particular, De Veirman, Cauberghe, and Hudders (2017) examined the impact of Instagram influencers' number of followers and product divergence on brand attitudes. They concluded that the number of followers, influencers' "followers/followees ratio," and product type (i.e., divergent level) should all be taken into account when developing an influencer marketing strategy. Djafarova and Rushworth (2017) conducted in-depth interviews with young female Instagram users to investigate the effects of celebrities and influencers on purchase decisions. They argued that influencers were more influential, credible, and relatable than traditional celebrities among young females. Conversely, Johansen and Guldvik (2017) conducted an online experiment where they compared participants' reactions to influencer-created marketing ads with regular ads. They claimed that influencer marketing was not more efficient than traditional methods, as it did not directly influence purchasing intentions.

Previous literature has not only elicited mixed findings concerning the effects of influencer marketing but also reveals a lack of basic understanding of the mechanisms by which influencer marketing content and influencers themselves affect consumer behavior. This study intends to fill this research gap. The following sections review the literature on constructs in the model and then develop hypotheses.

Factors in SMIV Model

Advertising Content Value

Sheth and Usley (2007), from a marketing perspective, postulated that value is created and exchanged during marketing activities and suggested that marketing offerings can satisfy consumers' needs. Advertising value refers to a "subjective evaluation of the relative worth or utility of advertising to consumers" (Ducoffe

1995, p. 1). In a seminal study, Ducoffe (1996) investigated the determinants of online advertising value: advertising informativeness, entertainment, and irritation. He suggested consumers' perceptions of advertising value positively predicted their attitudes toward online ads. Advertising informativeness refers to advertising's ability to provide information about alternative products to boost consumers' purchase satisfaction (Ducoffe 1996). Advertising entertainment agrees with the assumptions made in uses and gratifications research (McQuail 1983), which categorizes advertising as media content and refers to advertising's ability to entertain consumers (Ducoffe 1996). Advertising irritation describes how advertising can annoy, offend, and manipulate consumers, or divert their attention from worthy goals (Ducoffe 1996). Thus, advertising informativeness and entertainment capture advertising's positive cognitive and affective values, whereas irritation reflects consumers' negative reactions to advertising, rather than its value (Sun et al. 2010). Dao et al. (2014) examined how social media advertising value affected consumers' online purchase intentions. They demonstrated that advertising informativeness, entertainment, and credibility determined consumers' perceptions of advertising value, which in turn influenced their purchase intentions.

Influencers generate regular social media updates in their specialist areas, wherein they disseminate essentially persuasive messages to their followers, containing both informational and entertainment value. Influencer-generated posts offer their followers information about product alternatives or other informative content. In addition, influencers stamp their posts with personal aesthetic touches and personality twists, which usually create an enjoyable experience (entertainment value) for their followers. Whether or not influencers choose to publish sponsored branded posts to their followers, the perceived informative and entertainment value of their content, in general, may shape how followers react to specific branded posts. Therefore, the first two constructs that we include in the model are perceived informative value and the entertainment value of influencer-generated content.

Influencer Credibility

The credibility of a communicator or message source is an important factor in its persuasiveness (Hovland and Weiss 1951). Hall (2015) described social media influencers as "micro-endorsers" (as compared to "bigger" celebrity endorsers). In advertisements, the

endorsers generally embody the same role that message sources play in the persuasion process. Earlier researchers used source credibility to gauge a source's influence on the effectiveness of persuasive messages (e.g., Giffin 1967; Hovland and Weiss 1951; McGuire 1985). Hovland, Janis, and Kelley (1953) proposed two determinants of source credibility: expertise and trustworthiness. Source expertise is a source's competence or qualification, including the source's knowledge or skills, to make certain claims relating to a certain subject or topic (McCroskey 1966). Source trustworthiness concerns the receivers' perception of a source as honest, sincere, or truthful (Giffin 1967). McGuire (1985) proposed a third component of source credibility: attractiveness, referring to a source's physical attractiveness or likeability. Similarly, Ohanian (1990) defined source credibility as a three-dimensional construct, drawing on previous literatures' threads that included trustworthiness, expertise, and attractiveness.

Previous studies on source credibility have investigated endorsers' influence on consumers (e.g., Cunningham and Bright 2012; Dwivedi, Johnson, and McDonald 2015; Guido and Peluso 2009; Lee and Koo 2015). In the light of influencer marketing practice, this study adopts a four-dimensional conceptualization of source credibility, based on Munnukka, Uusitalo, and Toivonen's (2016) research on peer endorsers, which includes trustworthiness, expertise, similarity, and attractiveness. Source similarity herein refers to the perceived likeness (e.g., demographic or ideological factors) of the source to the receiver.

The questions of whether factors in influencer marketing content and influencer credibility influence consumer reactions and, if so, how they do so are discussed in the following section.

Perceived Trust

Numerous disciplines, including communication, marketing, politics, sociology, and psychology, among others, have examined trust, as a broad and elusive term (Cowles 1997; Fisher, Till, and Stanley 2010). As it concerns marketing and exchange, Moorman, Deshpandé, and Zaltman (1993) described trust as "a willingness to rely on an exchange partner in whom one has confidence" (p. 82). Racherla, Mandviwalla, and Connolly (2012) investigated consumers' trust in online product reviews and argued that message argument quality (a content element) and perceived background similarity (reflecting a social element) contributed to increased trust. Likewise, Lee and

Chung (2009) sought to untangle how the various quality factors associated with mobile banking could impact satisfaction and trust. Their results showed that both system and information quality significantly predicted consumers' trust and satisfaction.

As concerns influencer marketing, and based on these findings, we argue that influencer marketing's content factors—in other words, the perceived informativeness value and entertainment value of influencer-generated posts—will affect consumers' trust in their advertised content: branded posts. Therefore, we hypothesize:

H1: Influencer-generated content's (a) informativeness value and (b) entertainment value will positively influence followers' perceived trust in influencers' branded content.

As concerns information processing (e.g., Chaiken 1987; Petty and Cacioppo 1986), individuals follow two routes to process information: systematic processing and/or heuristic processing. A source's credibility can affect persuasion either by serving as a peripheral cue when elaboration likelihood is low or by biasing argument processing when elaboration likelihood is high (Chaiken and Maheswaran 1994). A large body of literature has already demonstrated the effects of source credibility on persuasion (for a review, see Pornpitakpan 2004). In the context of social media, several studies have tested the impact of source credibility on consumers and demonstrated its persuasiveness across different scenarios (e.g., Djafarova and Rushworth 2017; López and Sicilia 2014; McLaughlin 2016). In particular, Djafarova and Rushworth (2017) used the results of their in-depth interviews to argue that Instagram users' trust in celebrities' product reviews was shaped by the celebrities' expertise and knowledge relating to those products, as well as the celebrities' relevance to users. In this study, we aim to test such relationships empirically; therefore, we propose four dimensions of influencer credibility (Munnukka, Uusitalo, and Toivonen 2016) that will affect followers' trust in influencers' branded posts:

H2: Influencers' credibility components (a) expertise, (b) trustworthiness, (c) attractiveness, and (d) similarity will positively influence followers' trust in influencers' branded content.

Brand Awareness

Brand awareness denotes whether consumers know about a certain brand and whether they can recall or distinguish it (Keller 2008). Brands of which consumers are aware are more likely to be included in

consumers' consideration set when making purchase decisions (MacDonald and Sharp 2000). Brand awareness plays an important role in consumers' purchase decisions (Barreda et al. 2015) and can serve as a heuristic cue or shortcut in decision making (Hoyer and Brown 1990). Huang and Sarigöllü (2012) demonstrated a positive association between brand awareness and brand market performance for low-involvement consumer-packaged goods. With their impressive numbers of users, SNSs have attracted the attention of many brands that are keen to integrate SNSs into their marketing efforts to improve brand awareness among their target consumers (Langaro, Rita, and de Fátima Salgueiro 2018).

The leading goals of brands' influencer marketing campaigns include expanding brand awareness, reaching new targeted audiences, and improving sales conversion (e.g., Esseveld 2017; Statista 2018). Brands that invest in influencer marketing aim to garner brand mentions from influencers, which in turn can boost brand awareness among their targeted consumers and consequently drive sales. Given that brand awareness is one of the leading goals of influencer marketing and plays a significant role in purchase behaviors, we decided to focus this study on brand awareness rather than other attitudinal evaluation of ads or brands. This is in line with a study by Dehghani and colleagues (2016), who examined YouTube advertising value's effects on young customers. They also focused on studying the role of brand awareness and demonstrated that perceived advertising value positively influenced brand awareness via YouTube.

Because influencers deliver informative and/or enjoyable content to their followers on a regular basis, including information about alternative brands or products, we propose that influencers' content value (informativeness and entertainment) will positively influence followers' brand awareness (Dehghani et al. 2016):

H3: Influencer-generated content's (a) informativeness value and (b) entertainment value will positively influence consumers' awareness of advertised brands.

With regard to the role of source credibility on consumers, previous research has argued that source credibility influences the effect of advertising on consumers in such outcomes as consumers' attitudes toward ads, their attitudes toward brands (e.g., Lafferty, Goldsmith, and Newell 2002; Lee and Koo 2015; Munnukka, Uusitalo, and Toivonen 2016), and their perceptions of brand equity (Dwivedi, Johnson, and McDonald 2015). However, few studies

specifically examine advertising sources' credibility impact on brand awareness. Recently, Chakraborty and Bhat (2018) examined the relationship between source credibility and brand awareness indirectly and showed that online reviews' source credibility and review quality were important predictors of consumers' perceived credibility of a review, which subsequently affected brand awareness and purchase intentions. In this study, we intend to examine whether influencer credibility directly affects brand awareness; therefore, we pose the following research question:

RQ1: Will influencer credibility, including (a) expertise, (b) trustworthiness, (c) attractiveness, and (d) similarity, positively influence consumers' awareness of advertised brands?

Purchase Intention

Spears and Singh (2004) defined purchase intentions as "an individual's conscious plan to make an effort to purchase a brand" (p. 56). Because purchase intentions include the possibility or likelihood that consumers will be willing to purchase a certain product, de Magistris and Gracia (2008) considered that purchase intentions preceded actual purchasing behavior. Advertisers and scholars have routinely used purchase intentions to evaluate customers' product perceptions (Spears and Singh 2004). Previous research has demonstrated that consumers' attitudes toward ads and brands, electronic word of mouth (eWOM), and/or brand awareness affect their purchase intentions (e.g., Alhabash et al. 2015; Lafferty, Goldsmith, and Newell 2002; Lee and Koo 2015). In particular, Dao and colleagues (2014) found that perceived advertising value positively affected online purchase intentions among social media users in Vietnam. Therefore, we predict that, where social media influencer advertising is concerned, the two constructs of advertising value will have a similar effect on consumers' purchase intentions:

H4: Influencer-generated content's (a) informativeness value and (b) entertainment value will positively influence consumers' purchase intentions.

Moreover, previous research has demonstrated endorsers' characteristics (e.g., expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness) exert positive effects on consumers' purchase intentions (e.g., Lafferty, Goldsmith, and Newell 2002; Lee and Koo 2015). Therefore, we predict that influencer credibility will positively affect consumers' purchase intentions:

H5: Influencer credibility, including (a) perceived expertise, (b) trustworthiness, (c) attractiveness, and (d) similarity, will positively influence consumers' purchase intentions.

In addition, previous research has argued that trust in advertising, which comprises cognitive, emotional, and behavioral dimensions (Lewis and Weigert 1985), can bring a "willingness to act on ad-conveyed information" (Soh, Reid, and King 2009, p. 86). Morgan and Hunt (1994) posited that trust in a trade partner entails behavioral intentions to rely on that partner. This is relevant to this study's focus, as there is lack of supporting empirical evidence; therefore, we ask the following research question:

RQ2: Will influencers' followers' perceived trust in influencer-generated branded content positively affect their (a) awareness of advertised brands and (b) purchase intentions?

We combined our first two hypotheses, which posit the effects of influencer content's value and influencer credibility on consumers' trust in branded posts, with research question 2 and tested the potential mediating role that consumers' perceived trust in influencer-generated branded content plays in the effects of influencer marketing in model testing. In other words, we predict that the effects of influencer content value and source credibility on brand awareness and purchase intention will be explained by the level of individual perceived trust.

Covariates

Many previous studies have examined the role that involvement, reflecting individual difference, has played in consumers' decision making and in advertising effectiveness (e.g., Kinard and Capella 2006; Salmon 1986). To examine this more effectively, this study conceptualizes and operationalizes involvement as social media users' involvement in following influencers' posts or updates. For this reason, we included individuals' involvement in influencer following as a covariate in our model testing. Moreover, demographic factors that are crucial variables for classifying social media users, such as age and gender, are also included as potential covariates. The integrated model is presented in Figure 1.

Method

Sample

We recruited qualified participants residing in the United States from Amazon's Mechanical Turk (MTurk) and administered an online survey embedded on Qualtrics. Prior research has shown that MTurk participants in the United States fall into Internet users' age range (Ross et al. 2010); those also represent this study's intended population: social media users. Moreover, Kees and colleagues (2017) demonstrated that MTurk's data quality outperformed




Figure 1. Proposed model.

that of two professional panels (Qualtrics and Lightspeed) across various indicators, and that MTurk's data quality was on a par with that of student samples.

After deleting the participants who failed the attention-check questions, we were left with 538 participants for data analysis. The participants had an average age of 33 years old ($SD = 9.80$), and 62% were female. The majority of them were White (76%), followed by 11.2% African American and 10.2% Asians. Nearly half of the participants had bachelor's degrees (47.2%) and roughly one-third of them were high school graduates (32.9%).

Nearly 94% of the participants in the current study had Facebook accounts, and 85% of them had YouTube accounts; in addition, 70% of them also had accounts on Instagram. Over half of them had followed influencers on YouTube (53%), followed by 49% who had done so on Facebook and 35% on Instagram. As concerns the categories of followed influencers, around 60% of participants had followed influencers in the lifestyle category, followed by 44% who had followed influencers in the food category, with a further 35% following influencers specializing in fashion.

Procedure

First, the interested participants answered four screening questions, with two of those questions asking about their social media use and influencer following habits. We included a brief definition of social media influencers to help participants understand the task. Participants who were regular social media users (using at least one SNS) and who had followed at least one influencer were directed to fill in the rest of survey questions. We filtered out unqualified participants and denied access to further participation. We paid all of the participants who answered the four screening questions \$0.10, and the qualified participants who completed the full survey earned an additional \$1.19.

We offered a more detailed definition of social media influencers before the qualified participants began answering the questions (definition provided in the [appendix](#)). Questions asked about their personal experiences and habits relative to social media use, their personal thoughts about the social media influencers whom they had followed, and their demographic information. The survey took around 15 minutes to complete. Finally, participants were debriefed and thanked.

Measurement

The survey captured influencer-generated content's informativeness and entertainment value by measuring the participants' responses to a statement: "Concerning the influencers whom I am following on social media, I personally think their social media posts/updates are ...". Their responses were anchored by five 7-point semantic differential scales (Voss, Spangenberg, and Grohmann 2003): *Ineffective/Effective*; *Unhelpful/Helpful*; *Not functional/Functional*; *Unnecessary/Necessary*; and *Impractical/Practical* for informativeness value and *Not fun/Fun*; *Dull/Exciting*; *Not delightful/Delightful*; *Not thrilling/Thrilling*; and *Unenjoyable/Enjoyable* for entertainment value. This study measured the four dimensions of an influencer's credibility with items extracted from Munnukka, Uusitalo, and Toivonen (2016).

We measured trust in influencer-generated branded posts using twelve 7-point semantic differential scales anchored by *Dishonest/Honest*, *Phony/Genuine*, *Unethical/Ethical*, and so on (Wu and Lin 2017). We captured brand awareness by measuring the participants' agreement with five statements from Yoo, Donthu, and Lee (2000). We measured the participants' purchase intentions by using the participants' agreement with four statements extracted from Yuan and Jang (2008). In addition, we measured the participants' involvement level in social media influencer following by asking them to indicate their agreement to five statements, such as "Following their posts/updates on social media is a significant part of my life" (Choo et al. 2014).

Data Analysis

The study adopted a partial least squares (PLS) path modeling approach to estimate the relationships hypothesized in the current model. There are two approaches to specify or test hypothesized relationships in path analysis (J. Hair et al. 2010): covariance-based structural equation modeling (CB-SEM) and PLS-SEM. CB-SEM uses a maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) procedure to estimate model coefficients "so that the discrepancy between the estimated and sample covariance matrices is minimized" (Hair et al. 2014, p. 27). CB-SEM is more suitable for confirming or rejecting a developed theory. Conversely, PLS-SEM estimates model parameters in a way that maximizes the variance explained in endogenous variables and is preferred for research aimed at theory development and prediction (Hair et al. 2014, p. 14).

PLS path modeling is also recommended over CB-SEM for testing complex models with many latent variables (Henseler, Ringle, and Sinkovics 2009). Compared to the average number of 4.4 latent variables in a CB-SEM (Shah and Goldstein 2006), the proposed model in our study has 10 latent variables. In addition, the objective of this study is to examine the effects of influencer marketing's message features and influencer credibility components on consumer behaviors, which concerns exploring a potentially new theoretical framework rather than confirming or testing established theories. For these reasons, a PLS path modeling approach is more suitable for data analysis in the present study. According to a rough guideline on the minimum sample size required for PLS path modeling, the sample size should be at least 10 times the greatest number of structural paths predicting a specific construct—or 90 for the current study. Even though PLS-SEM works efficiently with a small sample size, previous studies have demonstrated that it is also feasible to use PLS-SEM with a relatively large sample size ($N = 851$) (Anderson and Swaminathan 2011).

Results

Measurement Validation

The study used SmartPLS 3 (Ringle, Wende, and Becker 2014) to perform both measurement validation and structural modeling. The latent variables in the current model all have reflective measurements: indicators which predict one particular construct and which are highly correlated to one another and represent the effects of the latent construct (Hair et al. 2014, p. 43). The results of our reliability analyses showed that Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability values were above .70 for all of the latent constructs, indicating reliable measurement instrument for this study (Table 1). All items' loadings on their measured construct were much higher than the cross-loadings on other constructs, and all of the latent constructs' average variance extracted (AVE) values were above .50. The square root of each construct's AVE was larger than its correlation to other latent variables (Table 2). Thus, all the construct measurements were considered to have adequate convergent and discriminant validities. A collinearity assessment showed no significant levels of collinearity between any sets of predicting variables (with variance inflation factor [VIF] falling between tolerance range .20 and 5.0) (Hair et al. 2014).

PLS Path Modeling and Hypotheses Testing

First, we ran a PLS-SEM algorithm to estimate the model's path coefficients. Then we performed a second bootstrapping analysis, specifying 5,000 subsamples and a 95% significance level, to obtain each path coefficient's standard error and p value (Henseler, Ringle, and Sinkovics 2009) (Table 3).

Hypotheses 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d posit that the informative and entertainment value of influencer-generated posts, along with influencers' credibility components (expertise, trustworthiness, attractiveness, and similarity), positively affect followers' trust in influencer-generated branded posts. Our results showed that influencer posts' informative value ($\beta = .19$, $SE = .06$, $t = 3.37$, $p < .01$), influencers' trustworthiness ($\beta = .19$, $SE = .06$, $t = 3.09$, $p < .01$), influencers' attractiveness ($\beta = .11$, $SE = .04$, $t = 2.55$, $p < .05$), and similarity ($\beta = .10$, $SE = .05$, $t = 1.98$, $p < .05$) all positively affected followers' trust in influencers' branded content. They explain 39% of variance in followers' trust of influencer-generated branded posts ($R^2 = .39$, adjusted $R^2 = .38$). Therefore, hypotheses 1a, 2b, 2c, and 2d were supported. Hypotheses 1b and 2a were not supported.

Hypothesis 3 hypothesizes that influencer-generated posts' informative value and entertainment value positively affect brand awareness. Our results demonstrated that informative value ($\beta = -.04$, n.s.) and entertainment value ($\beta = .11$, n.s.) did not significantly affect brand awareness. Hypothesis 3 was not supported. Research question 1 asked about influencer credibility's effect on brand awareness. Our results showed that, with the exception of influencer similarity ($\beta = .00$, n.s.), influencers' expertise ($\beta = .21$, $SE = .06$, $t = 3.46$, $p < .01$), trustworthiness ($\beta = -.17$, $SE = .07$, $t = 2.58$, $p < .05$), and attractiveness ($\beta = .25$, $SE = .04$, $t = 5.72$, $p < .001$) significantly affected brand awareness.

Hypotheses 4a, 4b, 5a, 5b, 5c, and 5d posit that influencer content value and influencer credibility positively affect purchase intentions. In support of hypotheses 4a and 5b, results displayed that influencers' posts' informative value ($\beta = .12$, $SE = .05$, $t = 2.61$, $p < .01$) and trustworthiness ($\beta = -.14$, $SE = .06$, $t = 2.63$, $p < .01$) positively influenced purchase intentions. Hypotheses 4b, 5a, 5c, and 5d were not supported.

Finally, research question 2 asked whether followers' trust in influencer-generated branded posts positively affects brand awareness and purchase intentions. Our results showed that trust in branded posts significantly influenced brand awareness ($\beta = .22$,

Table 1. Assessment of the measurement model.

Constructs	Items	Standardized Loadings	Cronbach's α	CR	AVE
Informative value	info_1	0.796	0.884	0.915	0.684
	info_2	0.855			
	info_3	0.859			
	info_4	0.776			
	info_5	0.846			
Entertainment value	enter_1	0.842	0.89	0.918	0.693
	enter_2	0.868			
	enter_3	0.85			
	enter_4	0.816			
	enter_5	0.783			
Expertise	expert_1	0.903	0.912	0.938	0.79
	expert_2	0.881			
	expert_3	0.867			
	expert_4	0.904			
Trustworthiness	trustworthy_1	0.947	0.951	0.964	0.871
	trustworthy_2	0.935			
	trustworthy_3	0.939			
	trustworthy_4	0.911			
Attractiveness	attract_1	0.928	0.911	0.938	0.79
	attract_2	0.871			
	attract_3	0.915			
	attract_4	0.84			
Similarity	similar_1	0.937	0.91	0.943	0.847
	similar_2	0.912			
	similar_3	0.911			
Trust in branded posts	Trust_1	0.877	0.967	0.971	0.737
	Trust_2	0.906			
	Trust_3	0.801			
	Trust_4	0.879			
	Trust_5	0.896			
	Trust_6	0.879			
	Trust_7	0.852			
	Trust_8	0.904			
	Trust_9	0.806			
	Trust_10	0.793			
	Trust_11	0.818			
	Trust_12	0.878			
Brand awareness	brandAware1	0.902	0.938	0.953	0.801
	brandAware2	0.911			
	brandAware3	0.868			
	brandAware4	0.897			
	brandAware5	0.897			
Purchase intentions	PI1	0.939	0.938	0.956	0.844
	PI2	0.899			
	PI3	0.914			
	PI4	0.922			
Involvement	invol1	0.865	0.92	0.94	0.757
	invol2	0.831			
	invol3	0.87			
	invol4	0.898			
	invol5	0.884			

Note. CR = composite reliabilities; AVE = average variance extracted.

Table 2. Correlations among the latent constructs.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. Purchase intentions	0.919									
2. Attractiveness	0.38	0.889								
3. Brand awareness	0.644	0.411	0.895							
4. Entertainment	0.391	0.324	0.378	0.832						
5. Expertise	0.356	0.196	0.348	0.549	0.889					
6. Informativeness	0.451	0.229	0.309	0.61	0.587	0.827				
7. Involvement	0.518	0.339	0.408	0.517	0.486	0.566	0.87			
8. Similarity	0.365	0.198	0.289	0.467	0.48	0.542	0.579	0.92		
9. Trust in posts	0.636	0.279	0.404	0.465	0.434	0.53	0.506	0.458	0.858	
10. Trustworthiness	0.311	0.167	0.256	0.551	0.713	0.62	0.522	0.561	0.51	0.933

Note. Diagonal elements are the square root of the average variance extracted (AVE) for each construct.

Table 3. Structural path estimates.

	Path Estimates	Standard Error	t Statistics
Informative → Trust in posts	0.191	0.056	3.374**
Entertainment → Trust in posts	0.081	0.054	1.519
Expertise → Trust in posts	−0.014	0.055	0.254
Trustworthy → Trust in posts	0.193	0.062	3.092**
Attractive → Trust in posts	0.107	0.042	2.546*
Similarity → Trust in posts	0.098	0.05	1.979*
Age → Trust in posts	0.031	0.035	0.871
Gender → Trust in posts	0.024	0.033	0.717
Involvement → Trust in posts	0.164	0.048	3.447**
Informative → Brand awareness	−0.035	0.065	0.532
Entertainment → Brand awareness	0.112	0.057	1.957†
Expertise → Brand awareness	0.209	0.061	3.458**
Trustworthy → Brand awareness	−0.168	0.065	2.579*
Attractive → Brand awareness	0.245	0.043	5.723***
Similarity → Brand awareness	0.004	0.05	0.084
Trust in posts → Brand awareness	0.217	0.054	4.021***
Age → Brand awareness	−0.055	0.038	1.428
Gender → Brand awareness	−0.034	0.036	0.923
Involvement → Brand awareness	0.169	0.05	3.355**
Informative → Purchase intentions	0.124	0.048	2.605**
Entertainment → Purchase intentions	−0.051	0.04	1.254
Expertise → Purchase intentions	0.017	0.046	0.37
Trustworthy → Purchase intentions	−0.144	0.055	2.632**
Attractive → Purchase intentions	0.05	0.033	1.506
Similarity → Purchase intentions	−0.012	0.044	0.272
Trust in posts → Purchase intentions	0.405	0.045	8.912***
Brand awareness → Purchase intentions	0.408	0.036	11.277***
Age → Purchase intentions	−0.028	0.028	1.026
Gender → Purchase intentions	0.033	0.028	1.169
Involvement → Purchase intentions	0.159	0.047	3.369**

† $p < .10$.* $p < .05$.** $p < .01$.*** $p < .001$.

SE = .05, $t = 4.02$, $p < .001$) and purchase intentions ($\beta = .41$, SE = .05, $t = 8.91$, $p < .001$). In addition, involvement level was shown to be a significant covariate that positively affected participants' trust in influencer-generated branded posts ($\beta = .16$, SE = .05, $t = 3.45$, $p < .01$), brand awareness ($\beta = .17$, SE = .05, $t = 3.36$, $p < .01$), and purchase intentions ($\beta = .16$, SE = .05, $t = 3.37$, $p < .01$). Neither age nor gender was significant in affecting consumer reactions (Figure 2). Significant paths in the tested model explained 32% of variance in followers' brand awareness ($R^2 = .32$, adjusted $R^2 = .31$), and 62% of variance in purchase intentions ($R^2 = .62$, adjusted $R^2 = .61$).

Discussion

Interest in social media influencer marketing has been growing at an increasing rate. This study is the first to explicate the underlying mechanism and constructs that explain its effects on consumers and to propose an integrated model. By examining influencer marketing from a holistic perspective, this study has extended the application of the advertising value model and considered the role of source credibility. Furthermore, the proposed model hypothesized and

investigated the role of consumers' trust in the effectiveness of influencer marketing. The findings of this study suggest that influencer-generated posts' informative value, and some components of influencer credibility, can positively affect followers' trust in influencer-generated branded posts, which in turn affects brand awareness and purchase intentions. Our findings add to the literature on advertising value and influencer marketing and have theoretical implications for researchers who wish to examine influencer marketing in social media. The findings also inform brands and consumers of effective influencer marketing practices and knowledge.

One major finding concerns the role of advertising message factors, or advertising value per se, in influencing marketing outcomes and the relationship between influencer content value and consumers' trust in branded content. This adds to the literature on advertising value models in relation to social media marketing, which also advances our knowledge of interactive advertising research (Daugherty et al. 2017). Our results demonstrate that influencer content's informative value generally positively affects their followers' trust in influencer-branded posts, as well as their followers' purchase intentions. This




Figure 2. Partial least squares (PLS) path model. Only significant paths are shown; † $p < .10$; * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

finding is only partly consistent with the claims of a recent study (Dao et al. 2014) in which researchers examined the antecedents of social media advertising's value in Southeast Asia. They demonstrated that three types of social media advertising value—informative-ness, entertainment, and credibility—all positively influenced consumers' perceived value of advertising, which subsequently affected their purchase intentions. Because influencers constantly generate and disseminate informative social media updates to attract attention from followers, it is not surprising to learn that influencers' content's informative value significantly influences their followers' purchase intentions. Our results also suggest that influencers' informative posts may contribute to their followers' trust in their branded content and subsequently may affect purchase intentions. However, influencers' posts' entertainment value did not play a role in affecting their followers' trust in their branded posts or purchase intentions. This may imply that, largely, social media users view influencers as quality-information providers and cultivate their trust or purchase considerations based on the influencer content's informative value rather than its entertainment function.

A second major finding of this study relates to the effects of source credibility (or influencer credibility) on followers' trust and brand awareness, which is in line with the findings of previous research (Djafarova

and Rushworth 2017). Our findings showed that influencers' trustworthiness, attractiveness, and perceived similarity (to their followers) positively influenced their followers' trust in their branded posts. Since influencers usually cultivate credible and appealing online personas, it is not surprising to observe that influencers' perceived trustworthiness and attractiveness can affect their followers' trust in their sponsored content. Moreover, followers tend to follow influencers with whom they identify, and thus followers' perceived similarity to influencers positively affects their trust in influencer-generated branded posts. Surprisingly, influencer expertise did not influence followers' trust in branded content. This may be because influencers, by default, have a status of expertise among their followers, yet such expertise does not necessarily promise followers' trust in their sponsored content.

Moreover, our findings offer new support to the idea of an association between source credibility and brand awareness. Our results showed that influencers' expertise and attractiveness help boost followers' brand awareness if they view influencer-generated branded posts. It is conceivable that influencers' expertise in specific areas makes them qualified to promote certain brands or products effectively. Their physical attractiveness also helps attract and direct followers' attention toward recognizing or remembering

those sponsored brands. However, our study found that influencer trustworthiness negatively influenced brand awareness and purchase intentions. This might be explained in this way: Even though influencers-generated content's informative value generally carries over and influences followers' trust in their branded posts, followers may hold ambivalent or skeptical beliefs about the influencers' motive and thus may discredit influencers when forming consumption-related reactions. Nonetheless, this unexpected finding deserves further research. In addition, and departing from the findings of previous studies (e.g., Lafferty, Goldsmith, and Newell 2002; Lee and Koo 2015), none of the source credibility dimensions positively influenced purchase intentions. Because previous research (Lafferty, Goldsmith, and Newell 2002; Lee and Koo 2015) examined celebrity endorsers, current findings suggest that social media influencers' source credibility may function differently from celebrity credibility during persuasive communication.

Besides extending the framework of the advertising value model and explaining the role of source credibility on consumer behaviors, a third major finding of this study comes from our revisiting of the trust construct in an influencer context, and particularly trust in influencer-generated branded posts. Our findings provide empirical evidence to support the belief that trust in sponsored ads positively affects brand awareness and a "willingness to act on ad-conveyed information" (Soh, Reid, and King 2009, p. 86). A finding such as this particularly adds to the body of literature about trust's effect on consumer behavior (Fisher, Till, and Stanley, 2010; Lewis and Weigert 1985). It is noteworthy that followers' trust in influencers' branded posts demonstrated the strongest effect on purchase intentions, when compared with content- and source-related factors.

Finally, this study also examined the role that a critical personal factor, involvement, plays in the persuasion process. Our results agree with earlier studies' propositions on consumer involvement (e.g., Kinard and Capella 2006; Salmon 1986) and highlight the importance of investigating or controlling for individual differences when examining recent marketing practices and advertising effects.

Theoretical and Managerial Implications

This study is the first to investigate the underlying mechanism of how influencer marketing communication affects consumers via social media. It is also the first to provide a comprehensive theoretical model

that has empirical support. The proposed SMIV model extends the framework of advertising value by accounting for the roles of source credibility and consumer trust; both of these factors are indispensable to the effectiveness of influencer marketing. This study confirms that it is important to examine multiple communication components—including message features and source features—when explicating the dynamic persuasion processes of innovative marketing practices. This study fills the gap between the ever-changing practices of innovative marketing and the paucity of existing research and adds to the repertoire on the effects of social media advertising. This study also serves as a starting point for future empirical research in influencer marketing.

The current study also provides some useful recommendations for marketers and brands that are interested in influencer marketing. For example, because social media users' trust in influencer-branded content plays a significant role in brand awareness and purchase intentions, brands might place more importance on selecting influencers whose content is well trusted among their followers. Specifically, brands can estimate such trust by evaluating followers' perceptions of influencers' trustworthiness, attractiveness, and/or similarity. Instead of relying on data that describe influencers' numbers of followers and engagement metrics, such knowledge could help brands implement potentially more effective influencer marketing campaigns. Moreover, brands that aim to expand brand awareness among a large target audience may look for social media influencers who demonstrate an attractive presentation and explicit expertise status that align with the brands' business offerings. Finally, social media influencers are content creators. Brands should always make sure that influencers create informative content as part of their collaborations.

This study also conveys some meaningful recommendations to influencers. Besides upholding their status of expertise, they can opt for creating informative posts and signaling attractiveness and similarity to their followers, which can positively shape their followers' trust in their branded posts.

Limitations

This current study is not without its limitations. First, while we believe that the factors we identified in this study helped us to better understand the mechanism underlying effective influencer marketing, we recognize that other relevant factors could affect the process

and were not included in our research, for example, advertising literacy and followers' persuasion knowledge. Second, this study asked about social media influencers and trust in influencer-generated branded posts in general. It is conceivable that social media users may hold different beliefs/attitudes about influencers or influencer-generated branded posts on different social media platforms because of message modality or influencers' content variations across different platforms. Future studies could examine influencer marketing on specific SNSs. Third, the unexpected findings of the present study (i.e., influencers' trustworthiness's negative effects on brand awareness and purchase intentions) require further research. In addition, although we provided participants with detailed information about the phenomenon of influencers and influencer marketing on social media, future studies may also want to control whether participants actually understand the concept of social media influencer. Moreover, this study used a U.S. sample for model testing. It would be worth exploring the role of cultural factors in social media following in the future. In addition to the message and source factors examined in this study, future research might also investigate other factors that relate to media channel (e.g., affordance, usefulness) or receivers (e.g., motivations, personality). Finally, future research can use other methods, such as experimental designs, to study causal relationships among specified variables.

Conclusion

This study proposed a theoretical model to understand the effects of influencer marketing, which incorporates the value of influencer content and source credibility into model testing and lays the groundwork for a more comprehensive model. Despite the wide acclaim that it has received from the industry, influencer marketing is yet to evolve. There will be more questions to be examined regarding the effects of influencer marketing in light of the ever-changing interactive advertising landscape, such as the recent popularity of vertical video (e.g., Instagram's IGTV) and experiential advertising. Future research may not only investigate the roles of interactive platforms' affordances and consumers' individual differences in influencer marketing but also identify critical boundary conditions or mechanisms of its effects on brand building and consumer behaviors.

Funding

This study was funded by the 2017 Nanyang Technological University Start-up Grant [grant number M4081983.060].

References

- Agrawal, A.J. (2016), "Why Influencer Marketing Will Explode in 2017," *Forbes*, December 27, <https://www.forbes.com/sites/ajagrawal/2016/12/27/why-influencer-marketing-will-explode-in-2017/#3bfaf85c20a9>.
- Ahmad, Irfan (2018), "The Influencer Marketing Revolution," *Social Media Today*, February 16, <https://www.socialmediatoday.com/news/the-influencer-marketing-revolution-infographic/517146/>.
- Alhabash, Saleem, Anna R. McAlister, Chen Lou, and Amy Hagerstrom (2015), "From Clicks to Behaviors: The Mediating Effect of Intentions to Like, Share, and Comment on the Relationship between Message Evaluations and Offline Behavioral Intentions," *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 15 (2), 82–96.
- Amos, Clinton, Gary Holmes, and David Strutton (2008), "Exploring the Relationship between Celebrity Endorser Effects and Advertising Effectiveness: A Quantitative Synthesis of Effect Size," *International Journal of Advertising*, 27 (2), 209–34.
- Anderson, Rolph E., and Srinivasan Swaminathan (2011), "Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty in e-Markets: A PLS Path Modeling Approach," *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19 (2), 221–34.
- Barreda, Albert A., Anil Bilgihan, Khaldoon Nusair, and Fevzi Okumus (2015), "Generating Brand Awareness in Online Social Networks," *Computers in Human Behavior*, 50 (September), 600–09.
- Bevilacqua, Jessica, and Elizabeth Del Giudice (2018), "Why Brands Need to Utilize Influencer Marketing in 2018," *St. Joseph Communications*, April 3, <https://stjoseph.com/insight/influencer-marketing-2018-infographic/>.
- Brown, Duncan and Nick Hayes (2008), *Influencer Marketing: Who Really Influences Your Customers?*, Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Chaiken, Shelly (1987), "The Heuristic Model of Persuasion," in *Social Influence: The Ontario Symposium*, vol. 5, Mark P. Zanna and James M. Olson, eds., Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 3–39.
- Chaiken, Shelly, and Durairaj Maheswaran (1994), "Heuristic Processing Can Bias Systematic Processing: Effects of Source Credibility, Argument Ambiguity, and Task Importance on Attitude Judgment," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66 (3), 460–73.
- Chakraborty, Uttam, and Savita Bhat (2018), "The Effects of Credible Online Reviews on Brand Equity Dimensions and Its Consequence on Consumer Behavior," *Journal of Promotion Management*, 24 (1), 57–82.
- Choo, Ho Jung, So Yun Sim, Ha Kyung Lee, and Ha Bin Kim (2014), "The Effect of Consumers' Involvement and Innovativeness on the Utilization of Fashion Wardrobe," *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 38 (2), 175–82.
- Colliander, Jonas, and Micael Dahmén (2011), "Following the Fashionable Friend: The Power of Social Media," *Journal of Advertising Research*, 51 (1), 313–20.

- Cowles, Deborah L. (1997), "The Role of Trust in Customer Relationships: Asking the Right Questions," *Management Decision*, 35 (4), 273–82.
- Cunningham, Nicole, and Laura F. Bright (2012), "The Tweet Is in Your Court: Measuring Attitude Towards Athlete Endorsements in Social Media," *International Journal of Integrated Marketing Communications*, 4 (2), 73–87.
- Dao, William Van-Tien, Angelina Nhat Hanh Le, Julian Ming-Sung Cheng, and Der Chao Chen (2014), "Social Media Advertising Value: The Case of Transitional Economies in Southeast Asia," *International Journal of Advertising*, 33 (2), 271–94.
- Daugherty, Terry, Vanja Djuric, Hairong Li, and John Leckenby (2017), "Establishing a Paradigm: A Systematic Analysis of Interactive Advertising Research," *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 17 (1), 65–78.
- Dehghani, Milad, Mojtaba Khorram Niaki, Iman Ramezani, and Rasoul Sali (2016), "Evaluating the Influence of YouTube Advertising for Attraction of Young Customers," *Computers in Human Behavior*, 59 (June), 165–72.
- de Magistris, Tiziana, and Azucena Gracia (2008), "The Decision to Buy Organic Food Products in Southern Italy," *British Food Journal*, 110 (9), 929–47.
- De Veirman, Marijke, Veroline Cauberghe, and Liselot Hudders (2017), "Marketing through Instagram Influencers: The Impact of Number of Followers and Product Divergence on Brand Attitude," *International Journal of Advertising*, 36 (5), 798–28.
- Djafarova, Elmira, and Chloe Rushworth (2017), "Exploring the Credibility of Online Celebrities' Instagram Profiles in Influencing the Purchase Decisions of Young Female Users," *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68 (March), 1–7.
- Ducoffe, Robert H. (1995), "How Consumers Assess the Value of Advertising," *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, 17 (1), 1–18.
- Ducoffe, Robert H. (1996), "Advertising Value and Advertising on the Web," *Journal of Advertising Research*, 36 (5), 21–35.
- Dwivedi, Abhishek, Lester W. Johnson, and Robert E. McDonald (2015), "Celebrity Endorsement, Self-Brand Connection and Consumer-Based Brand Equity," *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, 24 (5), 449–61.
- Esseveld, Nelson (2017), "Why Goals Matter to Influencer Marketing Success: Twitter Business," *Twitter*, May 12, <https://business.twitter.com/en/blog/Why-goals-matter-to-influencer-marketing-success.html>.
- Evans, Nathaniel J., Joe Phua, Jay Lim, and Hyoyeun Jun (2017), "Disclosing Instagram Influencer Advertising: The Effects of Disclosure Language on Advertising Recognition, Attitudes, and Behavioral Intent," *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 17 (2), 138–49.
- Fisher, James E., Brian D. Till, and Sarah M. Stanley (2010), "Signaling Trust in Print Advertisements: An Empirical Investigation," *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 16 (3), 133–47.
- Freberg, Karen, Kristin Graham, Karen McGaughey, and Laura A. Freberg (2011), "Who Are the Social Media Influencers? A Study of Public Perceptions of Personality," *Public Relations Review*, 37 (1), 90–92.
- Ganguly, Sonny (2015), "Why Social Media Advertising Is Set to Explode in the Next 3 Years," *Marketing Land*, March 17, <https://marketingland.com/social-media-advertising-set-explode-next-3-years-121691>.
- Garcia, Denise (2017), "Social Media Mavens Wild 'Influence,' and Rake in Big Dollars," *CNBC*, August 12, <https://www.cnn.com/2017/08/11/social-media-influencers-rake-in-cash-become-a-billion-dollar-market.html>.
- Giffin, Kim (1967), "The Contribution of Studies of Source Credibility to a Theory of Interpersonal Trust in the Communication Process," *Psychological Bulletin*, 68 (2), 104–19.
- Gottfried, Jeffrey, and Elisa Shearer (2016), "News Use across Social Media Platforms 2016," *Pew Research Center*, May 26, <http://www.journalism.org/2016/05/26/news-use-across-social-media-platforms-2016/>.
- Guido, Gianluigi, and Alessandro M. Peluso (2009), "When Are Baby-Faced Endorsers Appropriate? Testing Effects on Credibility and Purchase Intention," *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, 31 (2), 67–74.
- Hair, Joseph F., Jr., William C. Black, Barry J. Babin, and Rolph E. Anderson (2010), *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Hair, Joseph F., Jr., G. Tomas M. Hult, Christian M. Ringle, and Marko Sarstedt (2014), *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hair, Neil, Moira Clark, and Melanie Shapiro (2010), "Toward a Classification System of Relational Activity in Consumer Electronic Communities: The Moderators' Tale," *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, 9 (1), 54–65.
- Hall, John (2015), "Build Authentic Audience Experiences through Influencer Marketing," *Forbes*, December 17, <https://www.forbes.com/sites/johnhall/2015/12/17/build-authentic-audience-experiences-through-influencer-marketing/#589d25fa4ff2>.
- Hall, Katie (2016), "The Importance of Authenticity in Influencer Marketing," *Sprout Content*, September 30, <https://www.sproutcontent.com/blog/the-importance-of-authenticity-in-influencer-marketing>.
- Henseler, Jörg, Christian M. Ringle, and Rudolf R. Sinkovics (2009), "The Use of Partial Least Squares Path Modeling in International Marketing," in *Advances in International Marketing*, 20, 277–319.
- Hovland, Carl I., Irving K. Janis, and Harold H. Kelley (1953), *Communication and Persuasion*, New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Hovland, Carl I., and Walther Weiss (1951), "The Influence of Source Credibility on Communication Effectiveness," *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 15 (4), 635–50.
- Hoyer, Wayne D., and Steven P. Brown (1990), "Effects of Brand Awareness on Choice for a Common, Repeat-Purchase Product," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17 (2), 141–48.
- Huang, Rong, and Emine Sarigöllü (2012), "How Brand Awareness Relates to Market Outcome, Brand Equity, and the Marketing Mix," *Journal of Business Research*, 65 (1), 92–99.
- Johansen, Ida Kristin, and Camilla Sveberg Guldvik (2017), "Influencer Marketing and Purchase Intentions: How Does Influencer Marketing Affect Purchase Intentions?," *master's thesis*, Norwegian School of Economics, Bergen.

- Karp, Katie (2016), "New Research: The Value of Influencer on Twitter," *Twitter*, May 10, <https://blog.twitter.com/2016/new-research-the-value-of-influencers-on-twitter>.
- Kees, Jeremy, Christopher Berry, Scot Burton, and Kim Sheehan (2017), "An Analysis of Data Quality: Professional Panels, Student Subject Pools, And Amazon's Mechanical Turk," *Journal of Advertising*, 46 (1), 141–55.
- Keller, Kevin Lane (2008), *Strategic Brand Management: Building, Measuring, and Managing Brand Equity*, 3rd ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Kinard, Brian R., and Michael L. Capella (2006), "Relationship Marketing: The Influence of Consumer Involvement on Perceived Service Benefits," *Journal of Services Marketing*, 20 (6), 359–68.
- Lafferty, Barbara A., Ronald E. Goldsmith, and Stephen J. Newell (2002), "The Dual Credibility Model: The Influence of Corporate and Endorser Credibility on Attitudes and Purchase Intentions," *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 10 (3), 1–11.
- Langaro, Daniela, Paulo Rita, and Maria de Fátima Salgueiro (2018), "Do Social Networking Sites Contribute for Building Brands? Evaluating the Impact of Users' Participation on Brand Awareness and Brand Attitude," *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 24 (2), 146–68.
- Lee, Kun Chang, and Namho Chung (2009), "Understanding Factors Affecting Trust in and Satisfaction with Mobile Banking in Korea: A Modified DeLone and McLean's Model Perspective," *Interacting with Computers*, 21 (5–6), 385–92.
- Lee, Yong-gun, Kevin K. Byon, Robin Ammon, and Sung-Bae R. Park (2016), "Golf Product Advertising Value, Attitude toward Advertising and Brand, and Purchase Intention," *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 44 (5), 785–800.
- Lee, Younghun, and Jakeun Koo (2015), "Athlete Endorsement, Attitudes, and Purchase Intention: The Interaction Effect between Athlete Endorser-Product Congruence and Endorser Credibility," *Journal of Sport Management*, 29 (5), 523–38.
- Lewis, J. David, and Andrew Weigert (1985), "Trust as a Social Reality," *Social Forces*, 63 (4), 967–85.
- López, Manuela, and María Sicilia (2014), "eWOM As Source of Influence: The Impact of Participation in eWOM and Perceived Source Trustworthiness on Decision Making," *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 14 (2), 86–97.
- Lu, Long-Chuan, Wen-Pin Chang, and Hsiu-Hua Chang (2014), "Consumer Attitudes toward Blogger's Sponsored Recommendations and Purchase Intention: The Effect of Sponsorship Type, Product Type, and Brand Awareness," *Computers in Human Behavior*, 34 (May), 258–66.
- MacDonald, Emma K., and Byron M. Sharp (2000), "Brand Awareness Effects on Consumer Decision Making for a Common, Repeat Purchase Product: A Replication," *Journal of Business Research*, 48 (1), 5–15.
- McCracken, Grant (1989), "Who Is the Celebrity Endorser? Cultural Foundations of the Endorsement Process," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 16 (3), 310–21.
- McCroskey, James C. (1966), "Scales for the Measurement of Ethos," *Speech Monographs*, 33 (1), 65–72.
- McGuire, William J. (1985), "Attitudes and Attitude Change," in *Handbook of Social Psychology*, vol.2, Gardner Lindzey, and Elliot Aronson, eds., New York: Random House, 233–346.
- McGuire, William J. (2001), "Input and Output Variables Currently Promising for Constructing Persuasive Communications," in *Public Communication Campaigns*, 3rd ed., R.E. Rice and C.K. Atkin, eds., Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 22–48.
- McLaughlin, Caitlin (2016), "Source Credibility and Consumers' Responses to Marketer Involvement in Facebook Brand Communities: What Causes Consumers to Engage?," *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 16 (2), 101–16.
- McQuail, Dennis (1983), *Mass Communication Theory: An Introduction*, London: Sage.
- Moorman, Christine, Rohit Deshpandé, and Gerald Zaltman (1993), "Factors Affecting Trust in Market Research Relationships," *Journal of Marketing*, 57 (1), 81–101.
- Morgan, Robert M., and Shelby D. Hunt (1994), "The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing," *Journal of Marketing*, 58 (3), 20–38.
- Munnukka, Juha, Outi Uusitalo, and Hanna Toivonen (2016), "Credibility of a Peer Endorser and Advertising Effectiveness," *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 33 (3), 182–92.
- Ohanian, Roobina (1990), "Construction and Validation of a Scale to Measure Celebrity Endorsers' Perceived Expertise, Trustworthiness, and Attractiveness," *Journal of Advertising*, 19 (3), 39–52.
- Petty, Richard E., and John T. Cacioppo (1986), *Communication and Persuasion: Central and Peripheral Routes to Attitude Change*, New York: Springer.
- Pornpitakpan, Chanthika (2004), "The Persuasiveness of Source Credibility: A Critical Review of Five Decades' Evidence," *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 34 (2), 243–81.
- Racherla, Pradeep, Munir Mandviwalla, and Daniel J. Connolly (2012), "Factors Affecting Consumers' Trust in Online Product Reviews," *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 11 (2), 94–104.
- Ringle, Christian M., Sven Wende, and Jan-Michael Becker (2014), *SmartPLS 3*, Hamburg: SmartPLS (available at <http://www.smartpls.com>).
- Ross, Joel, Lilly Irani, M. Silberman, Andrew Zaldivar, and Bill Tomlinson (2010), "Who Are the Crowdworkers? Shifting Demographics in Mechanical Turk," in *CHI'10 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, New York: ACM, 2863–72.
- Salmon, Chuck T. (1986), "Perspectives on Involvement in Consumer and Communication Research," in *Progress in Communication Sciences*, vol.7, Brenda Dervin and Melvin J. Voigt, eds., Norwood, NJ: Ablex, 243–68.
- Scott, David Meerman (2015), *The New Rules of Marketing and PR: How to Use Social Media, Online Video, Mobile Applications, Blogs, News Releases, and Viral Marketing to Reach Buyers Directly*, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Shah, Rachna, and Susan Meyer Goldstein (2006), "Use of Structural Equation Modeling in Operations Management Research: Looking Back and Forward," *Journal of Operations Management*, 24 (2), 148–69.

- Sheth, Jagdish N., and Can Uslay (2007), "Implications of the Revised Definition of Marketing: From Exchange to Value Creation," *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 26 (2), 302–07.
- Soh, Hyeonjin, Leonard N. Reid, and Karen Whitehill King (2009), "Measuring Trust in Advertising," *Journal of Advertising*, 38 (2), 83–104.
- Spears, Nancy, and Surendra N. Singh (2004), "Measuring Attitude toward the Brand and Purchase Intentions," *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, 26 (2), 53–66.
- Statista (2018), "Leading Goals of Influencer Marketing Worldwide as of January 2017," <https://www.statista.com/statistics/666426/goals-influencer-marketing/>.
- Sun, Yongqiang, Kai H. Lim, Chunping Jiang, Jerry Zeyu Peng, and Xiaojian Chen (2010), "Do Males and Females Think in the Same Way? An Empirical Investigation on the Gender Differences in Web Advertising Evaluation," *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26 (6), 1614–24.
- Swant, Marty (2016), "Twitter Says Users Now Trust Influencers Nearly As Much As Their Friends," *Adweek*, May 10, <http://www.adweek.com/digital/twitter-says-users-now-trust-influencers-nearly-much-their-friends-171367/>.
- Talavera, Misha (2015), "10 Reasons Why Influencer Marketing Is the Next Big Thing," *Adweek*, July 14, <http://www.adweek.com/digital/10-reasons-why-influencer-marketing-is-the-next-big-thing/>.
- Varsamis, Evan (2018), "Are Social Media Influencers the Next-Generation Brand Ambassadors?," *Forbes*, June 13, <https://www.forbes.com/sites/theyec/2018/06/13/are-social-media-influencers-the-next-generation-brand-ambassadors/#2d8b9e82473d>.
- Voss, Kevin E., Eric R. Spangenberg, and Bianca Grohmann (2003), "Measuring the Hedonic and Utilitarian Dimensions of Consumer Attitude," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 40 (3), 310–20.
- Woods, Steven (2016), "#Sponsored: Emergence of Influencer Marketing," *honors thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville*, http://trace.tennessee.edu/cgi/view-content.cgi?article=3010&context=utk_chanhonoproj.
- Wu, Tai-Yee, and Carolyn A. Lin (2017), "Predicting the Effects of eWOM and Online Brand Messaging: Source Trust, Bandwagon Effect and Innovation Adoption Factors," *Telematics and Informatics*, 34 (2), 470–80.
- Yodel, Global (2017), "What Is Influencer Marketing?," *Huffington Post*, July 6, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/global-yodel/what-is-influencer-marketing_b_10778128.html.
- Yoo, Boonghee, Naveen Donthu, and Sungho Lee (2000), "An Examination of Selected Marketing Mix Elements and Brand Equity," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28 (2), 195–211.
- Yuan, Jingxue, and SooCheong Jang (2008), "The Effects of Quality and Satisfaction on Awareness and Behavioral Intentions: Exploring the Role of a Wine Festival," *Journal of Travel Research*, 46 (3), 279–88.
- Zha, Xianjin, Jing Li, and Yalan Yan (2015), "Advertising Value and Credibility Transfer: Attitude towards Web Advertising and Online Information Acquisition," *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 34 (5), 520–32.

Appendix: Definition of Social Media Influencers in the Survey

Social media influencers are digital personalities who have amassed large numbers of followers across one or several social media platforms (e.g., YouTube, Instagram, Vine, Snapchat, or personal blogs) and carry influence over others. Compared with traditional celebrities, influencers are "regular people" who become online "celebrities" by creating contents on social media, e.g., makeup YouTuber Michelle Phan, gaming YouTuber PewDiePie, Instagram star Loki the Wolfdog, Chef Jacques La Merde on Instagram, among other influencers in areas like healthy living, travel, food, lifestyle, etc.