Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:
This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.
Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated:
   http://bengal.missouri.edu/~mbs266/Islt_4360/pilot_site/seibel_pilot.html

2. Author of site evaluated: _Morgan Seibel

3. Evaluator's first name:___Patrick_____

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows XP, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 512 MB RAM):
-Macbook Pro

2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 8.0, Mozilla Firefox 2.0)
-Chrome

3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
-DSL

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback
-Homepage is clearly setup with other information pages presented at the top in a simple manner.

2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
-Color scheme is great and coordinates with natural plant and water colors clearly visible.

3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback
-All website page options are located at the top for easy access and navigation

4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	(3)	4	5

Please explain your feedback
-Yes

5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback:
-Very detailed and high quality pictures

6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback
-Did not have any problems with the pictures displayed.

7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	(4)	5

Please explain your feedback
-Pictures were of proportional size for simple viewing and details within the pictures. Not too many pictures when evaluated.

8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback
-Easy to read and not straining on the eyes.

9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	4	(5)

Please explain your feedback
-No problems accessing the website or any of the resources.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

CONTENT

1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.   1   2   3   4   **(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Each page clearly has the title at the top.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose.   1   2   3   4   **(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Very easy to find each link and access to other websites

3. Links are up-to-date and functional.   1   2   3   4   **(5)**
Please explain your feedback
-All websites and inks are up-to-date.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep.   1   2   3   **(4)**   5
Please explain your feedback
-Lots of resources but all contain plenty of info about the topic.

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return.   1   2   3   4   **(5)**
Please explain your feedback
-Site has multiple pages and links to keep user interacted and interested.

6. Information is accurate and current.   1   2   3   4   **(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-All up to date info found.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience.   1   2   3   4   **(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Site offers many useful and interesting tips and tricks to the topic mentioned as well as supporting the topic environment by giving professional examples of the topic.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

CREDIBILITY

1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Clearly found all outside sites and info with credit mentioned.

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Yes

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Clearly gives information from a unbiased standpoint

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-All original pictures and information given.

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Did not find any grammatical errors.

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	**(5)**

Please explain your feedback
-Site is very up to date