

Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated:
http://bengal.missouri.edu/~mbs266/lslt_4360/pilot_site/seibel_pilot.html
2. Author of site evaluated: Morgan Seibel
3. Evaluator's first name: Will

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer:
Custom built PC with 8GB RAM
nVidia GTX 970
i5 3750k OC @ 4.1 GHz
Triple monitor setup
CoolerMaster CPU cooler
And other stuff
2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 8.0, Mozilla Firefox 2.0)
Chrome or go home
3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
Cable modem (Charter)

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> Site is divided into several sections that adequately break down what an aquascape is. The home page has a clear table of contents linked to each page.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> The site is color coordinated and the background is easy on the eyes. The background of the site accentuates the colors of the aquascapes and makes the site visually appealing. The How-To page is a bit wordy and could maybe include pictures of each step.	1	2	3	4	5
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> I didn't get hung up or confused the entire time I browsed the site. When I wanted to find something, it was easy to find.	1	2	3	4	5
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> None of the pictures are out of place or unnecessary. Every picture and link contributes valuable information to the page.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. <u>Please explain your feedback</u>	1	2	3	4	5
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> what	1	2	3	4	5
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. <u>Please explain your feedback</u> Tru	1	2	3	4	5

9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. 1 2 3 4 **5**
Please explain your feedback
 Everything was easy to find and navigate.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
CONTENT					
1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

The pages have them.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. 1 2 3 4 **5**

Please explain your feedback

Yeah the links are simultaneously appropriate, clearly labeled, and they all for sure have a definite purpose. Good on you links.

3. Links are up-to-date and functional. 1 2 3 4 **5**

Please explain your feedback

Every link worked.

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. 1 2 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. 1 2 3 **4** 5

Please explain your feedback

The content is very informative and visually appealing. It makes me want to learn more. I suggest adding more pictures to the examples.

6. Information is accurate and current. 1 2 3 4 **5**

Please explain your feedback

All of the information was useful and accurate.

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. 1 2 3 4 **5**

Please explain your feedback

The site educates visitors and will leave them curious about aquascapes.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongl y Agree
CREDIBILITY					
1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> Not sure if information has to be cited but some isn't. Could be wrong here.					
2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> It is.					
3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> The site states facts and lets the users form their own points of view.					
4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> As far as I know you're gucci.					
5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> I didn't notice any errors.					
6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Please explain your feedback</u> It has that.					