## Morgan Note for Bang Robins

In this section, let the temporally ordered observed data be  $\mathbf{O} = (\mathbf{L} \square_1, \mathbf{L}_2, A_2, ..., \mathbf{L}_K, A_K, \mathbf{L}_{K+1})$  where  $A_k$  is a treatment given at time k and  $\mathbf{L}_k$  are other variables measured just prior to treatment. Associated with each treatment history  $\overline{\mathbf{a}} = (a_1, ..., a_K)$ , there is a counterfactual random variable  $\mathbf{L}_{\overline{\mathbf{a}}} = \overline{\mathbf{L}}_{\overline{\mathbf{a}},K+1}$  recording a subject's response history if treatment regime  $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$  was followed. We link the counterfactual data to the observed data through the consistency assumption  $\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{\overline{\mathbf{a}},m} = \overline{\mathbf{L}}_m$  if  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1} = \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{m-1}$  which states that the observed and counterfactual response through m will be equal if the observed and counterfactual treatments agree through m-1. That is to say, the future cannot determine the past. We impose the assumption of sequential ignorability (i.e., no unmeasured confounders) that for all  $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$  and m

$$L_{\overline{\mathbf{a}}} \prod A_m \mid \overline{\mathbf{L}}_m, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1} = \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{m-1} \tag{1}$$

which implies that sufficient covariates have been recorded in the  $\mathbf{L}_m$  so that, as in a sequential randomized trial, the treatment  $A_m$  is independent of the counterfactuals given the observed past. Further we assume that, for all  $a_m$  in the support of  $A_m$ ,

if 
$$f(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{L}}_m) > 0$$
 then  $f(a_m \mid \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{L}}_m) > 0$ , (2)

which says that there is a positive probability that, in the observed study, any regime  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$  may be followed by a given subject.

We shall consider inference concerning the parameter  $E[Y_{\overline{a}}] = E[L_{K+1,\overline{a}}]$ 

DR Estimation of  $E[Y_{\overline{a}}]$ 

1. .Compute the MLE  $\hat{\alpha}$  of  $\alpha$  from the observed data by pooled (over persons i and times m) logistic regression

$$\log it \left\{ pr \left( A_{m,i} = 1 \mid \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{m,i}, \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{m-1,i}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) \right\} = w_m \left( \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{m,i}, \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{m-1,i}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right)$$

where  $w_m$  is a user specified function.

Do three times to test double robustness and efficiency: (i) for the true propensity model generating the data, (ii) a correct super model, and (iii) an incorrect super model

2. Set 
$$\hat{T}_{K+1} = \mathbf{L}_{K+1} = Y$$
.

3. Recursively, for  $m = K + 1, \dots, 2$ ,

4. a): specify and fit by IRLS a parametric regression model  $h_{m-1}(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_{m-1}, \phi_{m-1}) = \Psi\{s_{m-1}(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_{m-1}) + \phi_{m-1}\overline{\pi}_{m-1}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})\}$  for the conditional expectation  $E\{\hat{T}_m \mid \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}\}$ , where  $s_{m-1}(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_{m-1})$  is a known function with the unknown parameter  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{m-1}$ ,  $\Psi$  is the canonical link function of a given GLM, and  $\overline{\pi}_m(\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) = \prod_{j=1}^m f(A_j \mid \overline{\mathbf{L}}_j, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{j-1}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$ .

b): Let  $\hat{h}_{m-1}\left(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}\right) = \Psi\{s_{m-1}(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{m-1}) + \hat{\phi}_{m-1}\overline{\pi}_{m-1}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})\}$  be the predicted value from IRLS fit of the model. This implies that  $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}'_{m-1}, \hat{\phi}_{m-1})'$  is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \widetilde{E} \left[ [\widehat{T}_m - \Psi \{ s_{m-1}(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-1}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{m-1}) + \hat{\phi}_{m-1} \overline{\pi}_{m-1}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) \} \right] \\ &\{ \partial s(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{m-1}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'_{m-1}, \overline{\pi}_{m-1}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) \} \right] \\ \text{where } \widetilde{E}(V) &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i. \end{aligned}$$

c): Set 
$$\hat{T}_{m-1} = \hat{h}_{m-1} \left( \overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-2}, a_{m-1} \right) =$$

$$\Psi\{s_{m-1}(\overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1},\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-2},a_{m-1};\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{m-1})$$

$$+\hat{\phi}_{m-1}\prod_{j=1}^{m-2}f(A_j\mid \overline{\mathbf{L}}_j, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{j-1}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})f(a_{m-1}\mid \overline{\mathbf{L}}_{m-1}, \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{m-2}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$$

Note we could write  $\hat{T}_{m-1}^{o}$  more precisely as  $\hat{T}_{m-1}^{a_{m-1},...a_K}$ 

5. Finally 
$$\widehat{E}[Y_{\overline{a}}] = \widetilde{E}[\widehat{T}_1] = \widetilde{E}[\widehat{T}_1^{\overline{a}}]$$

