## 0.1 Test Automation & Tool Support

# 0.1.1 Automated Test Case Generation (ATCG)

As writing test cases with good coverage is very time consuming, automated test case generation (ATCG) is often used instead.

## Fundamental Approaches

White Box: (Code Based) Code of IUT is analyzed to achieve coverage.

- Syntactic Approach: Scan for conditions, evaluation. Achieves logic-based criteria.
- Symbolic Execution: Unwinding CFG with symbolic values. Achieves structural coverage criteria.

 $\rightarrow$  Under-Approximation: Unreached code.

Black Box: Analysis of input data or model of IUT.

#### 0.1.2 Further Test Automation

### Test Coverage Recording

- 1. Instrument IUT
- 2. Run test suite, collect information during test runs
- 3. Analyze and display achieved coverage statistics

Does not help with writing tests, but helps with knowing when to stop.

## Test Oracle Synthesis

- **Human Oracle:** Time consuming and error-prone
- Verdict as Code (assert): Need expertise, hard to maintain
- $\rightarrow$  Write test oracle in **formal specification language**, sythesize code from specification

### 0.1.3 Automatic Static Verification Techniques

### Static Checking

Typically based on CFG of IUT and constraint solving

- Runtime exceptions, liveness, information flow
- Fully automated
- Over-approximation, possibly many false positives
- Scales reasonably

## **Bug Finding**

Based on pattern matching and heuristics

- Fast, scales well, handles full Java
- Over- and Under approximation (false positives and incomplete)

**SpotBugs** is a static analysis tool utilizing bug patterns to find bugs in Java programs. It works at a byte code level.

It sources its bug patterns from complex language features, misunderstood API methods or invariants and typos and wrong usage of operators

# 0.1.4 Formal Verification

## Formal Approaches

- Mathematical Foundation (logic and set theory)
- Sound relative to formal model (strong guarantee)
- Not necessarily complete (not all true properties can be proven)

Often checked for by external programs that use either **Model Checking** or **Deductive Verification** by feeding it the source code and the formal specifications.

#### 0.1.5 Design-by-Contract

```
Design-by-Contract Example in JML
1 /*@ private normal_behavior
      // What needs to be true for this method to work correctly
      requires 0 <= low <= up <= a.length;</pre>
      requires (\forall int x,y;
              0 <= x < y < a.length; a[x] <= a[y]);</pre>
      // What this method guarantees to be true after execution
      ensures \result == -1 || low <= \result < up;
      ensures (\exists int idx;
              low <= idx < up; a[idx] == v) ?
          \result >= low && a[\result] == v
10
          : \result == -1;
11
      // What the method may modify
13
      assignable \nothing;
      // Specifies the termination metric
14
      measured_by up - low;
15
16 0*/
17 private int binSearch(int v, int low, int up) {...}
```

## Design-by-Contract

Formal specification:

- Pre- and Postconditions, side effects for each method
- Class and loop invariants

Verification tool proves that each method

- fulfills its contract in all possible runs
- preserves loop and object invariants

### 0.1.6 Java Modelling Language (JML)

JML is a contract-based specification language tailored to java

# General JML Philosophy

Integrate

- JML specification
- Java implementation

within a single language

JML is not external to Java, but integrated

#### 0.1.7 Deductive Verification

### Working Principle: Path Exploration

Symbolic execution explores all paths in CFG of straight-line programs (no jumps, no loops, no method calls)

- Finite number of paths
- Uses symbolic values to represent all inputs
- Loops approximated by all invariants

# Scalability of Deductive Verification

Approximate effect of a method call with a contract

- During symbolic execution, replace called method with contract
- Substitution and first-order deduction instead of path exploration

