**Design Document**

***Knockout Ticket***

|  |
| --- |
| **Date : 28/03/2024** |
| **Version : 1** |
| **State : Inception Phase** |
| **Author : Valentin-Gabriel Morisca** |

Contents

[1. Introduction 3](#_Toc162617106)

[2. Architecture Constraints and Design Decisions 4](#_Toc162617107)

[3. C4 Model 5](#_Toc162617108)

[4. Principles Applied 6](#_Toc162617109)

[5. Conclusion 7](#_Toc162617110)

# Introduction

This document describes the software architecture for our project, detailing the design decisions made, the constraints we operated under, and the principles we have followed.

# Architecture Constraints and Design Decisions

**Why Spring Boot over other Java frameworks like Java EE or Micronaut?**

* **Rapid Development:** Spring Boot's defaults enable quick project starts without the need for extensive configuration, unlike Java EE which can be more verbose.
* **Rich Ecosystem:** It integrates seamlessly with various Spring projects like Spring Security, Spring Data, etc. Micronaut does offer integrations but the ecosystem around Spring Boot is more mature, and more developed.
* **Maintainability & Scalability:** Spring Boot provides a solid base for creating scalable and maintainable solutions for software applications.

**Why React over Vue.js or Angular?**

* **Component-Based:** React's architecture focuses on building reusable UI components. While Vue.js and Angular also support component-based development, React's ecosystem and hooks make component reuse and management more intuitive, and easy to use. Also, it encourages a rapid development.
* **Performance:** React uses the Virtual DOM for efficient updates and rendering. While Vue.js also uses a Virtual DOM, React's reconciliation algorithm has been widely adopted and tested.
* **Rich Ecosystem:** React has a massive community, resulting in extensive libraries and tools to extend its functionality. While Angular and Vue.js also have strong ecosystems, React's is larger due to its longer tenure and backing by Facebook.


**Why MySQL over PostgreSQL or MongoDB?**

* **Popularity & Support:** MySQL is one of the most widely-used relational databases with extensive documentation and community support. While PostgreSQL is also popular and might offer some advanced features, MySQL's simplicity and support have made it a top choice for many.
* **Scalable:** MySQL can manage a significant amount of data without compromising on performance. PostgreSQL can also scale, but might require more configuration for high-performance scenarios. MongoDB, being NoSQL, scales horizontally but presents different data modeling and consistency trade-offs.

# C4 Model

**Level 1: System context**

This diagram represents how our system interacts with its users and external systems.




**Explanation:**

* Users interact with our system through the front end, built using React.
* The backend, developed using Spring Boot, manages the business logic and data storage.

**Level 2: Container**

This level shows the high-level technical architecture of the system




**Explanation:**

* Web Application: This is our React application where users interact with the system.
* API Application: Our Spring Boot application which the front end communicates with to fetch, update, and delete data.
* Database: MySQL database for data persistence.

**Level 3: Component**

**Explanation:**

****

* Controller Layer: The entry point for incoming requests. It delegates the work to the service layer.
* Service Layer: Where our business logic resides. It interacts with the repository layer to fetch and store data.
* Repository Layer: Directly interacts with the database, fetching and storing data.

Each layer has a distinct responsibility, ensuring we follow the Single Responsibility Principle from SOLID.

# **Principles Applied**

**SOLID Principles:**

* **Single Responsibility Principle (SRP):** Each class/component has a single responsibility. This is evident in our layered architecture where each layer has a defined role.
* **Open/Closed Principle (OCP):** Our system is open for extension but closed for modification. For instance, new features can be added as new services or components without altering existing ones.
* **Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP):** Subtypes must be substitutable for their base types. This ensures that any class can be replaced with a derived class without affecting behavior.
* **Interface Segregation Principle (ISP):** We've ensured that classes are not forced to implement interfaces they do not use. For instance, controllers only depend on the services they use, not on all available services.
* **Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP):** High-level modules (like services) do not depend on low-level modules (like repositories) but both depend on abstractions.

**KISS (Keep It Simple, Stupid) Principle:**

* **Description:** The KISS principle advocates for simplicity in design and code. Avoid unnecessary complexity and try to keep solutions straightforward and understandable.
* **Application:** In our system, we've avoided over-engineering solutions. For example, if a simple loop can solve the problem, we don't opt for more complex data structures or algorithms. Our focus is on clear, readable, and maintainable code.

**DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself) Principle:**

* **Description:** The DRY principle emphasizes reducing repetition in code. Duplication in logic or function can lead to maintenance challenges, inconsistencies, and bugs.
* **Application:** Throughout our application, common logic or functionalities have been abstracted into shared methods or components. For instance, instead of writing multiple functions to fetch data from different parts of the database, we've created a single, configurable data-fetching function. This not only reduces code redundancy but also ensures that any future changes can be made in one place.

**YAGNI (You Aren't Gonna Need It) Principle:**

* **Description:** YAGNI cautions against adding functionalities or complexities based on an assumption of future requirements. It's a reminder that one should only implement something when it's genuinely required.
* **Application:** In the development process, we've prioritized current, well-defined requirements over hypothetical future needs. For example, while it might seem tempting to build in advanced filtering and sorting for our data right now, if our current use case only requires basic listing, we stick to that. By doing so, we avoid unnecessary code and complexity, making the system easier to maintain and understand.

# Conclusion

This architecture provides a robust, maintainable, and scalable solution for our project's requirements. By leveraging the strengths of Spring Boot, React, and MySQL, and adhering to the SOLID principles, we've established a foundation for sustainable growth and future expansion.