

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 1: Symmetries in LLE (25 P)

The Locally Linear Embedding (LLE) method takes as input a collection of data points $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and embeds them in some low-dimensional space. LLE operates in two steps, with the first step consisting of minimizing the objective

$$\mathcal{E}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right\|^2$$

where w is a collection of reconstruction weights subject to the constraint $\forall i : \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$, and where \sum_j sums over the K nearest neighbors of the data point \vec{x}_i . The solution that minimizes the LLE objective can be shown to be invariant to various transformations of the data.

Show that invariance holds in particular for the following transformations:

- (a) Replacement of all \vec{x}_i with $\alpha \vec{x}_i$, for an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$,
- (b) Replacement of all \vec{x}_i with $\vec{x}_i + \vec{v}$, for a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
- (c) Replacement of all \vec{x}_i with $U \vec{x}_i$, where U is an orthogonal $d \times d$ matrix.

Exercise 2: Closed form for LLE (25 P)

In the following, we would like to show that the optimal weights w have an explicit analytic solution. For this, we first observe that the objective function can be decomposed as a sum of as many subobjectives as there are data points:

$$\mathcal{E}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{E}_i(w) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{E}_i(w) = \left\| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right\|^2$$

Furthermore, because each subobjective depends on different parameters, they can be optimized independently. We consider one such subobjective and for simplicity of notation, we rewrite it as:

$$\mathcal{E}_i(w) = \left\| \vec{x} - \sum_{j=1}^K w_j \vec{\eta}_j \right\|^2$$

where \vec{x} is the current data point (we have dropped the index i), where $\eta = (\vec{\eta}_1, \dots, \vec{\eta}_K)$ is a matrix of size $K \times d$ containing the K nearest neighbors of \vec{x} , and w is the vector of size K containing the weights to optimize and subject to the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^K w_j = 1$.

- (a) Prove that the optimal weights for \vec{x} are found by solving the following optimization problem:

$$\min_w w^\top C w \quad \text{subject to} \quad w^\top \mathbf{1} = 1.$$

where $C = (\mathbf{1} \vec{x}^\top - \eta)(\mathbf{1} \vec{x}^\top - \eta)^\top$ is the covariance matrix associated to the data point \vec{x} and $\mathbf{1}$ is a vector of ones of size K .

- (b) Show using the method of Lagrange multipliers that the minimum of the optimization problem found in (a) is given analytically as:

$$w = \frac{C^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^\top C^{-1} \mathbf{1}}.$$

- (c) Show that the optimal w can be equivalently found by solving the equation $Cw = \mathbf{1}$ and then rescaling w such that $w^\top \mathbf{1} = 1$.

Exercise 3: SNE and Kullback-Leibler Divergence (25 P)

SNE is an embedding algorithm that operates by minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence between two discrete probability distributions p and q representing the input space and the embedding space respectively. In ‘symmetric SNE’, these discrete distributions assign to each pair of data points (i, j) in the dataset the probability scores p_{ij} and q_{ij} respectively, corresponding to how close the two data points are in the input and embedding spaces. Once the exact probability functions are defined, the embedding algorithm proceeds by optimizing the function:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= D_{\text{KL}}(p \parallel q) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} \log \left(\frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where p and q are subject to the constraints $\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N q_{ij} = 1$. Specifically, the algorithm minimizes q which itself is a function of the coordinates in the embedded space. Optimization is typically performed using gradient descent.

In this exercise, we derive the gradient of the Kullback-Leibler divergence, first with respect to the probability scores q_{ij} , and then with respect to the embedding coordinates of which q_{ij} is a function.

(a) *Show that*

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial q_{ij}} = -\frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}. \quad (1)$$

(b) The probability matrix q is now reparameterized using a ‘softargmax’ function:

$$q_{ij} = \frac{\exp(z_{ij})}{\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N \exp(z_{kl})}$$

The new variables z_{ij} can be interpreted as unnormalized log-probabilities. *Show that*

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial z_{ij}} = -p_{ij} + q_{ij}. \quad (2)$$

(c) *Explain* which of the two gradients, (1) or (2), is the most appropriate for practical use in a gradient descent algorithm. Motivate your choice, first in terms of the stability or boundedness of the gradient, and second in terms of the ability to maintain a valid probability distribution during training.

(d) The scores z_{ij} are now reparameterized as

$$z_{ij} = -\|\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j\|^2$$

where the coordinates $\vec{y}_i, \vec{y}_j \in \mathbb{R}^h$ of data points in embedded space now appear explicitly. *Show* using the chain rule for derivatives that

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \vec{y}_i} = \sum_{j=1}^N 4(p_{ij} - q_{ij}) \cdot (\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j).$$

Exercise 4: Programming (25 P)

Download the programming files on ISIS and follow the instructions.

Exercise Sheet 1

1 Symmetries in LLE

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } \mathcal{E}'(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \alpha \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \alpha \vec{x}_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \alpha (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j) \right\|^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right\|^2 = \alpha^2 \mathcal{E}(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{argmin} \mathcal{E}'(w) = \operatorname{argmin} \alpha^2 \mathcal{E}(w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } \mathcal{E}'(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i + \vec{v} - \sum_j w_{ij} (\vec{x}_j + \vec{v}) \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i + \vec{v} - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{v} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i + \vec{v} - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j - \underbrace{\vec{v} \sum_j w_{ij}}_{=1} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i + \vec{v} - \vec{v} - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right\|^2 = \mathcal{E}(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{c) } \mathcal{E}'(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| U \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} U \vec{x}_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| U (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j) \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(U (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j) \right)^T \left(U (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j)^T \underbrace{U^T \cdot U}_{=I} (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j)^T (\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right\|^2 = \mathcal{E}(w) \end{aligned}$$

2 Closed Form for LLE

$$\begin{aligned}
 a) \quad \mathcal{E}_i(w) &= \left\| \vec{x} - \sum_{j=1}^K w_j \vec{\eta}_j \right\|^2 = \left\| \vec{x} w^T \mathbb{1} - \eta^T w \right\|^2 \\
 &= \left\| (\vec{x} \mathbb{1}^T w - \eta^T w) \right\|^2 = \left\| (\vec{x} \mathbb{1}^T - \eta^T) w \right\|^2 \\
 &= ((\vec{x} \mathbb{1}^T - \eta^T) w)^T ((\vec{x} \mathbb{1}^T - \eta^T) w) \\
 &= w^T (\vec{x} \mathbb{1}^T - \eta^T)^T (\vec{x} \mathbb{1}^T - \eta^T) w \\
 &= w^T (\mathbb{1} \vec{x}^T - \eta) (\vec{x} \mathbb{1}^T - \eta^T) w \\
 &= w^T (\mathbb{1} \vec{x}^T - \eta) (\mathbb{1} \vec{x}^T - \eta)^T w = w^T C w
 \end{aligned}$$

$$b) \quad \mathcal{L}(w, \lambda) = w^T C w + \lambda (w^T \mathbb{1} - 1) = w^T C w + \lambda w^T \mathbb{1} - \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(w, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = w^T \mathbb{1} - 1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow w^T \mathbb{1} = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(w, \lambda)}{\partial w} &= \frac{\partial w^T C w}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial \lambda \mathbb{1}^T w}{\partial w} \\
 &= \frac{\partial w^T C w}{\partial w^T} + \frac{\partial w^T C w}{\partial w} + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T \\
 &= \frac{\partial w C^T w^T}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial w^T C w}{\partial w} + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= C^T w^T + C w + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T = w^T C^T + w^T C + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T = w^T (C + C^T) + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T = 0$$

C symmetric $\Rightarrow C^T = C$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow w^T \cdot 2C + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T &= 0 \Leftrightarrow 2(w^T C) + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T = 2(C^T w)^T + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T \\
 &= (2(C^T w)^T + \lambda \mathbb{1}^T)^T = 2C w + \lambda \mathbb{1} \Leftrightarrow 2C w = -\lambda \mathbb{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow C w = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbb{1} \Leftrightarrow w = -\frac{\lambda}{2} C^{-1} \mathbb{1} \Leftrightarrow w^T \mathbb{1} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbb{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbb{1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbb{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbb{1} \Leftrightarrow \lambda = -\frac{2}{\mathbb{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbb{1}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow w = \frac{C^{-1} \mathbb{1}}{\mathbb{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbb{1}}$$

$$c) \quad Cw = \mathbb{1}, \quad w^T \mathbb{1} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow w = C^{-1} \mathbb{1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{w}{w^T \mathbb{1}} = \frac{C^{-1} \mathbb{1}}{\mathbb{1}^T C^{-1} \mathbb{1}}$$

3 SNE and Kullback-Leibler Divergence

$$a) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_{ij}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} \log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{ij}} p_{ij} \log\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{ij}} p_{ij} (\log(p_{ij}) - \log(q_{ij})) = -p_{ij} \frac{1}{q_{ij}} = -\frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}$$

$$b) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} \log\left(\frac{p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N e^{z_{kl}}}{e^{z_{ij}}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} \left(\log\left(p_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N e^{z_{kl}}\right) - \log e^{z_{ij}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} \left(\log(p_{ij}) + \log\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N e^{z_{kl}}\right) - z_{ij} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij} \log\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N e^{z_{kl}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (-p_{ij} z_{ij})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\log\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N e^{z_{kl}}\right) - p_{ij} z_{ij} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} \log\left(\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^N e^{z_{kl}}\right) - p_{ij} z_{ij} = q_{ij} - p_{ij} = -p_{ij} + q_{ij}$$

c) $q_{ij} \in q_{ij} - p \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q_{ij}} \rightarrow -\frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}}$ If the probability of the embedded space gets very close to 0, the gradient becomes very large
 \rightarrow not stable, not bounded

$z_{ij} \in z_{ij} - p \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{ij}} \rightarrow -p_{ij} + q_{ij} \rightarrow$ The gradient will never become infinite \rightarrow stable and bounded

$$\begin{aligned}
 d) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \vec{y}_i} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{ij}} \frac{\partial z_{ij}}{\partial \vec{y}_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial z_{ji}} \cdot \frac{\partial z_{ji}}{\partial \vec{y}_i} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^N (-p_{ij} + q_{ij}) \frac{\partial -\|\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j\|^2}{\partial \vec{y}_i} + (-p_{ji} + q_{ji}) \frac{\partial -\|\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j\|^2}{\partial \vec{y}_i} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^N (-p_{ij} + q_{ij}) (-2(\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j)) + (-p_{ji} + q_{ji}) (-2(\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j)) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^N 2(-(p_{ij} - q_{ij}) (-2(\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j))) = \sum_{j=1}^N 4(p_{ij} - q_{ij})(\vec{y}_i - \vec{y}_j)
 \end{aligned}$$