

Contents

1	Tao, T. Almost All Orbits of the Collatz Map Attain Almost Bounded Values	2
1.1	Abstract	2
1.2	Introduction	2
1.2.1	Main Result	2
1.2.2	Syracuse formulation (1.2)	2
1.2.3	Probabilistic Modeling (1.8)	3
2	Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem: An Annotated Bibliography (1963-1999)	3
3	Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem: An Annotated Bibliography, II (2000-2009)	3
4	Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem and Its Generalizations	3
5	Chamberland, M. An Update on the $3x + 1$ Problem	3
6	Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem: An Overview	3
6.1	Introduction	3
6.2	History and Background	4
6.3	$3x + 1$ Sampler	4
6.3.1	Plots of Trajectories	4
6.3.2	Patterns	5
6.3.3	Probabilistic Models	5
6.4	Generalized $3x + 1$ functions	5
6.5	Research Areas	6
6.6	Current Status	6
6.6.1	Where does research currently stand on the $3x + 1$ problem? . . .	6
6.6.2	Where does research stand on generalizations of the $3x + 1$ problem? .	7
6.6.3	How can the be a hard problem, when it is so easy to state? . . .	7
6.7	Hardness of the $3x + 1$ problem	7
6.8	Future Prospects	7
7	Garner, L. E. On the Collatz $3n + 1$ Algorithm	7
7.1	Abstract	7
7.2	Introduction	7
7.3	Stopping Time	8
7.4	Term Formula	8
7.5	Coefficient Stopping Time	8
7.6	Powers of 2 and 3	8
7.7	Main Theorem	8
7.8	Application to Cycles	8
7.9	Notes	8
8	Motta, F. C. An Analysis of the Collatz Conjecture	9
9	Crandall, R. E. On the $3x + 1$ Problem	9

9.1	Abstract	9
9.2	Introduction	9
9.3	Preliminary Observations	9
9.4	Random Walk Argument	9
9.5	Uniqueness Theorem	10
9.6	Numbers with Given Height	10
9.7	An Estimate for $\pi(x)$	10
9.8	Cycles	10
9.9	The $qx + r$ Problem	10

1 Tao, T. Almost All Orbits of the Collatz Map Attain Almost Bounded Values

1.1 Abstract

- Collatz map of $N + 1 \rightarrow N + 1$ on the positive integers
- $\mathbb{N}+1=\{1,2,3,\dots\}$
- $\text{Col}(N)$ is the normal collatz function
- $\text{Col}_{\min}(N) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Col}^n(N)$ is the minimal element of the collatz orbit (sequence of applications of the function)
- conjecture is that $\text{Col}_{\min}(N) = 1$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N} + 1$
- Korec showed that for any $\theta > \frac{\log 3}{\log 4} \approx 0.7924$ one has $\text{Col}_{\min}(N) \leq N^\theta$ for almost all $N \in \mathbb{N} + 1$ in the sense of natural density
- here it is shown that for any function that maps the positive natural numbers to the real numbers where f approaches infinity if its limit is taken to infinity has $\text{Col}_{\min}(N) \leq f(N)$ for almost all $N \in \mathbb{N} + 1$ in the sense of logarithmic density
- the proof is described, but I do not understand a single word of it

1.2 Introduction

1.2.1 Main Result

- collatz map in terms of the normal function for all positive integers
- $\text{Col}_{\min}(N) = 1$ for all positive integers
- [5], [9] have extensive overviews of the conjecture
- [13] shows the computational verification for all $N \leq 10^{20}$

1.2.2 Syracuse formulation (1.2)

- it is more convenient to use $N \rightarrow \text{Col}^{f(N)}(N)$ of the normal map
- we use Col_2 , where we immediately divide by 2 after multiplying by 3
- this reduces the “acceleration”
- this paper will use “3-adic” analysis
- Syracuse Map: $2N + 1 \rightarrow 2N + 1$ is the largest odd number that divides $3N + 1$

- Syracuse orbit is just the odd numbers of the Collatz orbit
- *Conjecture 1.5* We have $\text{Syr min}(N) = 1$ for all $N \in 2N + 1$
- Collatz theorem reformulated to use the Syracuse map

1.2.3 Probabilistic Modeling (1.8)

- they use a certain heuristic that if N is a “typical” large odd natural number, $n \ll \log N$ then the n -Syracuse valuation $\text{vec } a$ behaves like $\text{Geom}(2)^n$
- basically, the heuristic is justified if

2 Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem: An Annotated Bibliography (1963-1999)

3 Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem: An Annotated Bibliography, II (2000-2009)

4 Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem and Its Generalizations

5 Chamberland, M. An Update on the $3x+1$ Problem

6 Lagaris, J. C. The $3x + 1$ Problem: An Overview

6.1 Introduction

- collatz function is defined and conjecture stated
- has many other names
- $3x + 1$ function is defined, relation to the original function is made clear
- this function is useful because it is more convenient for analysis
- two questions: what do we currently know? how can it be hard when it is easy to state
- history of work on the problem is discussed
- describes generalizations and different fields of mathematics that this problem concerns
- difficulty can only be truly assessed once the problem has been solved
- track record does suggest that it is very difficult
- one of the points is that $T(x)$ seems to be pseudorandom
- it is not important for its individual sake, there it's more of a challenge
- these types of functions though, ones that iterate and contract or expand domains are very relevant and general methods and progress to solve these kinds of equations could be very significant

6.2 History and Background

- generally attributed to Collatz, other people are associated with it and others claim to have come up with it
- definitely circulated since the 1950s
- early 1970 saw the first mathematical literature on the problem
- it might have taken so long because this kind of disjointed maths was not popular at that time
- it was and is super hard to prove things about this problem
- many people strayed away from it because it might have been damaging to their reputation to even work on it
- various similar problems appeared in print in the 1960s
- computations in the 1960s verified the conjecture for all $n \leq 10^9$
- 1971 the exact problem appeared in print for the first time
- Ulam stated the problem as one of the first people, Everett wrote one of the early papers in 1977
- the problem can also be formulated backwards: determining the smallest set of integers containing 1 that is closed under the affine maps $x \rightarrow 2x$ and $3x+2 \rightarrow 2x+1$, the latter only being applied when the output is an integer
- then the conjecture asserts that this is the set of all positive integers
- **connection to problems on sets of integers that are closed under affine maps**
- plot of $n = 649$ looks cool
- it used to be a curiosity, now, because of general connections to other areas of mathematics and computation it has become of interest

6.3 $3x + 1$ Sampler

- it's cool because it's simple to state but complex under iteration
- there have been a number of subsidiary conjectures about its behavior
- many of these subsidiary conjectures are also very hard problems

6.3.1 Plots of Trajectories

- trajectory of x under a function T is the forward orbit of x , the sequence of its forward iterates $\{x, T(x), T^{(2)}(x), T^{(3)}(x), \dots\}$
- if we plot this sequence, we get what is sometimes called “hailstone numbers”, named after hailstones that rise and fall seemingly randomly in the clouds, just like this function
- it often helps to plot $\log T^{(K)}(n)$ versus k
- there seems to be a decrease at a certain geometric rate, many staying close to $-0.5 \log(3/4)$
- it takes about $6.95212 \log n$ steps to reach 1
- because of the seemingly random nature of the iteration it appears that elements of it can only be described only statistically – these are statements about groups or the majority of trajectories and not individual trajectories

6.3.2 Patterns

- we can find many internal patterns for different starting values
- there are multiple patterns for initial iterates from $n = 2^m - 1$
- also shows that iterates can be arbitrarily larger than starting values
- here $\sigma_\infty(n)$ denotes the total stopping time for n , number of iterations to reach 1, counting n as the 0th iterate – this is equal to the number of even numbers appearing in the sequence – **WHY**
- in the long number we find only a few distinct values for the stopping time, same for some other, equally large numbers
- we can put the total stopping time, frequency statistic for each stopping time in the range, 1-ratio as the fraction of odd iterates up to and including 1
- we can baselessly assume the heuristic: as n increases, only a few values of $\sigma_\infty(n)$ occur, slow variation of those

6.3.3 Probabilistic Models

- because rigorous proof lacks behind computer experiments, *deterministic process is described using probabilistic models*
- this creates another area: the creation of models for aspects of this problem
- observation is that the number of odd and even iterates is basically equal
- this can be seen like independent coin flips – this suggests that the slope of logarithmically plotted values is equal to $-1/2 \log(3/4) \approx -0.14384$ and thus takes about $6.95212 \log n$ steps to reach 1
- here the $3x + 1$ function is useful because it allows the assumption that the results will be even about two thirds of the time
- now there are many complicated and rigorous models, these yield heuristic prediction about the behavior of the $3x + 1$ map
- most trajectories follow the logarithmic decline, but few of them follow a different path
- equation on page 8, extremal trajectories of this form are two line segments, described at the top of page 9
- importantly, stochastic models suggest that the largest total stopping time is at most $41.677647 \log n$ and thus quantitatively predict that divergent trajectories do not exist
- can be applied to $5x + 1$, where most seem to escape to infinity, but not one has been proven to do so yet

6.4 Generalized $3x + 1$ functions

- today it is often studied as a discrete dynamical system
- generalized collatz functions are a very useful class of functions
- number-theoretical properties have to do with the existence of p-adic extensions of these maps for various primes p
- most often viewed as a discrete dynamical system of an arithmetical kind

- such systems can be seen as simple models of the more complicated ones that often arise
- **look into discrete dynamical systems**
- we can generalize the function to k instead of one, to include all rational numbers in a well-defined function
- most generally: $f(x) = (a_i + b_i)/(d)$ if $x \equiv i \pmod{d}, 0 \leq i \leq d-1$
- here we have a collection of integer pairs $\{(a_i, b_i) : 0 \leq i \leq d-1\}$
- function is admissible if the integer pairs satisfy $ia_i + b_i \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$
- important subclass are those of *relatively prime type*
- here $\gcd(a_0 a_1 \dots a_{d-1}, d) = 1$ which includes the $3x + 1$ function but not the collatz function

6.5 Research Areas

1. Number Theory – analysis of periodic orbits of the map. Immediately obvious because this is a problem from that field. page 12
2. Dynamical Systems – behavior of generalizations of the map. Functions under iteration are the concern and this can be seen as an iterating map
3. Ergodic Theory – invariant measures for generalized maps. Some type of measures and invariants.
4. Theory of Computation – undecidable iteration problems. will this iteration with these starting values ever be a power of 2?
5. Stochastic Process – models yielding predictions for the behavior of iterates. Models behavior on large set of integers, how does the probability distribution evolve under iteration?
6. Computer Science – algorithms for computing iterates and statistics; explicit computations. models of computation are sometimes based on this. Also, the necessity to use computers to find and test things about the conjecture: how to handle large computations etc

6.6 Current Status

6.6.1 Where does research currently stand on the $3x + 1$ problem?

- remains unsolved
- “Mathematics is not yet ready for such problems.” - p. 14
- verified for all $n < 20 \times 2^{58}$
- minimal period of unknown cycle: 10,439,860,591 and only cycle containing less than 6,586,818,670 odd integers
- infinitely many integers take at least $6.143 \log n$ steps to reach 0
- largest count of C in $C \log n$ iterations: $n = 7, 219, 136, 416, 377, 236, 271, 195$ with $C \approx 36.7169$
- the number of integers $1 \leq n \leq X$ that iterate to 1 is at least $X^{0.84}$ for all sufficiently large X

6.6.2 Where does research stand on generalizations of the $3x + 1$ problem?

- methods for $3x + 1$ generally apply to $3x + k$ too
- functions of relatively prime type are very ergodic?
- all functions in the generalized Collatz class have a unique continuous extension to the domain of d -adic integers

6.6.3 How can the be a hard problem, when it is so easy to state?

- pseudo-randomness is a problem because it makes it very hard to prove because proofs need structure
- non-computability is relevant because it may not be decidable or at least difficult to decide

6.7 Hardness of the $3x + 1$ problem

- a bunch of stuff on computation and shit

6.8 Future Prospects

- the “World Records” can certainly be improved upon
- a bunch of unproven conjectures on page 20

7 Garner, L. E. On the Collatz $3n + 1$ Algorithm

7.1 Abstract

- number theoretic function
- collatz sequence is generated from the usual function
- if any other cycle than the $\{4, 2, 1, 4, \dots\}$ cycle is entered, it must have thousands of terms

7.2 Introduction

- defines the Collatz function and sequence, example for $C(17)$
- *Collatz conjecture*: every sequence ends in the cycle $\{4, 2, 1, 4, 2, \dots\}$
- high numbers have been verified
- proofs tend to be probabilistic in nature, strengthen belief in the conjecture
- this paper proves that if there are other cycles that do not contain 1, they have many thousands of terms

7.3 Stopping Time

- CC is equivalent to: for every $n \in N, n > 1$ there is a $k \in N$ such that $s^k(n) < n$
- the least k is called the stopping time of n , denoted $\sigma(n)$
- stopping times are easy to verify
- *Everett* proves that almost all $n \in N$ have a finite stopping time, Terras giving a probability distribution function for the same
- most ints have a small stopping time
- stopping times can be arbitrarily large though

7.4 Term Formula

- a formula to find the next term in a collatz sequence

7.5 Coefficient Stopping Time

- the point when one of the coefficients of the term formula is less than one
- under certain conditions the coefficient stopping time is equal to the stopping time

7.6 Powers of 2 and 3

- powers of 2 appear to be bounded away from the powers of 3

7.7 Main Theorem

- if the number of odd terms in the collatz sequence is not too great, the coefficient stopping time equals the normal stopping time

7.8 Application to Cycles

- suppose a sequence enters a cycle that does not contain 1
- let n be the smallest term in the cycle
- by the foregoing theorems n cannot be the least element in the cycle
- another contradiction arises from the number of odd numbers a cycle without one must satisfy
- using some of the proven numbers at the time, some short cycles would be at least 105,000 terms
- **only known short cycle is the known one, and that seems to hold**

7.9 Notes

- find how long a cycle would need to be for the numbers that are currently verified, that would be a cool piece of math

8 Motta, F. C. An Analysis of the Collatz Conjecture

9 Crandall, R. E. On the $3x + 1$ Problem

9.1 Abstract

- open conjecture, this time for positive odd integers in the form $C(m) = (3m+1)/2^{e(m)}$, $e(m)$ is chosen in a way that $C(m)$ is again odd
- conjecture: $C^h(m) = 1$ for some h
- number of $m \leq x$ satisfying the conjecture is at least x^c for some positive constant c
- connection between the conjecture and the diophantine equation $2^x - 3^y = p$ is established
- conjecture fails if $m = C^k(m)$, k must be greater than 17,985

9.2 Introduction

- in the definition $2^{e(x)}$ is the highest power of 2 that divides $3x + 1$
- this can be iterated any number of times
- is an iteration of this function eventually equal to one?

9.3 Preliminary Observations

- mapping of odd positive integers to odd positive integers has some properties
- trajectory is the sequence of iterations that terminates on the first occurrence of 1
- if that term does not exist, it is an infinite sequence
- height of m is the cardinality of the trajectory, least number of iterations that reach 1
- $\inf T_m$ is the least positive integer in the sequence T_m
- $\sup T_m$ is the greatest integer in the sequence
- conjecture is that the height of all sequences is finite
- Everett proved that almost all odd positive integers have $\inf T_m < m$
- if this were to be proven for all n , the conjecture would be true
- as m increases, $(\sup T_m) / m$ is unbounded
- there must be arbitrarily large members in trajectories

9.4 Random Walk Argument

- heuristic argument states the following: for sufficiently large integers $\ln(C(m)/m)$ is a random variable, distributed by $e(m)$
- expected $e(m) = k$ with probability 2^{-k} , thus the random variable is expected to be $-\log(4/3)$, indicating that, overall, $C(m) < m$
- imagine a random walk, then $h(m) \sim \frac{\log m}{\log(4/3)}$
- another conjecture $H(x) \sim 2(\log(16/9))^{-1} \log x$ or $H(x) \sim 2 \log x / \log(16/9)$

9.5 Uniqueness Theorem

- $M = \{m \in D^+, m > 1 | h(m) \text{ finite}\}$, then for each $m \in M$ there is a unique backwards sequence starting at one that reaches m

9.6 Numbers with Given Height

- set of integers M is naturally partitioned by their height h
- there numbers of any given height
- define a function that returns an estimate of the number of sequences below a certain initial value x that have height h

9.7 An Estimate for $\pi(x)$

- is the estimate of the number of integers $m \leq x$ belonging to the set M
- from 2.1 we get that $\pi(x)$ is the number of odd integers greater 1 but not greater x
- for sufficiently large x there exists a positive constant c such that $\pi(x) > x^c$

9.8 Cycles

- assume all trajectories are bounded and that no $m > 1$ has its own trajectory
- with these two assumptions the conjecture must be true
- it is however not certain if either of these are true
- if numbers have their own trajectories, they are difficult to find
- establish a lower bound for the period of an infinite cyclic trajectory in terms of the smallest member
- if a certain sequence exists, either $n = 1$ or the k th element of T_m is n
- because one of the terms here is a diophantine equation, getting results about the collatz conjecture could yield new information about this equation
- specifically, there would be more solutions to the equation that currently assumed
- the powers of two and three are poor approximations of each other
- $\log_2 3$ must be difficult to approximate using rational numbers
- if the conjecture is true, we'd get some interesting stuff about the approximation of powers of 2 by powers of 3

9.9 The $qx + r$ Problem

- define $C_{qr}(m) = (qm + r)/2^{e_{qr}(m)}$ for m as a positive odd integer
- conjecture is that m fails to satisfy the normal equation except in the case $(q, r) = (3, 1)$
- diophantine equations can be used to prove $q = 5, 181, 1093$, but that's it
- speaking in terms of the heuristic from above, it should be about $\log(q/4)$, meaning that for all odd integers > 3 the value is positive, "pushing" the value upwards

- $7x + 1$ is interesting because $m = 3$ seems to give rise to an apparently infinitely increasing trajectory